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A B S T R A C T

Empirical strategic management accounting (SMA) research has paid insufficient attention to the practices through which strategising occurs. SMA research has also overlooked the importance of strategy in the public sector and the specificities of this context that problematise existing knowledge of techniques that might make up SMA. Consequently, this study examines the role of management accounting in organisational practices through which strategy is enacted, and does this by way of a longitudinal study of a public sector agency. It is informed by the strategy-as-practice perspective that increasingly features in strategy research. The study identifies roles for management accounting in strategising that extend beyond the typically ascribed functions of decision-facilitation and decision-influencing. Its main contribution is the detailing of specific ways in which management accounting is constitutive of strategising through specific organisational practices. The findings of particular management accounting techniques being used for strategising by entities in the public sector provide a useful counter-point to the private sector orientation that has dominated SMA research to date. The study also outlines particular directions that a rebalanced SMA research agenda might take.

1. Introduction

An extensive management accounting research literature exists concerning the interface with strategy. Within this literature there are two main research traditions: research that uses the label ‘strategic management accounting’ (SMA) to investigate management accounting that is strategically oriented; and research that examines the inter-relationships between strategy and management control systems (MCS). This paper is situated in the first tradition. It examines the role of management accounting in selected organisational practices through which strategy is enacted (Jarzabkowski, 2003, 2004). It does this through a longitudinal case study of a public sector agency.

There are two motivations for this study. First, empirical SMA research has focused predominantly on examining the use of SMA techniques in organisations and their antecedents (see, Guilding et al., 2000; Roslender and Hart, 2003; Cadez and Guilding, 2008; Ma and Tayles, 2009). Less attention is paid to the practices through which strategising occurs (Bhiman and Langfield-Smith, 2007). Indeed, the defining characteristics of SMA identified thus far – that it exhibits an environmental, outward-looking and/or a long-term, forward-looking orientation (Cadez and Guilding, 2008) – say little about how strategy is carried out through SMA. The few SMA studies that have examined how strategy occurs (see, Bhiman and Langfield-Smith, 2007; Cadez and Guilding, 2008) generally assume that
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strategic processes are uni-dimensional, being either formal and structured or informal and unstructured.

Against this, the strategy literature is increasingly concerned with the multiple practices through which strategy is enacted, labelling this the ‘strategy-as-practice’ perspective (Jarzabkowski and Spee, 2009). In this literature, claims about the demise of formal strategic practices (Mintzberg, 1994) are far from settled. Indeed, evidence of the widespread use of formal strategic practices – even in uncertain and dynamic environments – has renewed scholarly interest in how strategisation is carried out through these organisational arrangements (Whittington and Caillet, 2008). Consequently, an important element of the concern with strategy-as-practice involves attention to how formal practices distribute shared meanings or mediate between diverse interests and interpretations of strategic activity (Jarzabkowski, 2003). While these practices can take varied forms, particular attention is prescribed to examining the “key strategic practices [of] direction setting, resource allocation and monitoring and control” (Jarzabkowski, 2004, p. 28). To date, SMA studies that take this as a primary concern are limited (for an exception, refer to Jorgensen and Messner, 2010), and are yet to provide detail on the roles that particular management accounting techniques play in these strategic practices and their constitutive effects for strategising. Given this, and informed by the strategy-as-practice perspective, the primary objective of our study is to investigate the role of management accounting in strategising through organisational practices of: (i) planning and direction setting; (ii) resource allocation; and (iii) monitoring and control.

Second, there is a need to examine the management accounting techniques that are connected to strategising in the public sector. Pressures and reforms variously described as new public management (Hood, 1995; Olson et al., 1998) have increased the importance of strategy in the public sector (Llewellyn and Tappin, 2003). Also, specificities of the public sector context indicate that techniques and processes developed for private sector organisations cannot be simply transplanted into a public sector agency (PSA). Indeed, the monopoly situation of many PSAs and the absence of a profit-imperative would imply that SMA techniques, such as competitor accounting and customer accounting as popularly defined (see, Cadez and Guidling, 2008), are peripheral if not irrelevant. These aspects of the public sector problematise existing knowledge about the management accounting techniques that are used in organisational strategising. Yet, there has been little examination of the role of accounting in strategic decision making within the public sector. Supporting this point, recent studies call for greater engagement of accounting research with the strategising and organising concerns found in contemporary PSAs (Modell et al., 2007; Kurunmaki and Miller, 2011). Hence our secondary objective in this study is to examine the particular forms that management accounting takes as part of strategising in the public sector context.

Our longitudinal case study involves ‘Alpha’, a national law enforcement organisation tasked with combating serious and organised crime. During the period of study, Alpha adopted a new strategy in response to particular organisational challenges. As part of this, it also reshaped organisational practices to ensure the new strategy was enacted. Alpha, therefore, represents an appropriate setting for the pursuit of both objectives of our study.

The remainder of our paper is structured as follows. Section 2 of the paper reviews previous empirical SMA research and Section 3 presents the strategy-as-practice perspective that we adopt. This is followed by a discussion of the research methods utilised in our study in Section 4, and the results of our case study in Section 5. A discussion of the research findings and conclusion appear in Section 6 of the paper.

2. SMA research and complexities of the public sector

’SMA’, as a label, is often traced back to Simonds (1981), who defined it as “the provision and analysis of management accounting data about a business and its competitors, for use in developing and monitoring business strategy” (p. 26). It is distinguished by its focus on providing information relevant to evaluating a firm’s competitive position in an industry, with an emphasis on customers and competitors as externally located objects of management accounting analyses (Bromwich, 1990). However, some SMA researchers emphasise the interface between accounting and marketing (Roslander and Hart, 2003), while others focus on the linkages to strategy (Ma and Tayles, 2009). As such, there is no accepted consensus on a definition of SMA.

Empirical research on SMA – as opposed to individual techniques that might make up the SMA construct – remains limited despite significant interest in the topic (Cadez and Guidling, 2008). Most empirical work has focused on investigating the level of use of a collection of SMA techniques. One of the earlier studies was by Guidling et al. (2000), who found that competitor accounting practices and strategic pricing were the most commonly used
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1 There is a growing literature that seeks to examine accounting as practice (see, Ahrens and Chapman, 2007). However, in keeping with this special issue this paper focuses on strategy-as-practice, and seeks to situate strategic management accounting techniques within specific organisational practices through which strategy is enacted.

2 We use the label ‘PSA’ specifically to distinguish the type of entity in which we are interested from government-owned corporations or government business enterprises that operate in deregulated and privatised markets.

3 We acknowledge the extensive studies of performance measurement in the public sector. However, we wish to demarcate these from the focus of our study, which is on the role that management accounting plays in strategising practices specifically.

4 The literature on SMA and its constituent techniques is wide-ranging. Indeed, “the number of publications, in both the professional and academic literatures, that address SMA runs into the thousands” (Langfield-Smith, 2008, p. 213). To focus the ensuing discussion, only those studies that address SMA as a set of accounting techniques (Cadez and Guidling, 2008) are reviewed. Studies examining the strategy-MCS relationship are not included in keeping with the paper’s focus (for reviews of this literature see, Chenhall (2003), Langfield-Smith (2006)).
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