Performance evaluation of an improved harmony search algorithm for numerical optimization: Melody Search (MS)
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Abstract

Melody Search (MS) Algorithm as an innovative improved version of Harmony Search optimization method, with a novel Alternative Improvisation Procedure (AIP) is presented in this paper. MS algorithm mimics performance processes of the group improvisation for finding the best succession of pitches within a melody. Utilizing different player memories and their interactive process, enhances the algorithm efficiency compared to the basic HS, while the possible range of variables can be varied going through the algorithm iterations. Moreover, applying the new improvisation scheme (AIP) makes algorithm more capable in optimizing shifted and rotated unimodal and multimodal problems than the basic MS.

In order to demonstrate the performance of the proposed algorithm, it is successfully applied to various benchmark optimization problems. Numerical results reveal that the proposed algorithm is capable of finding better solutions when compared with well-known HS, IHS, GHS, SGHS, NGHS and basic MS algorithms. The strength of the new meta-heuristic algorithm is that the superiority of the algorithm over other compared methods increases when the dimensionality of the problem or the entire feasible range of the solution space increases.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Nature is inspiring researchers to develop effective and powerful optimization methods (Karaboga and Akay, 2009). In the past, many optimization methods were adopted to solve various real-world optimization problems which were constrained by the complexities of non-linearity in the model formulation and affected by the increase in the number of constraints and decision variables (Kumar and Reddy, 2006).

Nowadays evolutionary stochastic search methods are very popular for solving optimization problems in the research arena of computational intelligence (Karaboga and Basturk, 2007). The routine feature of meta-heuristic algorithms is the point that they often employ combinations of rules and randomness to imitate natural processes (Lee and Geem, 2005). Although the algorithms do not always ensure the global optimum solution, quite good results in a reasonable computation time are achieved. This is why many researchers have been eager to develop newer techniques and improve existing methods over the past years (Kumar and Reddy, 2006).

Many meta-heuristic algorithms, such as genetic algorithm, particle swarm optimization, tabu search, ant colony optimization, bees’ algorithm, artificial immune system and simulated annealing have been extensively employed for various science and engineering problems. One of the major disadvantages of these algorithms is the fact that most of the meta-heuristic algorithms are successful for solving some certain class of problems. Furthermore, in some cases, although the algorithms show superior performance on low dimensional problems, they cannot preserve their superior performance on high dimensional cases (Karaboga and Akay, 2009).

The concepts of Genetic Algorithms (GAs) were originally explained by Holland (1975) and further developed by Goldberg (1989). GA-based algorithms are global search methods found on concepts from natural genetics and the Darwinian survival-of-the-fittest code. During the past two decades, GA has been studied extensively by many researchers to solve difficult and complicated real-world and engineering optimization problems. GAs are generally capable in finding good solutions in reasonable amounts of time. However, applying to harder and bigger problems increases the time required to find adequate solutions. Several papers, book chapters, special issues and books have surveyed GAs literature (e.g. Cheng et al., 1999; Coello et al., 2007; Nicklow et al., 2010).

Tabu Search (TS) as a gradient-descent search method with memory was originally suggested by Glover (1977). Details about tabu search can also be found in Glover (1989, 1990), Hertz et al.
The initial development of HS Algorithm was conducted by Geem (2000), during his Ph.D. studies. Design of water distribution networks was the main aim, while the study covered benchmark optimization, parameter estimation, and the traveling salesman problem (TPSP) (Ingram and Zhang, 2009). Since then, a variety of HS models have been adopted to diverse field of problems, such as structural design, Sudoku puzzles, musical composition, medical imaging, heat exchanger design, course timetabling, web page clustering, robotics, water network design, dam scheduling, vehicle routing, energy system dispatch, cell phone network, satellite heat pipe design, and medical physics.

There are several attempts to improve the performance of basic HS algorithm for enhancing solution accuracy and convergence rate, like Improved Harmony Search algorithm (IHS) (Mahdavi et al., 2007), global best Harmony Search algorithm (GHS) (Omran and Mahdavi, 2008), self-adaptive global best harmony search algorithm (SGHS) (Pan et al., 2010b), novel global harmony search (NGHS) (Zou et al., 2010). All improvements are categorized into two classes by Alia and Mandava (2011): the first one is improvement in terms of hybridizing HS components with other meta-heuristic algorithms. Ingram and Zhang (2009) have classified various modifications of HS in seven categories and briefly explained each category.

Geem et al. (2005), proposed a multiple pitch adjusting rate (PAR) strategy in solving the so called Generalized Oriented Problem. Using three PAR’s for moving rates to the nearest, second nearest, and third nearest cities was proposed in their study. Mahdavi et al. (2007) developed an improved HS algorithm, denoted as IHS, by introducing a method to dynamically adjust the algorithm computational parameters (i.e. PAR and bw). Their algorithm was applied to solve four engineering and four mathematical optimization problems. According to their comparative investigation between obtained results and those from other techniques in the literature, they remarked that the algorithm can find better solutions. Omran and Mahdavi (2008) presented a Global-best Harmony Search algorithm, (GHS) GHS algorithm, by borrowing the concepts from swarm intelligence. They studied the sensitivity of the HS parameters and compared the performance of HS, IHS and GHS on ten continuous optimization functions and six integer programming problems. Both IHS and GHS algorithms could find better solutions, compared with the basic HS algorithm. Coelho and Mariani (2009), proposed an improved harmony search (IHS) algorithm based on exponential distribution, for solving Economic Dispatch Problems, which updated the PAR parameter dynamically. The application of HS and IHS for solving thirteen thermal units of generation with the valve-point effects was reported there. Numerical results show that IHS the algorithm converged to more reasonable results compared with basic HS algorithm. Another new improvement to HS, named (DHS) which was inspired by mutation operator of Differential Evolution (DE) is proposed by Chakraborty et al. (2009). They replaced the pitch adjustment operation in basic HS with a mutation strategy borrowed from DE algorithm. Inspired by the local version of the particle swarm optimization algorithm, Pan et al. (2010a) proposed the local-best harmony search algorithm with dynamic subpopulations (DLHS) for solving the bound-constrained continuous optimization problems. In DLHS method the Harmony Memory (HM) is divided into many sub-harmony memories. New harmonies are independently generated in these small-sized sub-harmony memories, which are regrouped frequently by using a regrouping schedule. A recent variant of HS algorithm was proposed by Wang, Huang (2010). The model totally replaces bandwidth parameter (bw) parameter with a new concept based on using the maximal and minimal values in HM. While the search process is going on, PAR values are dynamically adapted using the modification proposed by Mahdavi et al. (2007). Kattan et al. (2010), applied HS for feed-forward artificial neural networks (ANN)
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