# ARTICLE IN PRESS

INTMAN-00488; No of Pages 13

Journal of International Management xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

## Journal of International Management





# Legal Distance, Cognitive Distance, and Conflict Resolution in International Business Intellectual Property Disputes

Stav Fainshmidt a,\*, George O. White III a,1, Carole Cangioni b

- a Department of Management, College of Business and Public Administration, 2167 Constant Hall, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529, United States
- b Department of Management, Haile/US Bank College of Business, Northern Kentucky University, Highland Heights, KY 41099, United States

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 October 2012 Received in revised form 19 March 2013 Accepted 20 March 2013 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Legal distance Cognitive distance Intellectual property Conflict resolution Multinational enterprise

#### ABSTRACT

Due to the rising importance of intellectual property (IP) and increasing incidence of IP violations in today's global economy, this manuscript examines how legal and cognitive distances between MNEs engaged in an international IP dispute affect conflict resolution strategy choice. Even though such conflicts require some form of resolution, to date no consensus has developed with regard to what explains conflict resolution strategy choice in international IP disputes. We integrate IP, conflict management, and institutional theory literatures in testing our hypotheses on a dataset of 243 dyads consisting of 486 MNEs from 28 different countries engaged in international IP disputes. Surprisingly, we find that legal distance is positively associated with the likelihood of using negotiation (as opposed to litigation) as a conflict resolution strategy. However, cognitive distance increases reliance on litigation and at the same time weakens the effect of legal distance. This finding suggests that inherently tacit differences between home country origins may impede reaching a negotiated agreement, even though MNEs are able to bridge more formalized, codifiable, legal differences. Implications and future research directions are discussed.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

In July, 2007, 3M and Sony Corporation, two global electronics giants, settled a patent dispute regarding a lithium ion battery cathode, which was filed by 3M only four months beforehand.<sup>2</sup> Not surprisingly, the specific terms of the settlement remain confidential. More importantly, though, the circumstances which brought these two to settle such a dispute remain a mystery as well. Since intellectual property (IP)<sup>3</sup> is an extremely important source of value for multinational enterprises (MNEs) operating in today's global economy (Terpstra et al., 2005), IP disputes, such as the one between 3M and Sony, are becoming much more common (Ansson, 1999; LoVoi, 1999; Tiefenbrun, 1998; Wilson, 1997; Yu, 2002). Nevertheless, even though such conflicts require some form of resolution (Fey and Beamish, 2000; Wang et al., 2005) the extant literature offers little guidance with regard to what explains MNE conflict resolution choice in international business IP disputes.

To date, the literature has largely emphasized the importance of host country environmental factors and their influence on MNE IP protection (e.g., Clegg and Cross, 2000; Zhao, 2006). However, because MNEs coming from various institutional contexts have different perspectives on how best to resolve an IP conflict, dissimilarities between home countries can influence the way disputes are approached and resolved (Estrin et al., 2009; Gaur and Lu, 2007; Xu et al., 2004). Rooted in institutional theory, institutional distance, "a nonefficiency perspective in which [the] institutional environment is seen as the key determinant of firm

1075-4253/\$ – see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2013.03.008

Please cite this article as: Fainshmidt, S., et al., Legal Distance, Cognitive Distance, and Conflict Resolution in International Business Intellectual Property Disputes, Journal of International Management (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2013.03.008

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 757 353 5548; fax: +1 757 683 3258.

E-mail addresses: sfainshm@odu.edu (S. Fainshmidt), gowhite@odu.edu (G.O. White), cangionic1@nku.edu (C. Cangioni).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tel.: +1 757 683 3836; fax: +1 757 683 3258.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Official press release from the 3M Corporation website. http://solutions.3m.com/wps/portal/3M/en\_US/CMPAGlobalNewsCtr/news-center/news/press-release/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Intellectual property concerns "...the property created or recognized by the existing legal regimes of copyright, patent, and trademark...", among others (Hughes, 1988, p. 290; Miller and Davis, 2000). These properties are intangible assets, which have resulted from human intellectual activity (Ricketson, 1991).

# ARTICLE IN PRESS

S. Fainshmidt et al. / Journal of International Management xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

structure and behavior" (Xu and Shenkar, 2002: 608), is a particularly appropriate theoretical framework to examine IP conflicts between MNEs. One of the most salient home country institutions that significantly affects decisions and behavior of MNEs operating in foreign environments is the rule of law (Li et al., 2012), which has been commonly defined as "the extent to which agents have confidence in and abide by the rules of society, and in particular the quality of contract enforcement, the police, and the courts, as well as the likelihood of crime and violence" (Roy and Oliver, 2009: 780; Kaufmann et al., 2006)

Prior studies have shown that companies originating from countries with strong rule of law exhibit a higher propensity to comply with legal rules and regulation (Chong and Gradstein, 2011). More importantly, cross-country differences in legal context, such as the rule of law, have been found to influence MNE strategic decisions such as foreign market selection (Pogrebnyakov and Maitland, 2011; Xu and Shenkar, 2002), entry mode choice (Estrin et al., 2009), and joint-venture partner selection (Roy and Oliver, 2009). In international conflict situations, legal distance, defined as the dissimilarity between rule of law of two countries (Cuervo-Cazurra and Genc, 2008; White et al., 2013), may intensify difficulties of MNEs in adopting conflict strategy practices to a host country because differing levels of rule of law may entail unfamiliar prescriptions as to what legitimate action is in a foreign environment (Denk et al., 2012; Oxley and Yeung, 2001; White et al., 2013).

Similarly, differences between home and host country "cognitive structures and social knowledge shared by the people in a given country" (Kostova, 1997: 180) may result in failure to communicate effectively when in a dispute. We refer to these differences as cognitive distance (Xu and Shenkar, 2002), defined as differences in levels of workforce skills, education, and exposure to new technologies and societal contexts across countries (Estrin et al., 2009). Estrin et al. (2009: 1177) have argued that the greater the cognitive distance, "the larger the integration and coordination challenges" faced by MNEs. Based on this notion, we argue that the effect of legal distance on MNE conflict resolution behavior may also be contingent upon cognitive distance (Abdi and Aulak, 2012; Meyer et al., 2009). This is because in the context of transnational IP disputes between MNEs, legal differences may be more difficult to bridge with increasing cognitive distance as "framings of situations conflict, basic values are challenged, and entrant and host find themselves on a different page" (Orr and Scott, 2008: 5).

As such, in this manuscript we seek to further understand how legal and cognitive institutional dissimilarities across countries shape MNE choice in international IP conflict resolution practices. We suggest that the extent to which managers from two different formal institutional contexts are able to bridge differences—due to more extensive knowledge, exposure, and understanding of various institutional environments—may alter the impact of legal distance on MNE conflict strategy choice. Accordingly, by integrating institutional theory, IP, and conflict literatures, we ask the following research question: what influence will legal and cognitive distances have on MNE conflict resolution strategy choice in international business IP disputes?

Lewicki et al. (2004) have suggested that, while various dispute resolution methods exist in practice, international conflict resolution choice between MNEs can be categorized into two broad categories: *collaborative* and *contentious* forms of conflict resolution choice (Fey and Beamish, 2000; Wang et al., 2005). We build on this notion by arguing that greater legal and cognitive distances will be associated with a higher likelihood of MNEs choosing contentious conflict resolution strategies in international business IP disputes. However, as presented in Fig. 1, we also argue that cognitive distance will strengthen the relationship between legal distance and the likelihood of MNEs choosing a contentious conflict resolution strategy.

This study contributes to existing literature in several important ways. First, because MNEs depend on IP as a highly valuable, rent-generating resource (Allred and Park, 2007; Barsky and Marchant, 2000; Mowery, 1998; Teece, 1986),<sup>4</sup> investigating IP dispute resolution practices is a very timely yet underexplored area of inquiry from both a scholarly and practitioner perspective. Second, building on institutional theory, we address a shortcoming in the IP and conflict literatures by exploring how the relationship between legal distance and cognitive distance will act as determinant in international business IP disputes. Namely, we find that not only the main effects of legal and cognitive distances, but also the interactive nature of these formal and informal institutional differences, act as determinants of IP conflict resolution choice.

Third, we test our hypotheses on a unique data-set of 243 dyads representing transnational IP disputes between 486 MNEs from 28 different countries that were resolved in U.S. federal courts, thereby overcoming empirical deficiencies of prior studies (e.g., Weiss, 2004). Surprisingly, the results were partially counterintuitive to our hypotheses. We find that legal distance is positively associated with the likelihood of using negotiation as a conflict resolution choice. However, cognitive distance increases reliance on litigation and at the same time weakens the effect of legal distance on negotiation.

The next section reviews existing literature and develops hypotheses based on IP, conflict management, and institutional distance literatures.

#### 2. Theory development

## 2.1. International business and IP conflict resolution

Inter-organizational conflict occurs when there is a divergence of interests or beliefs, and/or breach of fiduciary duty, which cannot be concurrently reconciled (Folsom et al., 2002; Lewicki et al., 2004). In such a case, Lewicki et al. (2004) have suggested that international conflict resolution between MNEs might be categorized as *collaborative* or *contentious* in nature (Fey and Beamish, 2000; Wang et al., 2005). The macro-level negotiation and conflict resolution strategy literatures have explored several variables that affect conflict resolution choice in international business (Brouthers and Bamossy, 1997; Vachani, 1995; Weiss,

ว

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Under World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) treaties, the economic rights protected by copyright have a time limit of 50 years and patents are generally granted protection for a period of 20 years (World Intellectual Property Organization, 2004).

# دريافت فورى ب متن كامل مقاله

# ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✔ امكان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگليسي
  - ✓ امكان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
    - ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
  - ✓ امكان دانلود رايگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
  - ✔ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
    - ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات