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ABSTRACT

We assessed the correlations between the Dark Triad traits (i.e., narcissism, psychopathy, and Machiavellianism) and behavioral manifestations of agency and communion (N = 294). All three traits were positively associated with more agentic behavioral intentions and narcissism was also positively associated with communal behavioral intentions. The agency association was driven mostly by the shared variance as opposed to the unique variance in the traits, but both the unique and shared variance was necessary to derive a good fit between agency and the Dark Triad traits. Indeed, when the shared variance was partialled, the Dark Triad traits revealed a less agentic intentionality. Sex differences in the Dark Triad traits and agency were replicated but, we also found that sex differences in the latter were fully mediated by individual differences in the former. Results are discussed using a life history paradigm, suggesting that agentic behavioral intentions may be part of the fast life history strategy that characterizes the Dark Triad traits.

Considerable work on the Dark Triad traits (i.e., narcissism, psychopathy, and Machiavellianism) has emerged over the last decade (Marcus & Zeigler-Hill, 2015). These traits capture individual differences in grandiosity, exhibitionism, superiority (i.e., narcissism), manipulativeness, cynicism (i.e., Machiavellianism), interpersonal antagonism, and callousness (i.e., psychopathy). The traits have theoretical and practical implications for various fields of research including organizational, clinical, and social psychology. However, one limitation of this work is that it tends to examine a somewhat haphazard assortment of traits, values, attitudes, cognitions, and behaviors (e.g., Jonason, Strosser, Kroll, Duineveld, & Baruﬃ, 2015; Jones & Olderbak, 2014; Semenya & Honey, 2015) that interest the researchers instead of taking a macroscopic look at the nature of these traits. Researchers have rarely inquired as to how these traits might be related to the higher order (i.e., macroscopic) individual differences like the “Big Two” traits of agency and communion (Abele & Bruckmüller, 2011; Abele & Wojciszke, 2014). In this report, we try to understand the Dark Triad traits in relation to this distinction.

Agency and communion reﬂect individual differences in “self-interested” and “other-interested” traits, respectively, and those characterized by the Dark Triad traits often behave in agentic ways which may be an expression of a hedonistic value system (Jonason, Sitnikova, & Oshio, 2018; Kajonius, Persson, & Jonason, 2015). People characterized by psychopathy and Machiavellianism often hold antagonistic values (Jonason, Strosser, et al., 2015), are low on communal social strategies, and are high on exchange social strategies (Jonason, Duineveld, & Middleton, 2015). People characterized by narcissism often have independent self-construals (Jonason et al., 2017), are communal in social strategies (Jonason, Duineveld, & Middleton, 2015), and have self-interested (as opposed to antagonistic) values (Jonason, Strosser, et al., 2015). The Dark Triad traits, collectively, may predispose people to behave in agentic ways by enhancing assertiveness and predispose people towards limited communal values by attenuating soft-heartedness (Rauthmann & Kolar, 2013). The traits may further predispose people to engage in agentic behaviors like short-term mating (Jonason, Li, Webster, & Schmitt, 2009), having limited empathy (Jonason & Kroll, 2015), and engaging in interpersonal violence (Jonason, 2015).

If we step back, it seems that a general pattern is emerging; the Dark Triad traits are especially related to high levels agency and (less so) related to low levels of communion. However, the nature of narcissism may lead people to be characterized by an array of features different than the other two traits. Psychopathy and Machiavellianism are, by and large, exclusively “dark” traits with their characteristic exploitative, cold, and manipulative nature. It is with these features that narcissism is associated with psychopathy and Machiavellianism. The residual of variance associated with narcissism is “lighter” in nature and it is with this residual, albeit small, that narcissism is associated with communal behaviors (McDonald, Donnellen, & Navarrete, 2012). Unfortunately, most research on this question has been limited in several
ways. First, attempts to capture variance in the Big Two has relied on various personality proxies (e.g., self-construals; Jonason, Foster, et al., 2017) and generally not attempted to capture variance in the Big Two with ostensible downstream behaviors. Second, the relationship between the Dark Triad traits and potential agentic or communal behaviors has been measured with a somewhat random assortment of disconnected behaviors like engaging in casual sex (Jonason et al., 2009) and failed to capture a wide range of behaviors to get at potentially larger patterns. Third, while the Dark Triad traits might be correlated with both agency and communion (Rauthmann & Kolar, 2013), comparing the relative magnitude of these associations has been rare, yet it is important given the slightly orthogonal nature of agency and communion (Abele & Wojciszke, 2007). In this study, we attempt to better understand how the Dark Triad traits are related to the Big Two traits. In this study, we capture individual differences in agency and communion using a behavioroid (i.e., behavioral intentions) method. We contend that agency can be revealed in a behavioral syndrome characterized by fast life history (i.e., r-selected; mating over survival, now over later) behaviors like pleasure-seeking, sexual encounters, and risk-taking whereas communion can be revealed in a behavioral syndrome characterized by slow life history behaviors (i.e., K-selected, survival over mating, the future over the present) like connecting with friends and family. Life history (Wilson, 1975) research on the Dark Triad traits has already revealed that the traits are related to range of ostensible agentic/fast behaviors like smoking, casual sex, and drug use (Jonason, Koenig, & Tost, 2010). Therefore, we predict that all the Dark Triad traits will be correlated with a behavioral bias towards agency; effects that will be especially pronounced, relative to communal biases, in those characterized by psychopathy and Machiavellianism. However, as noted above, people characterized by narcissism might also be characterized by some communal biases. For instance, while narcissism has its agentic roots, it also has been studied in the form of “communal narcissism” as well (Gebauer, Sedikides, Verplanken, & Maio, 2012). Therefore, we predict narcissism will also be correlated with a communal behavioral bias; an effect that will be equal to that of agency given the balance of dark and light aspects of narcissism.

Around the world, there are sex differences in the Dark Triad traits (Jonason, Foster, et al., 2017) and agency and communion (Kashima et al., 1995). Both may reflect men’s greater tendency to engage in fast life history strategies than women. Men may be more agentic, and higher on the Dark Triad traits, because ancestrally, the social and physical cost-benefit ratio is more favorable than it is for women. Being self-interested may allow men, more than women, to improve their reproductive success and resource acquisition while paying fewer costs (Jonason & Lavertu, 2017). Because modern psychological systems are a function of ancestral challenges (according to evolutionary psychologists), we expect to replicate sex differences in both. The fast life, selfish strategies to deal with intersexual and intrasexual conflict along with survival challenges, like the Dark Triad traits, are not typical of the entire male population. Given the selfish nature of agency, we expect that men characterized by the Dark Triad traits may be especially likely to engage in agentic behaviors. Therefore, we expect sex differences in behavioral manifestations of agency and communion to be mediated by individual differences in the Dark Triad traits.

In this report, we detail our efforts to understand the Dark Triad traits in relation to the Big Two traits. We adopted an adaptionist paradigm and test for sex differences and mediation based on life history theory. We present the first study (we know of) using a behavioroid measure of agentic and communal behaviors in relation to the Dark Triad traits.

1. Method

1.1. Participants and procedure

Predominantly (70%) white/European American participants (N = 294; 142 men), aged 18–71 years (M = 35.51, SD = 11.03) were paid US$1 through Amazon’s Mechanical Turk to complete an online questionnaire. Only those participants from unique IP addresses were included to avoid violating the assumption of independence and only those participants who completed all the questions were included to address any concerns regarding missing data. Participants were informed of the nature of study and if they consented, proceeded through a series of self-report measures described below. Upon completion, participants were thanked, debriefed, and paid.

1.2. Measures

The 27-item Short Dark Triad questionnaire (Jones & Paulhus, 2014) was used to assess the Dark Triad traits. Participants indicated how much they agreed (1 = Strongly disagree; 5 = Strongly agree) with items such as “It’s not wise to tell your secrets” (i.e., Machiavellianism), “people see me as a natural leader” (i.e., narcissism), and “Payback needs to be quick and nasty” (i.e., psychopathy). Items were averaged for the corresponding measures of Machiavellianism (Cronbach’s α = 0.84), narcissism (α = 0.75), and psychopathy (α = 0.77).

To measure agentic and communal behaviors, we created an ad hoc list of 20 potential behaviors people might engage in. These items (and no other items) were chosen to capture a range of agentic and communal behaviors and a range of socially acceptable/unacceptable behaviors. Participants reported the likelihood (1 = Very unlikely; 5 = Very likely) of engaging in each behavior. Instead of engaging in pesky and problematic item-analyses, we reduced the 20 behaviors into two factors—agentic and communal behaviors—using principle components analysis (Table 1). These two aspects were somewhat orthogonal (r(292) = 0.25, p < .001). Correlations between the individual items and each Dark Triad trait can be found in Appendix A.

2. Results

People were more communal than agentic (t(293) = 21.69, p < .001, Cohen’s d = 1.55). Men scored higher in the Dark Triad traits and agency than women, but there was no difference in communion (see Table 2). The Dark Triad traits were correlated with more agentic behavior, narcissism was positively associated with communion, and Machiavellianism and psychopathy were more (positively) associated with agency than communion (see Table 3); effects that were constant across the sexes.

To disentangle the shared and unique variance in the Dark Triad traits, we tested three structural equation models where the latent and unique variance of each component analysis (Table 1). These two aspects were somewhat orthogonal (r(292) = 0.25, p < .001). Correlations between the individual items and each Dark Triad trait can be found in Appendix A.

2. Results

People were more communal than agentic (t(293) = 21.69, p < .001, Cohen’s d = 1.55). Men scored higher in the Dark Triad traits and agency than women, but there was no difference in communion (see Table 2). The Dark Triad traits were correlated with more agentic behavior, narcissism was positively associated with communion, and Machiavellianism and psychopathy were more (positively) associated with agency than communion (see Table 3); effects that were constant across the sexes.

To disentangle the shared and unique variance in the Dark Triad traits, we tested three structural equation models where the latent and unique variance predicted a latent agency factor (Fig. 1; χ²/df = 2.85), a model with only the unique relationships to the latent agency factor (Fig. 2; χ²/df = 6.72), and a model where only the latent variance predicted the agency factor (Fig. 3; χ²/df = 4.58). The latent variance was a strong predictor (standardized coefficients) of more agentic behavior whereas the residual variance in each trait predicted less agentic behavior. Fig. 2 presents the most parsimonious model but only had an acceptable fit whereas Fig. 3 had unacceptable fit. This is a weak confirmation of other work highlighting the utility of a latent Dark Triad factor over the traits themselves. Nevertheless, Fig. 1 was the best fitting model, suggesting that the shared and unique variance of each trait has something to offer to explain variance in agentic behaviors.

Last, we turn to the potential for mediation of sex differences in agency, but not communion given the lack of sex difference in the latter. In a hierarchical multiple regression, participant’s sex (Step 1)
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