The development of social farming in Italy: A qualitative inquiry across four regions
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ABSTRACT

In Italy, social farming (SF) did not develop homogeneously across the national territory. In this context, actors with SF aspirations may benefit from knowledge of the factors that fostered the development of SF in the areas in which it showed more remarkable progress. This study adopted a multi-level perspective in order to understand how SF developed in Italy, and to examine its evolution across regions at different stages of development. In order to achieve such aim, a literature study about the development of SF in Italy was carried out, and was followed by in-depth semi-structured interviews conducted with 29 stakeholders coming from four Italian regions (Tuscany, Latium, Abruzzo and Molise).

The findings indicate SF practices at the niches level managed to establish connections with the regime as positive results emerged, networks and support organizations were set up, universities became interested in SF, and policymakers started recognizing and funding SF. The different degrees of support coming from the public sector, SF and agricultural organizations, universities, and policymakers, contributed to the differences between the SF practices in the four regions under study. In particular, in the southernmost regions, several SF’s stakeholders showed less entrepreneurial skills, while the public authorities tended to have less knowledge about SF, and sometimes diffidence towards it.

In conclusion, some recommendations to foster the development of SF are to make SF practices’ success visible, to cultivate entrepreneurial skills, and to build and support networks between actors with different backgrounds, thus facilitating knowledge exchange.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Social farming (SF) is the use of farming activities as a means to provide health, social or educational benefits to a wide range of people (Hine et al., 2008). In Europe, the first SF activities date back to the 1960s, but the development of SF took different paths as a consequence of cultural, social, health, and educational differences between countries (Di Iacovo and O’Connor, 2009); therefore, the development of SF has been studied in several countries (Hassink and Van Dijk, 2006). There are two main models of SF in Europe: the Northern European specialized model of SF, and the Mediterranean Communitarian model of Social Farming (Di Iacovo et al., 2014). The Netherlands, for instance, is a pioneer in the SF sector (where it is referred to as zorglandbouw, meaning “care farming”), which started as a result of the initiative of both entrepreneurial farmers and health care professionals, and further developed thanks to the support of ministries and of national and regional organizations (Hassink et al., 2014). However, SF is at different stages of development across European countries. According to Wilcox (2007), SF spread in Europe in spite of a policy, and not because of it. Di Iacovo and O’Connor (2009) recognized four stages of development of SF, namely (1) the pioneering stage, (2) multi-functional agriculture, (3) SF as a recognized system in social/health care, and (4) SF as an inclusive model. These stages constitute a framework that can be used to compare the development of SF in different countries (O’Connor et al., 2010). However, SF practices in Europe do not only differ based on their developmental stage, but also on other characteristics; for example, they can be organized by the third sector (e.g., Italy and France), the private sector (e.g., Belgium) or be institutionalized (e.g., Germany); Social farms can also be family-based (e.g., the Netherlands), community-based (Italy, Ireland), and professionally-based (Germany). Finally, the proportion of care/education and agricultural activities also varies
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particular institutional legitimacy is achieved when newcomers comply with legitimacy of an innovation, two types are distinguished: institutional regimes (like lack of access to financing), creation of new supportive regime elements, and connecting niche innovations with the existing regime were identified as the main challenges for Dutch actors in the development of the SF sector (Hassink et al., 2013, 2014). Previous studies have also shown the importance of entrepreneurship, social action, and dedicated and influential boundary spanners that can link different sectors (Aarts et al., 2007; Hassink et al., 2014). Therefore, it may be expected that similar challenges are also prominent in the Italian situation. Moreover, the rationale behind the adoption of a MLP also lies in its suitability for the analysis of the dynamics that occur at different institutional levels; with this respect, transition management (TM) has also provided useful insights in the field of social innovation in Italian rural areas; in particular, TM is inspired by research on socio-technical transitions, and acknowledges the MLP's multi-level context, while also recognizing the impact of political negotiations on socio-technical change (Voß and Bornemann, 2011). The political dimension is indeed particularly important in the case of Italy, since the Italian countryside is facing increasingly complex challenges (economic difficulties, the State welfare crisis, and climate change among others), and hosts a variety of local political cultures and actors (Di Iacovo et al., 2014). Therefore, the analysis of socio-technical transitions through a MLP would also allow for the study of the political dynamics through which SF processes unfold.

At present, there is a scarcity of qualitative studies exploring SF in Italy through a MLP; however, such design has already been successfully adopted in research that identified the factors that influenced the development of SF in other countries (Hassink et al., 2014). Therefore, the aim of this study is to understand the development of SF in Italy through a multi-level perspective, and to compare SF practices across regions so as to examine their similarities and differences. As a result, some recommendations to further develop the SF sector in Italy are listed.

3. Methodology

This research involved SF stakeholders coming from four regions. The regions of Tuscany, Latium, Abruzzo and Molise were selected because, although they all present a strong rural character, SF practices across such regions were expected to show several differences. Such expectation was the result of a literature study and of the advice of Italian researchers and experts in the SF field. In particular, the SF sector was considered to have developed more in certain areas of Tuscany and Latium, and less in Abruzzo and Molise. The exploration of any differences and similarities both within and between regions, could therefore shed light on which factors hindered or contributed to the development of SF in such places. Practicalities (e.g., the regions’ locations, the research group’s connections with Italian stakeholders, and the study’s time frame) were also considered. Then, data were collected through a literature review and in-depth semi-structured interviews. The interviews took place in Italy between April and May 2016, and the study sample included 29 participants recruited through purposeful sampling. The interviewees’ backgrounds were varied, and included farmers, social workers, members of SF organizations, researchers, and policy advisors. The choice of such groups was guided by the relevance that they had in the development of SF in Italy. A list of the interviewees is provided in Table 1.
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