



Risk and return characteristics of Islamic equity funds

Raphie Hayat, Roman Kraeusl*

VU University Amsterdam, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 January 2010

Received in revised form 2 February 2011

Accepted 14 February 2011

Available online 24 February 2011

JEL classification:

G11

Keywords:

Islamic equity funds

Risk-return characteristics

Alternative investments

Ethical investing

Islamic finance

Social Responsible Investing

ABSTRACT

Islamic equity funds (IEFs) differ fundamentally from conventional equity funds since Muslims are prohibited to invest in certain companies/sectors and pay or receive interest. This paper analyzes the risk and return characteristics of a sample of 145 IEFs over the period 2000 to 2009. Our results show that IEFs are underperformers compared to Islamic as well as to conventional equity benchmarks. This underperformance seems to have increased during the recent financial crisis. We also find that IEF managers are bad market timers. They try to time the market, but in doing so, reduce the return rather than increasing it. An important implication of our results is that Muslim investors might improve their performance by investing in index tracking funds or ETFs rather than to invest in individual IEFs.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Islamic investing differs from conventional investing because Muslims are prohibited to receive and to pay interest, to invest in unethical companies such as alcohol producers, and to speculate. Muslims can however invest in the stock market via so-called Islamic equity funds (IEFs). These are the Islamic counterpart to conventional mutual funds, which are investments vehicles that allow people who lack the knowledge, skill, or time to manage their own wealth to prosper from the returns of international equity markets. IEFs were almost non-existent before 1990 when Muslim scholars reached consensus regarding the permissibility of equity investing. Since then, IEFs have gained considerable interest among Muslim investors along with the increased wealth in the Middle-East. The attention given to ethical investing by non-Muslim investors who see Islamic investing as a close substitute of social responsible investing (SRI) further added to the attractiveness of IEFs. Despite its popularity, research on the risk and return characteristics of IEFs is very scarce.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: rkraeusl@feweb.vu.nl (R. Kraeusl).

Annur et al. (1997) examine the performance of 31 Malaysian mutual funds over the period 1990 to 1995. Many of these funds are Islamic and thus provide a proxy for Islamic fund performance. They find empirical evidence that these Malaysian funds did outperform the Kuala Lumpur Composite Index (KLCI) benchmark, but that the fund managers were rather poor at timing the market. Ahmad (2001) presents a very rough guide to IEF performance by evaluating 13 IEFs individually. Although the author states that some Islamic funds outperform benchmarks like the MSCI, his analysis lacks a thorough statistical analysis. Zaher and Hassan (2001) provide an overview of the returns of 37 IEFs for the period 1997–1999 and infer that ethical investments offer a good return compared to conventional mutual funds. However, they also do not back up their claims with thorough statistical analysis.

The paper closest to our work is the research by Abdullah et al. (2007). They analyze 65 Malaysian unit trusts including 14 Islamic and 51 conventional funds and conclude that both types of funds slightly underperformed the KLCI benchmark. When taking risk into account, they find that their sample of IEFs performs better than conventional funds during bear markets, while during bull markets it is the other way around. However, the major shortcoming of all previous research on the performance of IEFs is that it either entails only Malaysian funds or is not analyzing the risk-return characteristics in a rigorous manner. As it is an emerging market, the focus on Malaysia is understandable. Emerging markets still continue to provide return enhancement as well as risk-reduction to developed market portfolios (Buchanan et al., 2011). However, we go beyond the focus on Malaysia and analyze almost all existing IEFs.

In addition, this paper contributes to the literature on Islamic finance in numerous ways. First, we give a concise overview on the nature of Islamic investing by discussing the main opportunities and challenges. Second, we analyze in a thorough empirical study the performance and risk-return characteristics of 145 IEFs over the period January 2000 to February 2009. As such, we are also able to investigate the impact of the recent financial crisis of 2008/09 on the systematic risk of IEFs during down markets. We hypothesize that loss-averse investors prefer stocks that entail lower systematic risk during downswings and thus require less return. As such, we follow the seminal work by Ang et al. (2006) and explicitly specify a downside risk component.

We divide our sample of IEFs into five categories based on their geographical focus, namely funds invested (i) globally, (ii) only in the Malaysian market, (iii) Asia-Pacific, (iv) Europe and the Middle-East,¹ and (v) North America. To analyze the risk and return characteristics of IEFs we use different benchmarks per region (Bauer et al., 2005) and evaluate their performance against Islamic as well as conventional equity indices.

We estimate the risk-adjusted performance (alpha) and systematic risk (beta) for each IEF using Jensen's (1968) version of the capital asset pricing model (CAPM). We find that IEFs on average have underperformed their Islamic and conventional benchmarks over the sample period of 2000–2009. After controlling for fund size and HAC standard errors our findings remain robust. In order to analyze the market timing ability of IEF's fund managers we employ the Treynor and Mazuy (1966) standard regression approach as well as the non-parametric method by Jiang (2003). We find that IEFs do engage in market timing and that IEF managers significantly miss-time the market.

IEFs have been characterized in the Islamic finance literature as outperformers during bear market conditions (e.g., Abdullah et al., 2007). We test this proposition during the recent financial market crash of 2008–2009 and find that, on the contrary, IEFs significantly underperformed both their Islamic and conventional benchmarks during these turbulent times. Moreover, the underperformance is larger during this bear market than during the whole sample period. In a final step, we investigate the downside risk characteristics of IEFs (Ang et al., 2006). As such, we estimate the sensitivity of IEFs to the market, while conditioning for negative market excess returns. Our results indicate that IEFs have a slightly larger exposure to the market during downswings although not significantly so.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the basic principles of Islamic finance and provides a short overview on the IEF industry. Section 3 presents our sample of IEFs and some descriptive statistics. Section 4 discusses our empirical findings. We first present the CAPM regression results; then we analyze the market timing ability of IEF managers, before we explicitly investigate the performance during financial market turmoil and the role downside risk might play in explaining the overall weak performance of IEFs. Section 5 provides our conclusions.

¹ We group the regions Europe and Middle-East together because they separately had too few observations.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات