

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](#)

China Economic Review



How does foreign direct investment affect industrial competitiveness? Evidence from China[☆]

Kevin Honglin ZHANG

Department of Economics, Illinois State University, Normal, IL 61790-4200, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 October 2012

Received in revised form 24 April 2013

Accepted 20 August 2013

Available online xxxx

JEL Classification:

F21

F23

O30

O53

Keywords:

Industrial competitiveness (IC)

Multinational corporations (MNCs)

Foreign direct investment (FDI)

ABSTRACT

Industrial competitiveness (IC) is a country's ability to produce and export manufactured goods competitively. How does foreign direct investment (FDI) affect IC in China? The significance of the topic, besides the intrinsic importance of IC, is heightened by outstanding performance of the Chinese industry and massive increase in FDI flows into China in the past decade. Yet empirical studies on the issue in the literature have been limited. This article attempts to close the gap by estimating the role of FDI with a large panel data of 21 manufacturing sectors for 31 regions in six years (2005–2010). We construct, following the method of UNIDO (2002), the IC index to measure multidimensional industrial performance. Several empirical specifications are developed for IC level and IC growth with both panel and cross-section data. Results suggest that FDI has large positive effects on China's industrial performance; such effects are much greater on low-tech manufacturing than medium- and high-tech industries, and the contribution is enhanced by FDI's interaction with local human capital. The role of FDI increases with FDI inflows over the period, and changes in FDI affect changes in industrial performance.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Industry remains as a key driving force of economic growth and development, especially in developing countries.¹ Industrial competitiveness (IC), defined as a country's ability to produce and export manufactured goods competitively, reflects not only a country's industrial capacity, but also its technological sophistication and industrial upgrading. China has experienced the largest IC improvements in the world since 1978, when it started its open-door policy and market-oriented economic reforms. According to the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), China's global IC ranking rose by 24 in 1985–1998, from 61st to 37th (UNIDO, 2002), and even moved up to 5th in 2009, following Singapore, United States, Japan, and Germany (UNIDO, 2011).² China's

[☆] The early version of the paper was presented at CES China Conference held in Kaifeng, China, June 23–24 of 2012. Thanks go to participants in the meeting for helpful comments and Chaoran He and Yuting Tan for their excellent research assistance. Dr. Tony Fang, an anonymous referee, and Nicholas Holtkamp are appreciated for their useful comments and suggestions. Of course, any errors remain my own.

E-mail address: khzhang@ilstu.edu.

¹ Industry has been the main engine for growth and for transforming the economic structure of poor countries since the industrial revolution. Rapid technical progress and the rising share of services in income makes industrialization even more important for developing countries. Industry is the main source, user and diffuser of technical progress and associated skills. Industry also leads institutional development, produces beneficial externalities, stimulates modern services, and modernizes enterprises (UNIDO, 2002).

² Two points are noted about the IC ranks by countries. First, UNIDO reported global rankings of competitive industrial performance in 2002 (87 countries for 1985 and 1998), 2004 (93 countries for 1980, 1990 and 2000), 2009 (122 countries for 2000 and 2005), and 2011 (118 countries for 2005 and 2009). While China's rank went up in all ranking periods, the four rankings may not be comparable with each other due to different samples of countries and data used in each period. Second, two additional indicators, share of manufacturing value-added in the world and share of manufacturing exports in the world, are included in the index of the fourth ranking in 2011. This raises China's rank by at least 10, since China is a globally top country in both manufacturing value-added and manufacturing exports. Nevertheless, even after taking account of them, China is still likely to outperform all other countries in improving its industrial performance in the past three decades.

achievement seems to owe much to the adoption of radical initiatives to encourage inward foreign direct investment (FDI) made by multinational corporations (MNCs). By plugging into global value chains organized by MNCs and becoming suppliers of labor-intensive products and components, China seems to have started the innovation and learning process in order to build its industrial capabilities (UNIDO, 2011).

While there is a large body of literature on the role of FDI in the Chinese economy, studies on the FDI-IC link have been limited.³ In particular, little work has been devoted to empirically investigating effects of FDI on China's industrial performance (UNIDO, 2011). This paper attempts to close the gap by providing a quantitative assessment of the role of FDI in growing Chinese IC. We first theoretically identify possible channels through which FDI may affect host-country industries. Then we specify empirical models in which a comprehensive measure of IC and different proxies of FDI are developed. Finally we estimate effects of FDI on host IC with both panel and cross-section data.

This study has several distinctive features. First, using the data for 21 manufacturing sectors in six years (2005–2010), it computes four indicators of industrial capabilities, with which the IC index is constructed for 31 regions of China. This index, based on the method developed by UNIDO (2002), perhaps is the best measure of multidimensional industrial performance. Second, we use two measures, per capita industrial output by foreign-invested enterprises (FIE) and FIE share of industrial output in total, to proxy the FDI variable in detecting whether or not Chinese industries benefit from activities of MNCs. Third, it employs a fairly straightforward model that is parsimonious and yet includes most major IC determinants as well as FDI. In addition to estimates of the IC level, it conducts regressions for structural changes in IC over 2005–2010 and for the impact of the initial stock of IC determinants in 2005 on subsequent IC in 2010. Fourth, to explore channels through which MNCs affect China's industry, it investigates how each of the four indicators composed of the IC index may be influenced by FDI. Last, the paper studies, as some other researchers have done, the possible complementarity between FDI and local human capital.

2. Theoretical framework

FDI is considered to be a key channel for host countries to raise industrial performance (Markusen & Venables, 1999). FDI comprises a package of tangible and intangible assets that includes capital, technology, know-how, skills, brand names, organizational and managerial practices, access to markets, competitive pressures, and environmentally sound technologies (Caves, 1996). Taken together, these advantages mean that FDI can contribute to the host-country's IC through the following ways.

2.1. Introducing new products, new processes, and new practices

FDI can introduce new technologies not previously in use in host economies through new products, new processes, and new practices. Along with the new technologies, MNCs introduce and develop new skills to operate the technologies (Grossman & Helpman, 1991; Potterie & Lichtenberg, 2001). Also new ideas introduced by FDI may increase host-country stock of ideas that stimulates innovation.

2.2. Backward and forward linkages

MNCs may promote industrial productivity through transferring technology to firms that supply them with intermediate goods (backward linkage) and to buyers of foreign affiliates' products (forward linkage) (Rodriguez-Clare, 1996). In backward linkages MNCs may enhance the productivity of local supplier firms by providing technical assistance/information, facilitating innovations and production upgrading, and helping local firms in purchasing raw materials and intermediary goods (Javorcik, 2004). Forward linkages may benefit local distributors from the marketing and other knowledge of MNCs, or downstream local firms which can use higher-quality and/or lower-priced intermediate goods in their own production process.

2.3. Establishing R&D facilities

While MNCs tend to keep most of their R&D centralized at home headquarters, they increase expenditures in building or expanding their R&D bases in host economies (UNCTAD, 2005). These R&D activities may help create a local capacity to generate knowledge, because of the partially public-good characteristics of these activities.

2.4. Demonstration and competition

Domestic firms (usually competitors of foreign affiliates) may upgrade their own production methods through learning by watching from exposure to the MNCs' superior technology (Crespo & Fontoura, 2007; Zhang, 2001). The increased competition brought by the entry of MNCs may force local firms to improve and upgrade their technologies.

³ There are some case studies on particular aspects of the globalization-IC link (for example, Amann, 2002; Weiss & Jalilian, 2004) and on the FDI-economic growth (for example, Borenszten, De Gregorio, & Lee, 1998; Ram & Zhang, 2002). The relevant studies on China include Zhang (2001), Zhao and Zhang (2010), and Ouyang and Fu (2012).

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات