Associations between continuity of care in infant-toddler classrooms and child outcomes
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Ensuring that young children, especially infants and toddlers, experience consistency in child care providers over time is a practice endorsed by multiple professional organizations. This practice, commonly referred to as continuity of care (CoC), is recommended for center-based group settings to provide infants and toddlers with the sensitive, responsive care needed to promote early development. Despite widespread endorsement, there has been limited empirical examination of CoC. This study examines the extent to which CoC experienced in infant-toddler center-based care is associated with social-emotional and language development. Associations of CoC with children's social-emotional development during the infant-toddler years and with later social-emotional and language outcomes at age 3 were investigated in a large sample of children attending high-quality early childhood programs designed for young children growing up in poverty. During the infant-toddler years, CoC was related to higher teacher ratings of self-control, initiative, and attachment, and lower ratings of behavior concerns. In addition, a classroom quality × CoC interaction indicated that CoC differences were larger in higher, than lower, quality infant-toddler classrooms. In contrast, CoC in infant-toddler classrooms was not related to rates of change in teacher ratings of social skills during the infant-toddler years nor to children's vocabulary development or ratings of social skills after they transitioned to preschool. Neither were there quality × CoC interactions at preschool. These findings do not provide clear support for the current widespread recommendations for CoC, but suggest a need for additional research. The need for future research to more fully understand associations with child outcomes as well as to examine potential impacts of CoC on teachers, families, and peers is highlighted.

\section{Introduction}

Infant-toddler care is the fastest growing and most sought-after form of child care in the U.S., according to the National Association of Child Care Resource and Referral Agencies (2008). Currently, approximately 50% of U.S. children birth to age 3 experience a regular child care arrangement, with the percentage enrolled in center-based care increasing with age from 9% of children under 12 months to 20% of 2- to 3-year-olds (NSECE Project Team, 2015). Based on developmental and attachment theories (e.g., Ainsworth, Blehar, Waters, & Wall, 1978; Sroufe, 1988; Thompson, 2000), multiple professional organizations have endorsed the provision of continuity in nonparental caregivers, commonly referred to as continuity of care (CoC), as a recommended practice with the intent to provide infants and toddlers with the sensitive, responsive care needed to promote early development (Sosinsky et al., 2016). Despite this widespread endorsement, there has been limited empirical examination of CoC (Sosinsky et al., 2016). For this reason, this study uses an existing dataset to examine the extent to which CoC experienced in infant-toddler center group settings is associated with social-emotional and language outcomes in a network of programs that all endorsed the goal of providing CoC for young children. Specifically, the research focused on whether low-income children experiencing CoC during their infant-toddler center-based care show more advanced social-emotional development in the short- and longer-term than children without CoC, with an added examination of receptive vocabulary at age 3. Given the research relating early care to child development.
outcomes in middle childhood and adolescence (e.g., NICHD EYCCRN, 2005, 2006; Vandell et al., 2010), the growing number of infants and toddlers experiencing center-based care, and the emphasis on CoC in professional recommendations, careful examination of the relative and interactive contributions of CoC and quality in a large sample is crucial.

1.1. Continuity of care

CoC is an intentional grouping and staffing pattern implemented in infant-toddler center-based care to enhance consistency in caregivers (Sosinsky et al., 2016). CoC for infants and toddlers occurs when the child remains with the same caregiver across multiple years (Cryer, Hurwitz, & Wolery, 2001), preferably until 36 months of age (Program for Infant/Toddler Care, n.d.). It can occur when a caregiver is assigned to a group of similarly-aged infants, and that group remains together until the children all move to preschool at the same time (McMullen, Yun, Mihai, & Kim, 2016; Sosinsky et al., 2016). This arrangement is referred to as looping. It can also occur in mixed-age infant-toddler classrooms when infants enter at various times and stay with the teacher until they reach the age to move to the preschool classrooms (Sosinsky et al., 2016; Theilheimer, 2006), and are replaced within their former classroom with young infants. As noted by McMullen et al. (2016), furnishings, equipment, materials, supplies, room location, and peers may change in CoC, but the central characteristic is that teachers/caregivers, and thus the relationship between an individual child and teacher, remain intact for a given child over an extended period of time.

The premise, regardless of strategy, is that if the child and his/her caregiver stay together for an extended period of time, the caregiver’s knowledge and understanding about that child and his/her family will deepen, resulting in trust and security between and among all within the group – caregiver, child, and family members (Essa, Favre, Thweatt, & Waugh, 1999; Sosinsky et al., 2016; Theilheimer, 2006). Based on attachment theory, the infant should be able to develop a secure attachment with this caregiver if that person is responsive and sensitive, and that attachment serves as a secure basis for the development of subsequent social and cognitive skills (Sroufe, 1988).

CoC became more prevalent in the U.S. in the 1990s during a period when a number of factors converged: rates of maternal employment for mothers of infants and toddlers increased; debate about the potential effects of maternal employment and the associated increase in use of out-of-home care for infants and toddlers reached a high point; attachment principles and results of studies of secondary attachment became better known; and infant-toddler care child was of notably poor quality. Findings related to infant-toddler center-based child care and associations with attachment and caregiver consistency provide the rationale and justification for CoC (Sosinsky et al., 2016) and thus are discussed in more detail below.

1.1.2. Attachment theory and research

Attachment theory (Ainsworth et al., 1978) posits that infants’ early development depends on frequent, warm, consistent interactions with their primary caregivers. Those interactions promote close, secure, and nurturing relationships between the caregiver and infant that serve as the foundation for children’s subsequent language and socio-emotional development (Sroufe, 1988). Applied to infant-toddler care, high rates of teacher turnover and frequent changes in caregivers were thought to place the early development of infants and toddlers in jeopardy (Thompson, 2000).

Research indicated that infants formed attachment relationships with their child care providers as well as their parents (Howes & Hamilton, 1992; Van IJzendoorn, Sagi, & Lambermon, 1992). Raikes (1993) reported that a higher percentage of children had secure attachments with teachers when they had been with the same teacher for more than one year. Howes and Spieker (2008) noted that extended interactions with a child may increase a caregiver’s emotional investment in the child and promote responsive caregiving. Additionally, more time and experience with a child may help a caregiver read and appropriately respond to a child’s unique cues and needs. Ahnert, Pinquart, and Lamb (2006) reported that children were more likely to receive sensitive and responsive care and to develop secure relationships with their caregivers when the dyads were together for a longer period of time. This responsive and individualized care, in turn, has been found to support children’s development in a variety of areas. For example, higher levels of language development and greater levels of peer play have been associated with sensitive and responsive caregiving experienced in group care (NICHD EYCCRN, 2005).

1.1.3. Practices in infant-toddler out-of-home settings

Over the past several decades some infant-toddler group care in the U.S. implemented practices consistent with attachment theory and research, seeking to provide infants and toddlers with caregivers/teachers who offer children a secure base and a secondary attachment during their time away from primary caregivers. For example, the Program for Infant/Toddler Care (PITC, Lally & Mangione, 2009), a widely-used infant-toddler approach (Horm, Goble, & Branscomb, 2011), provides a philosophy and strategies for promoting responsive, relationship-based infant-toddler center-based care. PITC and similar programs advocate practices consistent with attachment research by keeping children and teachers together throughout the infant-toddler period until age 3 (Program for Infant/Toddler Care, n.d.). In fact, CoC is one of PITC’s anchor policies (Mangione, 2006).

Several professional organizations and groups including Zero to Three, the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC), the National Head Start Association (NHS) and Early Start, and the Center for Law and Social Policy (CLASP) have also endorsed CoC as a recommended practice with infants and toddlers (Sosinsky et al., 2016). For some, maintaining the same caregiver during the infant-toddler period is viewed as a key indicator of high-quality infant care (Copple, Bredekamp, Koralek, & Charner, 2013; Zero to Three, 2008). However, in early research infant-toddler teachers were reported to be less enthusiastic about the practice; for example, fewer than half of 273 early childhood teachers in one study agreed that infants and toddlers should have the same teacher until age 3 (Cryer et al., 2001). On the other hand, De-Souza (2012) in a dissertation study using in-depth interviews with 21 center directors, found that directors supported the concept but had concerns about whether it could be implemented in their own programs due to staff issues. Thus, philosophical support for CoC may be more wide-spread than the practice, although there is little research documenting the implementation of CoC.
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