

# Competition and the regulation of economic development

J.S. Metcalfe\*, R. Ramlogan

*ESRC Centre for Research on Innovation and Competition, University of Manchester,  
Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK*

Accepted 6 December 2004  
Available online 2 March 2005

---

## Abstract

This paper explores the idea that the competitive process is central to a process of economic development and that it underpins the self-transformation of economic systems and thus structural change. Innovation plays a key role in the competitive process and links economic development to enterprise and the growth of knowledge in general. In this view, competition is an evolutionary process, the dynamics of which depend on processes of variation and selection. A scheme for accounting for evolutionary growth that incorporates structural change and the entry and exit of activities is outlined and used to explore Price's theorem in evolutionary dynamics. In the final part of the paper, we discuss the problems of the anti-trust approach to competition policy in developing countries. We argue that the most plausible competition policy is a pro-innovation policy in which markets are open to entry and enforce exit and in which abnormal profits and losses are the norm.

© 2005 Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Competitive process; Growth; Economic development; Enterprise

---

“Typically the fear of competition is itself a stimulus to innovation, thus the tendency towards a stationary state affects the stationary state itself and we have a non equilibrium process”. Foster (2001, p. 325)

---

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 161 275 7371.

*E-mail address:* stan.metcalfe@man.ac.uk (J.S. Metcalfe).

## 1. Introduction and overview

The central theme of this paper is competition as the regulator and promoter of economic development and our discussion is premised on the idea that competition and development are complementary processes for the transformation of economies from within. Their complementarity resides in several dimensions. These dimensions reflect the open ended growth and application of new knowledge, they depict an economy that is far from equilibrium, and they are processes that cannot be understood in terms of the comparative statics of self-contained equilibria. Most fundamentally, the relevant processes are discovery processes in which search, uncertainty, and choice over decisions with necessarily unknowable outcomes are intrinsic features of competition and development (Bruton, 1985). In short, competition and development share the attributes of experimental, evolutionary processes that are ordered but are necessarily unstable; for if they were not unstable they could never escape the prevailing set of attractors. Stable systems cannot develop they can at best grow in terms of a given set of activities; they cannot acquire attributes different from those they currently have. As Ulrich Witt and others have pointed out, this fundamental fact about development links development and competition to the emergence of novelty and the self-transformation of the economy (Dosi, 2000; Metcalfe, 2001; Witt, 2003b). A process view of competition and development connects to new uses for resources, to the creation of new activities, to the formation of new patterns of consumption and demand, and to innovation and rivalry; its essential attribute is the link between enterprise and economic self-transformation. We contrast this view with the more familiar idea of regulating states of competition, arguing that the static theory of resource allocation, in which the conventional ‘anti-trust’ case is grounded, is a distorting mirror in which to reflect the competition policy needs of developing economies. The static foundations of the traditional view make no effective connection with economic development as a process, and thus a competition policy formulated in these terms, we argue, is too narrow a basis for the formulation of a pro-development competition policy. Indeed, the central thrust of our argument is that the promotion of competition as a process of business rivalry is not the same as the regulation of the market behaviour of errant firms. Rivalry connects directly to enterprise and innovation and thus to the wider framework of competition policy. Traditional views of the abuse of market power and the definition of markets are displaced by a concern with open markets, the prevention of exclusionary practices by incumbent firms and the stimulation of enterprise (Charles River Associates, 2002; Krattenmaker, Lande, & Salop, 1986).

The consequences of this shift to a developmental perspective are considerable for it rules out of consideration many of the existing frames for thought that relate to the idea of economies as equilibrium states of affairs and which formulate competition policy in these terms. First, it should be obvious that any system that is in equilibrium has exhausted all the internal tendencies to change and that any alteration in its state can only be imposed from outside through the independent action of some external force that cannot, by definition, be part of the conditions determining that equilibrium state. Yet it is scarcely controversial to suggest that development takes place from within an economy and, therefore, that that economy cannot be in equilibrium if it is to experience development. Indeed, recognition of this fact is the great contribution made by Schumpeter in his *Theory of Economic Development* (1911) in which competition, enterprise and development are woven together

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

**ISI**Articles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات