



## Branch banking network assessment using DEA: A benchmarking analysis—A note

Aude Deville\*

LEG-FARGO, IAE, University of Bourgogne, PEG, BP 26 611, 21 066 Dijon Cedex, France

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Operational efficiency  
Benchmarking  
Aggregated performance measure  
Banking network

### ABSTRACT

This paper presents a benchmarking analysis of the branches and regional banks of a large French banking group. The analysis focuses on operational performance. Starting from an 'individual' diagnosis at branch level, a 'network' diagnosis is developed at regional bank level. The aim of the paper is to develop (i) operational performance indicators from the inefficiency score and (ii) a benchmarking procedure adapted to the network structure of the banking group under study. The banking group comprises 1611 branches that form 16 regional groups. The branches operate in six different business environments. A method is therefore required (a) to aggregate the inefficiency scores of individual branches to evaluate the regional groups and (b) to integrate the differences in environment into the evaluation procedure. Inefficiency scores are calculated using the data envelopment analysis (DEA) approach. This is based on the principle of comparison; once identified, the best practices are used to construct the efficiency frontier. Each entity is then positioned relative to that frontier. The model proposed in this paper determines one efficiency frontier for each type of environment. The results reveal that 30% of branches are efficient. Special emphasis is placed on quantifying productivity gains at regional bank level and on practicing intra- and inter-regional bank benchmarking.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Retail banking has always erred on the side of caution. The corollary of such practice has been the creation of inertia in branch management and performance evaluation. Limited competition meant that banks have long led sheltered existences. But this is no longer the case. Henceforth, making the right strategic choices and developing a competitive edge are crucial. It is critical that these choices be informed by relevant performance measurements. The purpose of this study is to illustrate a data envelopment analysis (DEA) approach to assessing bank branch network performance and benchmarking practices. Operational performance evaluation is the core manage-

ment activity as far as bank networks are concerned. Although improving performance is widely recognized as essential to gaining competitive advantage (see Berger et al., 1997), managers often do not have the best evaluation techniques at their disposal. The main goal of this paper is to suggest a remedy for this technical shortfall. We show that it is possible to develop an operational performance measurement that enables banks (i) to avoid wasting resources and (ii) to identify the best practices both at an individual level (the branches) and at an aggregated level (the regional banking group).

Traditionally, output-to-input ratios—productivity ratios—are the most commonly used approaches to computing operational performance. Despite their popularity, they present several drawbacks (Kamakura et al., 1996; Schaffnit et al., 1997; Donthu and Yoo, 1998; Halkos and Salamouris, 2004). In this paper we highlight the fact that they are not suitable for decision-making processes.

\* Tel.: +33 380 593 505.

E-mail address: [aude.deville@u-bourgogne.fr](mailto:aude.deville@u-bourgogne.fr).

How can managers rate branches by their productivity if productivity itself is evaluated by a multitude of partial productivity ratios?<sup>1</sup> The production process of a given branch involves multiple inputs and outputs occurring simultaneously. The DEA approach allows us to evaluate the operational performance of this branch and provides a single all-round index encompassing all facets of branch activity. This approach is especially well adapted to benchmarking because it measures performance relative to the best practices observed. The operational performance indicator laid out in this paper is a technical inefficiency score.

This study has two closely related objectives. The first is to compute the technical inefficiency of branches in terms of their ability to avoid wasting resources allocated by regional bank management. Here, a *fair*<sup>2</sup> evaluation procedure is adopted; it allows us to neutralize the uncontrollable effects of location on branch activity (Charnes et al., 1981; Banker and Morey, 1986; Athanassopoulos, 1998; Zenios et al., 1999). The second objective is to calculate the productivity gains at regional bank level. This is achieved by aggregating the technical inefficiency score using a directional measure (Chambers et al., 1996; Briec, 1997; Färe and Grosskopf, 2000; Briec et al., 2003).

More precisely, we develop an operational performance measure for individual branches. This is typical of many DEA studies carried out both inside and outside the financial services sector (Lovell and Pastor, 1997). However, we then use this measure to evaluate the operational performance of regional banking networks. This is a new development because we suggest a way of calculating an aggregate inefficiency score using an output directional measure of technical inefficiency rather than the radial measure most widely used today.

Our contribution through this paper is to provide a means of measuring the performance of different levels of a banking network on the basis of operational criteria. The results of this research enable both individual and aggregate benchmarking analysis to be implemented. Specifically, two outcomes can be seen in anecdotal evidence of the managerial consequences of inefficiency scoring within the banking group. First, two of what were identified as the poorest-performing regional banking groups modified the way branch-level performance targets were determined: individual inefficiency scores enabled 'realistic' targets to be set (deemed realistic because other branches faced with similar trade environments had reached these same targets). These new performance measurements gave fresh motivation to branch managers and technical inefficiency scoring prompted new managerial initiatives because such scores were widely accepted and validated. Second, we can spot certain novel prac-

tices from among the best branch practices observed. Some branch managers innovated in their way of selling or using banking/financial products, but regional bank managers often remained unaware of such good practices. Thanks to efficiency diagnostics, best practices could be identified, developed and applied at all levels of the organization.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the linear program developed to evaluate the technical inefficiency of the 1611 branches and 16 networks of one unnamed French bank. Section 3 discusses some already published DEA studies and describes the bank branch production process. Section 4 lays out our empirical findings and proposes both individual and aggregate benchmarking analyses. Finally, Section 5 presents our concluding remarks.

## 2. A DEA framework

The background to the DEA approach is frontier analysis, a benchmarking tool based on observed best practice to assess the performance of entities. This approach calculates an efficiency frontier using linear programming (for a fully worked empirical example, see Thanassoulis, 1999).

### 2.1. Directional measurement of technical inefficiency

The aim of the paper is to develop (i) operational performance indicators from the inefficiency score and (ii) a benchmarking procedure adapted to the network structure of the banking group under study. The banking group comprises 1611 branches that form 16 regional groups. The branches operate in six different business environments. A method is therefore required (a) to aggregate the inefficiency scores of individual branches to evaluate the regional groups and (b) to integrate the differences in environment into the evaluation procedure. Inefficiency scores are calculated using the data envelopment analysis (DEA) approach. This is based on the principle of comparison; once identified, the best practices are used to construct the efficiency frontier. Each entity is then positioned relative to that frontier. The model proposed in this paper determines one efficiency frontier for each type of environment (hence six efficiency frontiers in the empirical application). The efficiency frontier is constructed by splitting the branches into six trade environments; it does not take branch membership of a particular regional group into account.

In this paper, we opt for a directional measure of technical inefficiency even though most papers use a radial measure of technical inefficiency.<sup>3</sup> As Sherman and Gold

<sup>1</sup> A multitude of partial productivity measures is generally used. The input factor is usually the number of employees and the output factor may vary with the various facets of branch activity—including traditional banking products (savings account and loans) and non-traditional banking products (damage insurance and financial savings).

<sup>2</sup> We use the word "fair" because the inefficiency score developed in this study complies, as far as possible, with the familiar controllability principle (cf. Merchant, 1987).

<sup>3</sup> As a remark, we emphasize that choosing between a radial and a directional inefficiency measure depends on the level of the performance analysis. We suggest a breakdown into three levels of analysis for the banking group: (1) when adopting a branch–individual–viewpoint, a radial measure is the most appropriate because it indicates a percentage in comparison with its initial, individual output, (2) when adopting a regional bank–network–viewpoint, a directional measure, specific to each regional bank, is the most appropriate because it can be used to compare branches within each regional bank (in the event, the radial measure is used at regional level and the directional measure at branch level), (3)

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

**ISI**Articles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات