#### Expert Systems with Applications 39 (2012) 5641-5648

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/eswa

# New best solutions to VRPSPD benchmark problems by a perturbation based algorithm

## Yeowoon Jun, Byung-In Kim\*

Department of Industrial and Management Engineering, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang, Kyungbuk 790-084, Republic of Korea

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: VRPSPD Vehicle routing problem Simultaneous pickup and delivery Sweep algorithm Perturbation

#### ABSTRACT

This paper discusses the vehicle routing problem with simultaneous pickup and delivery (VRPSPD), in which both pickup and delivery tasks simultaneously occur at various customer locations. The objective is to design a set of minimum distance routes with the minimum number of vehicles satisfying all the customers. This paper proposes a heuristic algorithm consisting of a route construction procedure, a route improvement procedure, and a solution perturbation procedure. A new sweep-based route construction method is developed to generate better initial solutions. In the route improvement procedure, a series of inter- and intra-route improvement algorithms are applied. The perturbation procedure perturbs a solution by removing some routes and stops from the solution and reinserting them into the solution. The last procedure is used to escape from local optima. Computational experiments on various benchmark instances are performed to evaluate our algorithm against the previously proposed approaches. New best solutions for many benchmark instances are found.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

In the classic vehicle routing problem (VRP), a set of delivery customers with known demands is to be served by a fleet of vehicles from a single distribution center, called a depot. Vehicles have identical capacities, and they all start and end their tour at the depot. The objective is to minimize the total distance traveled by the entire fleet.

The problems that need to be solved in real-life situations are usually much more complicated. For example, customers not only have delivery demands, but also pickup demands. Examples of these real-life problems include the VRP with mixed pickup and delivery, the dial-a-ride problem, and the VRP with simultaneous pickup and delivery (VRPSPD). In this paper, we discuss the VRPSPD.

The VRPSPD is a variation of the classic VRP, in which clients have both delivery and pickup demands, and each customer should be serviced by a single vehicle. Pickup and delivery should be performed simultaneously such that each customer is visited only once by a vehicle. For the VRPSPD in this paper, the objective is to minimize the total distance traveled by the entire fleet subject to the following considerations:

• Each customer should be serviced by a single vehicle.

- Each customer has both delivery and pickup demands. Either demand amount may have a value of 0.
- If a customer has both pickup and delivery demands, the delivery task is serviced before the pickup task.
- All vehicles have the same capacity and the same duration limit.
- At any point of a route, the loaded amount, that is, the sum of the up-to-that-point pickup and future-after-that-point delivery amounts cannot exceed the vehicle capacity.
- The route duration of a vehicle cannot exceed the vehicle duration limit.
- There is a single depot.
- All vehicles start from and end at the depot.

The VRPSPD was first introduced by Min (1989), who studied the book delivery and pick-up activity between a central library and 22 local libraries with a given number of capacitated vehicles. He proposed a cluster-first route-second approach, in which customer locations are clustered first and then a route for each cluster is generated by a traveling salesman problem (TSP) algorithm. Dethloff (2001) pointed out the importance of the VRPSPD in reverse logistics operations. His solution approach is based on a cheapest insertion method. The insertion criterion of the approach takes into account three metrics: travel distance, residual capacity, and radial surcharge. More recently, Nagy and Salhi (2005) proposed four insertion-based heuristics to solve VRPSPD. After constructing partial routes for a set of customers, the remaining customers are repetitively inserted into the partial routes by the



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +82 54 279 2371; fax: +82 54 279 2870. *E-mail address:* bkim@postech.ac.kr (B.-I. Kim).

<sup>0957-4174/\$ -</sup> see front matter  $\odot$  2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2011.11.053

insertion method. Montane and Galvao (2006) proposed a tabu search with a frequency penalization scheme to diversify the search space for VRPSPD. Zachariadis, Tarantilis, and Kiranoudis (2009) proposed a hybrid solution approach incorporating a tabu search and a guided local search. Ai and Kachivichyanukul (2009) presented a mathematical formulation of the VRPSPD and proposed a particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm as a solution approach.

Zachariadis, Tarantilis, and Kiranoudis (2010) proposed a local search metaheuristic solution approach for the VRPSPD. The proposed algorithm is capable of exploring wide solution neighborhoods by statistically encoding moves into special data structures. They also apply the adaptive memory algorithmic framework which collects and combines promising solution features to generate high-quality solutions.

Subramanian, Drummond, Bentes, Ochi, and Farias (2010) proposed a parallel metaheuristic for the VRPSPD, which is a master–worker based parallel model, and has three main parts. The first one estimates the number of vehicles; the second part corresponds to the automatic calibration of the parameter gamma, which is a factor that controls the bonus of inserting clients remotely located from the depot; and the third is the optimization phase. Catay (2010) developed an ant colony algorithm equipped with a new saving-based visibility function and a pheromone update procedure.

As the VRP is a very complex NP-hard problem (Golden, Ball, & Bodin, 1981), solving the real-life VRPs to optimality is often not possible within the limited computing time available in practical situations. Therefore, most of the research available has focused on heuristics and metaheuristic solution methods designed to produce high quality solutions within a given time. When all the pick-up demands of customers are set to 0, VRPSPD becomes VRP. The VRPSPD is NP-hard because its special case is NP-hard. Although it has recently drawn increased attention from researchers, it still requires development of better solution approaches.

In this paper, we propose a heuristic algorithm consisting of a route construction procedure, a route improvement procedure, and a solution perturbation procedure. A new sweep-based route construction method is developed to generate better initial solutions. The perturbation procedure perturbs a solution by removing some routes and stops from the solution and reinserting them into the solution. The last procedure is used to escape from local optima. Computational experiments on various benchmark instances are performed to evaluate our algorithm against previously proposed approaches. New best solutions for many benchmark instances are found.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 summarizes the main idea of the proposed heuristic algorithm and the improvement heuristics applied within our algorithm. Then we proceed to the description of the main structure of the solution algorithm, the initial solution procedure, improvement procedure and finally the perturbation strategies that are used to improve the initial solution. In Section 3 computational experiments on the benchmark data sets are presented. Section 4 provides conclusions and future research directions.

#### 2. The proposed algorithm

Our proposed algorithm generates an initial solution with a sweep-based route construction method, improves the solution using various improvement operators, perturbs the solution by removing and reinserting some routes and stops, and then improves the solution yet again. The last two steps are iterated until a stopping criterion is met. The concept of perturbation is borrowed from the adaptive large neighborhood search (ALNS) of Pisinger and Ropke (2007). The overall procedure of the proposed algorithm is as follows:

#### <Proposed algorithm>

- Step 1: Generate multiple initial solutions using the sweep variant construction algorithm and choose the best solution as the current solution.
- Step 2: Improve the current solution using various improvement operators. Set the best solution to be the current solution.
- Step 3: Perturb the current solution by removing and reinserting some stops.
- Step 4: Improve the current solution using various improvement operators. If the improved solution is better than the best solution, update the best solution.
- Step 5: Set the current solution to be the best solution.
- Step 6: Repeat Steps 3–5 until a stopping criterion is met.
- Step 7: Return the best solution.

The three sub-procedures will be described in detail below.

#### 2.1. A sweep variant for the route construction

The *sweep algorithm* (SWA) was first introduced by Gillett and Miller (1974), who named the idea of Wren (1971) and Wren and Holliday (1972) '*the sweep algorithm*.' This algorithm clusters a group of stops to form a route using polar angles between the depot and the stops. The SWA works as follows:

### <The Sweep Algorithm: SWA>

Step 0. Calculate the polar angle between each stop and the depot. Sort stops in increasing order of polar angle (the

counter-clockwise direction):  $s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots, s_n$ . Set k = 1.

- Step 1. Insertion order is  $s_k, s_{k+1}, s_{k+2}, \ldots, s_n, s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{k-1}$ .
- Step 2. Choose an unused vehicle.
- Step 3. Continue to assign unrouted stops to the vehicle according to the insertion order and construct a route while the sum of the pickup and delivery demand of stops does not exceed the capacity and duration limit of the vehicle.
- Step 4. If an unrouted stop exists, go to Step 2. Else, go to Step 5.
- Step 5. Record a solution.
- Step 6. If k < n, set k = k + 1 and go to Step 1. Else, go to Step 7.
- Step 7. Repeat Step 0–Step 6 with decreasing order of polar angles (the clockwise direction).
- Step 8. Return the solution with the minimum travel distance.

The original SWA clusters stops according to their polar angles, and assigns a vehicle to visit all the stops within each cluster. Because the original SWA clusters stops solely by polar angles, widely-separated stops may be grouped into the same cluster if they have similar polar angles (see Fig. 1(b)). It does not consider how a stop is nearly located; it only chooses the next stop with the polar angle order. When the distances between the stops are considered, a clustering similar to Fig. 1(c) is more desirable. With this line of idea, we extend the SWA and propose a sweep variant named *sweep nearest algorithm* (SWNA) for the construction procedure. In SWNA,

# دريافت فورى 🛶 متن كامل مقاله

- امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
  امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
  پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
  امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
  امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
  امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
  دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
  پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات
- ISIArticles مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران