



Legal protection of investors, corporate governance, and investable premia in emerging markets

Thomas O'Connor^{a,*}, Stephen Kinsella^b, Vincent O'Sullivan^b

^a Department of Economics, Finance and Accounting, National University of Ireland Maynooth, Maynooth, Co. Kildare, Ireland

^b Department of Economics, Kemmy Business School, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 October 2012

Received in revised form 3 July 2013

Accepted 12 July 2013

Available online 25 July 2013

JEL classification:

G15

G30

G34

Keywords:

Investability

Corporate governance

Tobin's q

Emerging markets

ABSTRACT

We examine the interaction between the legal protection of investors, corporate governance, and investable premia in emerging markets. In a multi-country setting and using a novel dataset we find that better-governed firms experience significantly greater stock price increases upon equity market liberalization. We look to see whether well-governed firms in poorly governed countries enjoy an investability premium as measured by Tobin's q . We find that they do. Investors look beyond the seemingly weak country-level governance structures, and focus on corporate governance.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Over the course of the last two decades, a large literature has examined whether stock market liberalizations have benefited individual firms and the overall economy (see for example [Mitton, 2006](#) for a firm-level analysis, and [Bekaert, Harvey, & Lundblad, 2001, 2005](#) who examine the gains from stock market liberalizations at the macro level). In general, this literature suggests that stock market liberalizations confer positive benefits on both firms and the economy as a whole. For example, at the firm-level and consistent with international asset pricing models, stock market liberalizations tend to reduce the cost of equity capital as a result of greater risk sharing between domestic and foreign investors. In turn, this lower cost of equity capital manifests in reduced financing constraints ([Kim & Signal, 2000](#)), increased investment ([Henry, 2000](#); [Mitton, 2006](#) using firm-level data), and improved operating performance ([Mitton, 2006](#)). [Mitton and O'Connor \(2012\)](#) show that these realized gains impact positively on the value of these firms. Using Tobin's q to proxy for firm value, they uncover an “investable premium” in the region of 9% for investable firms. At the country (aggregate) level, such reforms have resulted in greater investment and ultimately economic growth ([Bekaert et al., 2001, 2005](#); [Gamra, 2009](#)).

However, notwithstanding the positive gains documented in the literature to date, these gains are nonetheless, less than the gains theoretically predicted. One plausible explanation which may explain the apparent reluctance of foreign investors to invest relates to the notion of expropriation risk.¹ The intuition which underpins this argument is simple and is as follows; the greater

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +353 1 7086667.

E-mail address: Thomas.g.oconnor@nuim.ie (T. O'Connor).

¹ While corporate governance standards tend to be higher in developed countries, [Klapper and Love \(2004\)](#) and [Durnev and Kim \(2005, 2007\)](#) show that there exists sizable variation in governance standards within emerging market countries. In turn, they show that the extent of this variation is inversely related to the quality of country governance.

the likelihood of expropriation, the smaller the flow of equity capital to these countries since foreign investors incur sizable monitoring costs should they invest in firms with poor corporate governance. This line of reasoning leads to a simple testable hypothesis; better-governed firms should reap the largest value gains from stock market liberalizations since foreign investors are much more likely to invest in these firms. [Bae and Goyal \(2010\)](#) test this prediction using a sample of firms in the period immediately surrounding the liberalization of the Korean equity market in 1992. They hypothesize that if expropriation risk in part explains the smaller than expected gains from liberalizations, then holding the country governance constant (as their analysis focuses on a single country), and accounting for cross-sectional differences in corporate governance practices among Korean firms, then the largest post-liberalization value gains should accrue to better-governed firms. Using a variety of measures to capture the governance practices of Korean firms, this is in fact what they find. In this paper, we account for cross-sectional differences in corporate and country governance, and examine how their interaction can explain the value gains from stock market liberalizations.

Specifically, in this paper we examine the interaction between the legal protection of investors, corporate governance within firms, and “investable premia” in emerging markets. We generalise the findings of [Bae and Goyal \(2010\)](#), which looked only at South Korea, to a multi-country setting, and largely confirm their insight that better-governed firms experience significantly greater stock price increases upon equity market liberalization.

We go a step further than [Bae and Goyal \(2010\)](#), and deepen that insight by looking to see whether well-governed firms in poorly governed countries enjoy an “investability premium” as measured by Tobin’s q , following [Mitton and O’Connor \(2012\)](#). The intuition behind our paper is simple: firms with good corporate governance structures, but in countries with lower levels of foreign investment or weak investor protection should have premia attached to them, as they will flourish in their respective markets, especially with the help of outside capital injections and foreign expertise. In turn, this premium should be larger for these firms than for comparable firms from countries with poor investor protection. Recent evidence suggests that this is in fact the case ([Durnev & Kim, 2005](#); [Chen et al., 2009](#)). Thus, a priori, we would expect that if foreign investors place a large premium on good governance and place an even larger premium when country governance is weak, then better-governed firms from countries with poor governance should enjoy the largest “investable premia”. We find that they do. Our findings suggest that investors look beyond the seemingly weak country-level governance structures, and focus on firm-level corporate governance². As such, our findings are consistent with some recent empirical and survey evidence which suggests that firm-level and country-level governance are substitutes for one another in emerging markets and that good corporate governance is more highly valued when country governance is poor ([Chen, Chen, & Wei, 2009](#); [Durnev & Kim, 2005](#); [McCahery, Sautner, & Starks, 2010](#)).

The paper begins by carefully describing our data in [Section 2](#), moving on to a discussion of our regression models and results in [Section 3](#), and concludes with a discussion and suggestions for further work in [Section 4](#).

2. Data description

We begin by sourcing an initial sample of all 2784 firms from the major markets of the S&P/IFC Emerging Market Database that were deemed investable at any time between 1980 and 2000. Like [Mitton \(2006\)](#) and [Mitton and O’Connor \(2012\)](#), we measure the openness of a firm’s stock to foreign investors using the “investable” measure provided by the EMDB. The S&P/IFC designates a firm as investable if its stock is free from both country-level and firm-level restrictions on foreign investment. This means that the S&P/IFC determines whether the market is open to foreign institutions with respect to their ability to buy and sell shares on local stock exchanges and to repatriate capital. Firm-level restrictions refer to corporate by-laws, charters, or industry limitations on the foreign ownership of stock. The S&P/IFC requires that firms are free from these restrictions. They also require that the stocks have sufficient size (at least \$50 million in investable market capitalization) and liquidity (at least \$20 million in annual trading) to be realistically available to foreign investors. We define a firm as investable in a given year if the firm’s stock appears in the IFC investable index by December of that year. As a secondary measure of openness we use the “degree open” investable measure, a continuous variable ranging from zero (not open to foreign investors) to one (fully open to foreign investors).

To be included in the final sample, firms must have financial data available in the Worldscope Database and satisfy a number of minimum-data requirements, consistent with both [Mitton \(2006\)](#) and [Mitton and O’Connor \(2012\)](#). First, firms that become investable in the sample period are required to have financial data available at least one year before and one year after the year in which they are first deemed investable.³ Second, firms that never become investable are required to have financial data available one year either side of the median year in which firms are first investable in their respective countries. From our initial sample, we lose all firms from the Czech Republic, Egypt, Hungary, Jordan, Morocco, Poland, Russia, Sri Lanka, Slovakia, Venezuela and Zimbabwe due to insufficient financial data.

Our final sample, outlined in [Table 1](#), consists of 251 investable firms from twenty countries. They are Argentina, Brazil, Chile, China, Colombia, Greece, India, Indonesia, Israel, Korea (Republic), Malaysia, Mexico, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, South

² [Kim and Singal \(2000\)](#) suggest that stock market liberalizations have the potential to improve corporate governance by promoting greater managerial accountability. [Cueto \(2013\)](#) highlights the monitoring role played by institutional investors in Latin American emerging market firms.

³ There are firms in the final sample that become investable, subsequently become de-investable and investable once again. We require data to be available prior to their initial investable date.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات