

Corporate governance indices and firms' market values: Time series evidence from Russia[☆]

Bernard S. Black^{a,*}, Inessa Love^{b,1}, Andrei Rachinsky^{c,2}

^a *University of Texas, Law School McCombs School of Business, Austin, Texas, USA*

^b *The World Bank for Reconstruction and Development, 1818 H St., N.W., Washington D.C., USA*

^c *Center for Economic and Financial Research at the New Economic School, Nakhimovsky prospekt 47,
Moscow 117418, Russia*

Received 31 May 2006; received in revised form 31 August 2006; accepted 4 September 2006

Available online 27 October 2006

Abstract

There is increasing evidence that broad measures of firm-level corporate governance predict higher share prices. However, almost all prior work relies on cross-sectional data. This work leaves open the possibility that endogeneity or omitted firm-level variables explain the observed correlations. We address the second possibility by offering time-series evidence from Russia for 1999–present, exploiting a number of available governance indices. We find an economically important and statistically strong correlation between governance and market value both in OLS and in fixed effects regressions with firm-index fixed effects. We also find large differences in coefficients and significance levels, including some sign reversals, between OLS and fixed effects specifications. This suggests that cross-sectional results may be unreliable. We also find significant differences in the predictive power of different indices. How one measures governance matters. © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

JEL classification: G32; G34

Keywords: Russia; Corporate governance; Corporate governance index; Law and finance; Firm valuation; Emerging markets

[☆] We thank the World Bank for the financial support. We thank Woonchan Kim, Kate Litvak, Sheridan Titman, and participants at McCombs School of Business, University of Texas, and Workshop on Financial Markets Development in Central and Eastern European Countries for comments, and Edward Al-Hussainy, Rei Odawara and Feng Lui for excellent research assistance.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 512 471 4632; fax: +1 512 232 1767.

E-mail addresses: bblack@law.utexas.edu (B.S. Black), ilove@worldbank.org (I. Love), arachinsky@cefir.ru (A. Rachinsky).

¹ Tel.: +1 202 458 0590; fax: +1 202 458 0590.

² Tel.: +7 4095 105 50 02; fax: +7 4095 105 50 03.

1. Introduction

There is evidence that broad measures of firm-level corporate governance predicts higher share prices in emerging markets. This evidence comes from both single-country studies (Black, 2001 on Russia; Black et al., 2006a on Korea; Gompers et al., 2003 on the U.S.) and multicountry studies (Durnev and Kim, 2005; Klapper and Love, 2004). However, most prior work relies on cross-sectional data. This leaves open the possibility that endogeneity or bias due to omitted firm-level variables explain the observed correlations. Here, we address the omitted variable bias issue by offering time-series evidence from Russia for 1999–2005.

The importance of corporate governance to investors in Russian firms has spawned a number of efforts to measure good governance. The Brunswick Warburg investment bank rated governance from 1999–2003; the Troika Dialog investment bank has done so since 2000, Standard and Poor's has published overall "corporate governance" ratings since 2001 and "disclosure" ratings since 2002; the Institute for Corporate Law and Governance (ICLG) rated governance from 2000–2003, and the Russian Institute of Directors (RID) has done so since 2004. Our study exploits these measures. We present results for each measure and for an overall measure that aggregates information from each.

We find an economically important and statistically strong relationship between governance and firm market value (proxied by Tobin's q and, in robustness checks, market/book and market/sales) for a combined governance index (with firm-index fixed effects) and for the Brunswick, Troika, S&P disclosure, and ICLG indices (with firm fixed effects). This work strengthens the case for a causal association between governance and firm market value, because the fixed-effects specification allows us to rule out some (though not all) of the non-causal explanations for this association.

We find large differences between OLS and fixed effects specifications in the estimated coefficients on our combined governance index and the individual indices, with some sign reversals. For example, the Brunswick index is insignificant in OLS but positive and highly significant with firm fixed effects. In contrast, the RID index is positive and highly significant in OLS but insignificant and negative with firm fixed effects. This suggests that prior cross-sectional results may be unreliable. We also find large differences in the predictive power of different indices, and the components of these indices. The strength of particular indices, as predictors of firm market value, typically comes primarily from a limited subset of the components included in the index. How one measures governance matters.

For our combined governance index, we estimate that, with firm fixed effects, a two standard deviation increase in governance predicts an 0.14 increase in $\ln(\text{Tobin's } q)$, or a 21% increase in share price for a firm with median Tobin's q and leverage. A worst-to-best improvement in governance predicts a 0.45 change in $\ln(\text{Tobin's } q)$, or an 81% increase in share price.

Estimated economic effects are larger than this for several individual indices. For the Brunswick index, which has the largest coefficient with firm fixed effects, a two standard deviation change in governance predicts an 0.34 increase in $\ln(\text{Tobin's } q)$ or about a 57% increase in share price; a worst-to-best change predicts an 0.70 increase in $\ln(\text{Tobin's } q)$, or about a 143% change in share price.

Share prices are the trading prices for minority shares. Our study cannot show whether higher share prices reflect higher value for all shareholders, lower private benefits for controlling shareholders, or some of both. Put differently, we cannot test whether our sample firms were initially out-of-equilibrium and could increase firm value through governance changes, or in an equilibrium in which firm value was already maximized and gains to outside shareholders come at controlling shareholders' expense. However, the voluntary governance improvements by many firms during our study period suggest that the initial situation was out-of-equilibrium, and that the gains to minority shareholders were only partly offset by reduced opportunities for self-dealing by insiders.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات