



## Testing for efficiency in selected developing foreign exchange markets: An equilibrium-based approach

Nikolaos Giannellis\*, Athanasios P. Papadopoulos

University of Crete, Department of Economics, Rethymno Campus, GR 741-00, Greece

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Accepted 25 June 2008

#### JEL classification:

C12  
C32  
C51  
E58  
F31

#### Keywords:

FOREX efficiency  
BEER  
Linearity test  
Unit root

### ABSTRACT

This paper proposes an alternative way of testing FOREX efficiency for developing countries. The FOREX market will be efficient if fully reflects all available information. If this holds, the actual exchange rate will not deviate significantly from its equilibrium rate. Moreover, the spot rate should deviate from its equilibrium rate by only transitory components (i.e. it should follow a white noise process). This test is applied to three Central and Eastern European Countries – members of the EU. Considering an LSTAR model we find no evidence of nonlinear adjustment in the misalignment series. So, linear unit root tests imply that the Poland/Euro FOREX market is efficient, the Czech/Euro FOREX market is not, while the Slovak/Euro FOREX market is quasi-efficient.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) relies on the efficient exploitation of information by economic actors. EMH is also referred as Informational Efficiency (Hallwood and MacDonald, 1994). For example, an asset market is efficient if the asset price fully reflects all available information. EMH requires that market agents have rational expectations and there are no transaction costs that avert them from buying and selling assets. Fama (1984) states that a foreign exchange market is efficient if fully reflects all available information. A weaker-form, presented by Jensen (1978), states that an efficient market reflects information up to the point where the marginal benefit of information does not exceed the marginal cost of collecting it. Foreign Exchange Efficiency Hypothesis is also called as Forward Rate Unbiasedness Hypothesis (hereafter, FRUH), because in an efficient market the forward rate should be unbiased (or good) predictor of the future spot rate.

All the above statements imply numerous test procedures for examining the efficiency hypothesis. The majority of the empirical studies apply conventional OLS and univariate as well as multivariate cointegration techniques to test FRUH. A seminal study is that of Fama

(1984), which examines efficiency in nine exchange rates (nine currencies against US dollar), using monthly data from 1973:8 to 1982:12. OLS estimation shows that the market efficiency hypothesis is not accepted because of a time-varying risk premium. Similarly, Naka and Whitney (1995) test the efficiency hypothesis of seven exchange rates (against US dollar) from 1974:1 to 1991:4 (monthly observations). OLS estimation rejects the FRUH. In contrast, they manage to accept this hypothesis through Non-Linear Least Squares estimation. Hakkio (1981) examines five exchange rates against US dollar from 1973:4 to 1977:5. In all cases, the unbiasedness hypothesis cannot be accepted. Taylor (1989) examines the US dollar/UK pound exchange rate from January 1981 to July 1985. He finds statistically significant risk premium, so there is evidence of risk-averse behavior. He also tests the rationality of expectations but, he cannot accept the hypothesis that expectations are not rational. Therefore, risk aversion rather than non-normality causes the rejection of the efficiency hypothesis.

Zivot (2000) tests the foreign exchange market efficiency for the British pound, Japanese Yen, Canadian dollar against US dollar from 1976:1 to 1996:6 (monthly observations). He compares cointegration models between the forward rate with the current spot rate and the forward rate with the future spot rate. He finds that cointegration analysis in the first case, estimating a VECM, strongly rejects the efficiency hypothesis in all exchange rates. Hakkio and Rush (1989) examine the efficiency hypothesis for the UK pound and the Deutsche mark from 1975:1 to 1986:10 (monthly observations). They find that

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [gianelis@econ.soc.uoc.gr](mailto:gianelis@econ.soc.uoc.gr) (N. Giannellis).

spot and forward rates, within a country, are cointegrated, which is consistent with efficiency. But, the estimation of the error correction model rejects the hypotheses of no risk premium and efficient use of the available information by the agents. These findings reject the foreign exchange market efficiency hypothesis. A multivariate cointegration analysis in an international framework, performed by Baillie and Bollerslev (1989), shows that spot rates are cointegrated. This finding is interpreted by the authors as a violation of the efficiency hypothesis because the disequilibrium error can predict the future change in the spot rate.<sup>1</sup> Other studies in that field, providing mixed implications about market efficiency, are those of Sephton and Larsen (1991), Corbae et al. (1992) and Dutt (1994).

Although the FRUH is appropriate for testing FOREX efficiency between developed markets, this is not suitable when developing countries is the case. These countries do not have well-developed and independent from the government financial systems. Therefore, forward rates may be highly regulated and as a consequence inappropriate for deriving any inferences about foreign exchange efficiency. In some cases, forward markets are totally absent and the forward rates are unavailable. Aron (1997) proposes a test of foreign exchange efficiency by regressing the long run relationship of the spot rate with a vector of fundamentals.<sup>2</sup> Although, Wickremasinghe (2004) applies a cointegration test in the case of a developing country, we cannot adopt this methodology.<sup>3</sup> Hodrick (1987), Baffes (1994), Engel (1996) and others emphasize the invalid properties of this test. As a consequence, the empirical tool for testing this hypothesis in developing markets is still missing.

This paper proposes an alternative way of testing Foreign Exchange Market Efficiency Hypothesis for Developing Countries. This methodology is based on the Behavioral Equilibrium Exchange Rate (Clark and MacDonald, 1998). The FOREX market will be efficient if fully reflects all available information. If this holds, the actual exchange rate will not deviate significantly from its equilibrium rate. The proposed methodology concentrates on the statistical properties of the misalignment rate. Considering a Logistic Smooth Transition Autoregressive (LSTAR) model we test whether a nonlinear STAR model or a linear autoregressive model should be estimated. This test is applied to three Central and Eastern European Countries – members of the EU. In each case, we examine exchange rates per EURO to find whether these rates imply efficient foreign exchange markets. The contribution of this paper is twofold. Firstly, we find whether those countries' currencies are misaligned against EURO. This is an important information regarding their perspective membership of EMU. Secondly, this paper provides an appropriate framework of examining FOREX efficiency when a developing country is the case. To our knowledge of literature, this is the first time the concept of equilibrium exchange rate (BEER) is applied to characterize a foreign exchange market as efficient or inefficient.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> A lot of criticism has been applied to this type of test. For instance, Hodrick (1987) describes as false the above statement about the predictability of the future spot rate. Similarly, Baffes (1994) argues that efficiency does not require unpredictable exchange rates. Actually, efficiency is weakened only if arbitrage opportunities can arise from predictability. Moreover, Engel (1996) does not accept that two spot rates, in a pair of efficient markets, should not be cointegrated and argues that foreign exchange market efficiency does not require unpredictable spot rates. Furthermore, Dwyer and Wallace (1992) show that there is no evidence of market inefficiency if two exchange rates are cointegrated.

<sup>2</sup> He uses an error correction model to examine the predictability of future excess returns via the lagged disequilibrium error term. This test entails a two-step procedure. Firstly, evidence of cointegrating vectors between the spot rate and the vector of fundamentals implies that exchange rate movements can be explained by the relevant fundamentals. But, the estimation of the error correction model shows that exchange rate returns are predictable by the fundamentals. Therefore, the efficiency hypothesis is rejected.

<sup>3</sup> He assumes that FOREX efficiency requires that two spot rates cannot be cointegrated.

<sup>4</sup> A similar study is this of Aron (1997). However, our approach differs significantly from this. Our test does not require unpredictable exchange rates.

The structure of the paper is as follows. The model and the proposed test are described in the following section. Section 3 describes the dataset and Section 4 presents our estimation. A final section summarizes and discusses the policy implications of this analysis. It is shown that there is a strong connection among equilibrium rates, market efficiency and currency crises. As a matter of fact, the issue of currency crises cannot be isolated from this of equilibrium exchange rates.

## 2. Theoretical framework

### 2.1. The model

Although the BEER approach does not rely on any theoretical model, here we apply a modification of the monetary model of exchange rate determination. Consider the Monetary model of exchange rate determination (Frenkel, 1976; Kouri, 1976; Mussa, 1976, 1979), in which prices are flexible and PPP and UIP conditions hold all the time. Assuming that agents form rational expectations, the monetary model can be expressed as following:

$$\begin{aligned} s_t &= (m_t - m_t^*) - \phi(y_t - y_t^*) + \mu \Delta s_{t+1}^e \\ s_t &= (m_t - m_t^*) - \phi(y_t - y_t^*) + \mu [E_t[s_{t+1}] - s_t] \\ s_t &= \frac{1}{1+\mu} [(m_t - m_t^*) - \phi(y_t - y_t^*)] + \frac{\mu}{1+\mu} E_t[s_{t+1}] \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

After  $n$  periods, Eq. (1) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} s_t &= \frac{1}{1+\mu} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{1+\mu}\right)^i E_t[(m_{t+i} - m_{t+i}^*) - \phi(y_{t+i} - y_{t+i}^*)] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\mu}{1+\mu}\right)^n E_t[s_{t+n}] \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\mu}{1+\mu}\right)^n E_t[s_{t+n}] = 0$ , the above expression takes the form of:

$$s_t = \frac{1}{1+\mu} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+\mu}\right)^i E_t[(m_{t+i} - m_{t+i}^*) - \phi(y_{t+i} - y_{t+i}^*)] \quad (2)$$

Expression (2) implies that the exchange rate is forward looking and responds today to new information about future values of money stock and output.<sup>5</sup> In other words, current values of exchange rates contain expectations for future values of the fundamentals. If the foreign exchange market is efficient, current spot rates reflect all available information for current and future values. So, a misaligned spot rate may exist because of new or unexploited information. In that case, the foreign exchange market is inefficient.

Therefore, assuming that the BEER is estimated based on the fundamentals of the Monetary model (including the inflation rate)<sup>6</sup>, if the current spot rate deviates significantly from its equilibrium rate, the foreign exchange market does not incorporate efficiently all available information. As a consequence, the market cannot be efficient.

Let now discuss the way the Behavioral Equilibrium Exchange Rate (Clark and MacDonald, 1998) is estimated. Focused on the above fundamentals, the long run exchange rate is estimated by the following equation:

$$s_t = (m_t - m_t^*) - \phi(y_t - y_t^*) + \mu(\pi_t - \pi_t^*) \quad (3)$$

<sup>5</sup> The effect on the exchange rate is discounted by the factor  $(1/1+\mu)$ . This means that the higher the expected future change in money and output differentials, the smaller the current effect on the exchange rate.

<sup>6</sup> Here, we employ a modification of the monetary model. According to this model, the nominal exchange rate depends on the relative money supply, the relative output, and the interest rate differential. Applying the UIP condition and the PPP hypothesis, the exchange rate equation becomes:  $s = (m - m^*) - \phi(y - y^*) + \mu(\pi^e - \pi^{e*})$ . Now, assuming that agents have perfect foresight, we derive Eq. (3).

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

**ISI**Articles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات