



ELSEVIER

Intern. J. of Research in Marketing 21 (2004) 359–375

International Journal of

**Research in
Marketing**

www.elsevier.com/locate/ijresmar

Choosing and upgrading financial services dealers in the US and UK

John U. Farley^{a,1}, Andrew F. Hayes^{b,1}, Praveen K. Kopalle^{c,*,1}

^a*Tuck School of Business at Dartmouth, Dartmouth College, USA*

^b*School of Journalism and Communication at the Ohio State University, USA*

^c*Tuck School of Business, Dartmouth, Dartmouth College, 100 Tuck Hall, Hanover, NH 03755, USA*

Received 15 July 2003; received in revised form 2 February 2004; accepted 12 August 2004

Abstract

This paper addresses a gap in the international literature aimed at understanding the impact of the marketing mix on choosing and upgrading business-to-business financial services dealers. This study involves two important financial services markets (foreign exchange and bonds) in two leading countries in financial services (the United States and the United Kingdom). It provides a comparative analysis of the effectiveness of marketing mix variables (“price”, “product”, and “promotion” in this case) in determining (a) choice of dealers and (b) how a utilized dealer may achieve the sought-after status of being among the top three dealers of a customer. The impact of the marketing mix variables on the choice of dealer is significantly greater in the US relative to the UK. However, a two-stage analysis shows no significant country differences in the impact of the marketing mix on how a dealer is upgraded to a top three status with a customer. Further, the effects of the individual marketing mix variables are more nearly equal in the determination of whether a utilized dealer is among the top three dealers relative to the choice stage. Finally, consistent with our hypothesis, the bond market is more price sensitive relative to foreign exchange and the foreign exchange market is more product sensitive than the bond market.

© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Bond and foreign exchange markets; Business-to-business financial services; US versus UK; Marketing mix variables; International markets

1. Introduction

Research on the impact of marketing in business-to-business financial services markets is sparse, particularly in the international setting. This paper helps address this gap by comparing the marketing mix effectiveness in bond and foreign exchange markets in the United States (US) and the United

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 603 646 3612; fax: +1 603 646 1308.

E-mail address: Praveen.K.Kopalle@dartmouth.edu (P.K. Kopalle).

¹ The authors contributed equally to the article and are listed in alphabetical order.

Kingdom (UK). We conduct this analysis both for choosing a dealer and for upgrading the dealer to the coveted “top three” status. We develop exploratory hypotheses similar in spirit to Dekimpe, Francois, Gopalakrishna, Lilien, and Van den Bulte (1997) and use a two-stage model specification (De Bruyn & Lilien, 2004; Gensch, 1987; Gopalakrishna & Lilien, 1995; Manrai, 1995). The two countries, US and UK, were chosen in this application because of their size, their impact on global commerce, the prominence of financial services industries in these countries, and our ability to gain access to interviews with large samples of buying firms.

The US and the UK, the world’s two major financial markets, account for about 46.9% of the trading volume in the bond market and about 46.4% in the foreign exchange market (Greenwich Reports, 2003). In both markets in both countries, transactions of \$100 million are common, and those over \$1 billion are not uncommon. In the bond market, customers typically are institutional investors (insurance companies, mutual and pension funds, and financial institutions), while dealers range from specialized bond dealers to departments in investment banks. In foreign exchange, customers are usually large (often multinational) corporations and dealers are generally independent divisions in commercial and investment banks.

Both markets have experienced considerable growth. Greenwich Associates (Greenwich Reports, 2001) indicates the global foreign exchange market alone increased from \$65 billion in 1981 to \$1.5 trillion in 2000. In the bond market, for example, US Treasury securities outstanding have grown 700% from 1977 to 2000. Foreign exchange and bond customers often use many dealers simultaneously.

Our analysis and results are potentially important to both academic researchers and practitioners because of globalization of business in general and business-to-business financial services in particular in today’s environment (Douglas, 2001). For example, what gets a dealer used by a customer is not necessarily what really gets the dealer to the top. The results for the top three status are particularly important because recent reports (Journal of Commerce, 1998) suggest the top three dealers of a customer may receive up to 75% of that customer’s business in markets such as these.

2. Background and hypotheses

2.1. The “marketing mix” for the bond and the foreign exchange markets

The marketing mix variables in business-to-business financial services are distinctive. Advertising constitutes a negligible expenditure in total promotional budget (Sharma, 2003) and product-specific advertising is practically nonexistent. In fact, no business-to-business financial service firms appear in the 100 leading US national advertisers, a list published annually by Advertising Age. Also, the annual reports of top financial companies such as J.P. Morgan, Goldman Sachs, Chubb Financial, MetLife, etc., do not have an entry for advertising in their annual profit and loss statements. The marketing mix variables used in this paper are adapted from research (Easingwood & Storey, 1993; Farley & Sisodia, 1990; Sharma, 2003; Sisodia, 1993) and practice (Greenwich Reports, 2001; Rayport, 1993) in the area of business-to-business financial services. They are (1) competitive prices (“price”), (2) breadth and depth of product line, product quality, relevant complementary services offered, and research output (“product”), and (3) effectiveness of sales people in presenting and explaining up-to-date market information (“promotion”). Promotion is primarily sales presentation of research-based advice, and thus depends heavily on staff effectiveness much like detailing in pharmaceuticals. Due to the heavy dependence on talented people, promotion is often also referred to as “people” in the trade. Thus,

H₁. The marketing mix elements of price, promotion, and product will all have a significant effect on customers’ selection of dealers in bond and foreign exchange markets.

Greenwich’s worldwide reports (Greenwich Reports, 2001) suggest that often the most important reason investors trade with a specific dealer is price. This is consistent with Rayport’s (1993) suggestion that pricing is the most critical element of the marketing mix in financial services. Hence:

H₂. The impact of price will be significantly greater than that of either promotion or product in choice of financial services dealers.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات