Adoption of an IMF programme and debt rescheduling. An empirical analysis
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Abstract

The existence of an empirical relationship between the adoption of an IMF programme and the concession of a debt rescheduling by commercial creditors is tested using a bivariate probit model. If countries who have arrangements with the IMF are more likely than others to obtain a rescheduling of their external debt, we could conclude that the adoption of an IMF programme could work as a sort of signal of a country’s “good intent” which is thus rewarded with the debt relief. The results confirm the existence of a significant effect of the adoption of an IMF programme on the subsequent concession of a debt rescheduling by private creditors.
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1. Introduction

Throughout the 1980s and 1990s, many developing countries have struggled to service their external debts to both commercial banks and industrial countries’ governments. While there is a general consensus on the idea that the adoption of an IMF programme may act as a “green light” for private loans, this paper provides a first test for the existence of an empirical relationship between the adoption of a Fund programme and the concession of a debt rescheduling by private creditors.1

1 An IMF programme basically consists of control on domestic credit and on the rate of growth of the money supply, reduction in government spending and increase in taxation, improved exchange rate policy and improvement of the trade balance. More recently, it also insists that its borrowers reform their financial system.
In the early 1980s, Paris Club creditors provided reschedulings for low-income countries on nonconcessional terms and on market-related interest rates. In the late 1980s (1989–1994), the Brady deals addressed commercial bank lending to sovereign debtors (generally middle-income countries) and involved a combination of an IMF agreement and debt-service reduction and rescheduling from commercial banks. In the same period, Paris Club creditors agreed to provide low-income countries with concessional reschedulings, conditional on the adoption of an IMF adjustment programme, under the Toronto (1988), Trinidad (1990), Naples terms (1994) and, more recently, the World Bank and the IMF have implemented the HIPC’s Debt Initiative (1996).\(^2\) At the end of the 1990s, the Fund has been involved in the East Asian financial crisis. It still seems the case that the acceptance of a Fund agreement acts as a signal of the country’s intentions which reassures the market and in turn makes commercial creditors more willing to accord rescheduling of a country’s debt.

The rescheduling process allows debtors not to default on their loans and to remain in the international financial system. It also prevents creditors from facing the whole consequences of a financial crisis. More specifically, it can be considered as a form of “debt reorganisation”, in which payments falling due in a specified interval, are deferred for repayment on a new schedule, following negotiations between creditors and debtors. Since a rescheduling is a postponement of principal and interest payments, creditors would like to have some “guarantee” that this postponement will in fact contribute to an improvement in the economic conditions allowing the debtor country to service its external debt. The adoption of an adjustment programme supported by the IMF could reassure a country’s creditors on this respect.

In the literature, two separate streams of research have independently identified the factors which affect the probability of an arrangement with the IMF and the probability of obtaining a debt rescheduling but there is no paper where the two events are combined. Marchesi and Thomas (1999) present a theoretical model where the agreement to follow an IMF programme can be a signal of an indebted country’s willingness and ability to successfully reform (and use any new money provided for investment rather than consumption purposes), which is thus rewarded with a debt relief. From the conclusions of this article stem the main idea of this work.

In this paper, we test for the existence of a significant effect of the adoption of an IMF programme on the subsequent concession of a debt rescheduling by commercial creditors, using a bivariate probit model to control for the endogeneity of the choice “IMF adoption”. More specifically, we estimate a bivariate probit model for the joint determination of a Fund programme adoption and of the debt rescheduling, conditional on the adoption. We find that countries that have arrangements with the IMF are more likely to obtain a rescheduling of their external debt. This evidence therefore suggests that the adoption of an IMF programme can work as a signal of a country’s “good intent”, which is rewarded with the debt relief.

\(^2\) In 1999, a review of the HIPC Initiative was carried out by the World Bank and the Initiative was enhanced in order to provide faster, broader, and deeper debt relief.
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