



The contribution of the IMF and the World Bank to economic freedom

Bernhard Boockmann^a, Axel Dreher^{b,*}

^aCentre for European Economic Research, D-68131 Mannheim, Germany

^bUniversity of Mannheim, Lehrstuhl für Volkswirtschaftslehre, L7, 3-5, D-68131 Mannheim, Germany

Received 12 February 2002; received in revised form 4 October 2002; accepted 8 October 2002

Abstract

We analyse the effect of World Bank and IMF policies on the composite index of economic freedom of Gwartney et al. [Gwartney, J., Lawson, R., Samida, D., 2000. Economic Freedom of the World 2000, Annual Report, <http://www.freetheworld.com>] as well as its sub-indexes, using a panel of 85 countries observed between 1970 and 1997. With respect to the World Bank, we find that the number of projects has a positive impact on overall economic freedom, while the effect of the amount of World Bank credits appears to be negative. These effects are stronger during the 1990s than in earlier periods. There is no clear relationship between credits and programs of the IMF and economic freedom as measured by the index.

© 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

JEL classification: F33; F34; O57

Keywords: Economic freedom; IMF; World Bank; Structural adjustment policies

1. Introduction

While many countries have liberalized their economies over the past decade, the driving forces that led to this process are still only poorly understood. In this paper, we investigate whether policies of the World Bank and International Monetary Fund (IMF) have contributed to the development of economic freedom. As a dependent variable, we use the economic freedom indicator conceived by Gwartney et al. (2000). The Gwartney index is based on a number of quantifiable measures relating to the various

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mail@axel-dreher.de (A. Dreher).

dimensions of economic freedom. Seven subgroups of variables, relating to the size of government, the structure of the economy, the freedom to trade and others, are aggregated into the comprehensive index. The different components of the index are presented below.¹

Economic freedom has frequently been used as an independent variable in order to explain country-specific growth rates (De Haan and Sturm, 2000; Dawson, 1998; De Haan and Siermann, 1998; Heckelman and Stroup, 2000; De Vanssay and Spindler, 1994; Przeworski and Limongi, 1993). Other papers attempt to explain the emergence of economic freedom. Lal (1987), Dawson (1998) and De Haan and Sturm (2003) investigate the political preconditions under which economic reforms become viable. There is some similarity to the question of what are the determinants of political liberty (see Feng and Zak, 1999). Farr et al. (1998) explicitly address the issue of dual causality between economic well-being and economic freedom using tests for Granger causality. The impact of international organizations on freedom has, however, not been previously addressed in the literature. This provides a main motivation of the paper.

The second strand of literature to which this paper contributes concerns the effects of the international financial institutions (IFIs) on the economies of the recipient countries. In spite of the long-term nature of adjustment lending, most studies of World Bank and IMF lending have focused on short-term impacts and objectives not under the direct control of the authorities.² However, a more meaningful test of the influence of international organizations on creditors' economies should focus on long-term developments. IMF and World Bank programs, even if classified as failures with respect to their specific goals, may nevertheless be important in changing attitudes in developing countries. Advice of the international organizations is often discussed publicly and may influence politics in the longer run (Killick, 1994). According to Fischer (2001), one of the IMF's main contributions to reforms is that it stands consistently for a particular approach to economic policy. Therefore, the long-run impact of the IFIs reaches beyond the immediate effects of conditions and finance.

The focus on policies rather than outcomes provides a third motivation for the paper. Rather than looking at economic variables such as the growth rate of GDP as a result of participation in programs, we compare policies chosen by participating governments to the policies chosen by non-participants. While it may be over-ambitious to link international institutions and economic outcomes, it may be feasible to find a link between the former and the choice of policy instruments (Dhonte, 1997). Finally, a proper assessment of the IFIs' effects on economic policies is also desirable in view of the ongoing debate on the future role of these institutions.

¹ A detailed description can be found in Gwartney et al. (2000).

² For instance, Ergin (1999) reports that IMF programs result in an (insignificant) reduction in the rate of real GDP growth and an improvement in the current account. Inflation and the overall balance of payments seem to be unaffected by the Fund. With respect to the World Bank, Harrigan and Mosley (1991) report a weak influence of structural adjustment loans on GDP and no significant effect on export growth. Harrigan and Mosley employ dummies for structural adjustment loans lagged one and two years while Ergin uses only one-year lags. Evrensel (2002), Doroodian (1993) and Khan (1990), among others, present similar studies. Exceptions to the short-term focus are Barro and Lee (2001), Hutchinson (2002) and Przeworski and Vreeland (2000) who analyse the IMF's influence on long-term real GDP growth rates.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات