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1. Introduction

This paper designs evolution strategies (ESs) for discrete-time multi-period multi-asset portfolio optimization problems with Value at Risk (VaR)-constraints and not necessarily proportional transaction costs. It is a follow-up of [1], which analyzed the design of Evolution Strategies (ES) for one-period portfolio optimization problems with nonlinear node constraints (balance equations) must be fulfilled forcing the ES to evolve on a non-linear manifold. The considered problem is a stochastic control problem whose information and decision structure is defined on scenario trees. In finance and operations research literature, problems of this kind are approached by linear stochastic programming [2,3]. However, due to the non-linearities in the problem class considered here, the problem must be linearized to allow for the application of this standard approach. In [4] it is stated that metaheuristics might be successfully applied to such types of problems. However, up to now this problem class has not been tackled by swarm or evolutionary methods. Since Evolutionary Algorithms (EAs) allow for a great flexibility they might be well-suited for the treatment of nonlinearities arising from VaR-constraints and non-linear transaction costs.

In contrast to the problem considered in this paper, classical portfolio optimization in the framework of the Markowitz model is intrinsically a multiobjective optimization problem. In [5] recent trends for EAs applied to such portfolio optimization problems are discussed and in [6] a particle swarm approach has been proposed and compared to other algorithms to tackle that problem class. However, virtually all the featured algorithms found in the literature deal only with the one-period problem and the multi-period problem is not considered. In cases where an EA was applied to the multi-period portfolio problem [7–9], the problem itself is different from (and to some extent simpler) the one considered here.

This paper is the first that provides a design methodology and an ES implementation for evolutionary optimization on scenario trees encountered in non-linear multi-period stochastic problems. Section 2 introduces the problem in its general form. Section 3 deals with the problem in the special case of vanishing transaction costs.

Scenario-based optimization is a problem class often occurring in finance, planning and control. While the standard approach is usually based on linear stochastic programming, this paper develops an Evolution Strategy (ES) that can be used to treat nonlinear planning problems arising from Value at Risk (VaR)-constraints and not necessarily proportional transaction costs. Due to the VaR-constraints the optimization problem is generally of non-convex type and its decision version is already NP-complete. The developed ES is the first algorithm in the field of evolutionary and swarm intelligence that tackles this kind of optimization problem. The algorithm design is based on the covariance matrix self-adaptation ES (CMSA-ES). The optimization is performed on scenario trees where in each node specific constraints (balance equations) must be fulfilled. In order to evaluate the performance of the ES proposed, instances of increasing problem hardness are considered. The application to the general case with nonlinear node constraints shows not only the potential of the ES designed, but also its limitations. The latter are basically determined by the high dimensionalities of the search spaces defined by the scenario trees.
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costs and uses the standard CMSA-ES as an algorithmic skeleton to design an ES, the multi-period (mp) CMSA-ES, that operates on the tree structure of the optimization problem. Section 4 presents an experimental evaluation of the novel mpCMSA-ES. While the development of Section 3 is based on linear balance equations in the tree nodes, Section 5 extends the approach to the problem in its general form with nonlinear constraints arising for example from non-linear transaction costs. Section 6 summarizes the paper and provides an outlook.

2. The optimization problem

In many financial optimization models the uncertainty in asset prices (or other risk factors) is represented by a number of mass points forming a scenario tree. Such trees are often interpreted as approximating stochastic processes, but interpretational issues involved in such approximations will be of no concern here. Consider an ordered directed tree, the nodes of which are indexed by \( n \in \{0, \ldots, N\} \). The zero node is the root node. The predecessor node \( k \) of a node \( n \) is indexed by \( k = \pi(n) \) (representing an adjacency list). There are \( N_l \) leaf nodes representing the terminal nodes having no child nodes. For sake of simplicity the leaf nodes are indexed by the consecutive numbers in \( n_l = \{N-N_l+1, \ldots, N\} \). For each leaf node there is a unique path leading to it. Denote by \( p_i, l \in N_l \), the probability of arriving at leaf node \( l \).

With various methods of scenario reduction the size of the scenario tree can be reduced [10,11]. This is essential for numerical tractability, but in case the tree is too sparse arbitrage cannot be ruled out [12,13]. A short selling constraint like (2) below still ensures the existence of a solution to the portfolio optimization problem, but this solution will in general be biased.

Before transaction costs, the wealth \( W \) in a node \( n \) is

\[
W_n = x^T_n \xi_n, \quad n = 1, \ldots, M : x_m \geq 0, \quad m = 1, \ldots, M, \quad \forall n \in N
\]  

which amounts to a short selling constraint. The vector \( \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_M)^T \), \( M : \xi_m > 0 \)

(2)

contains the \( M \) asset prices in the respective node. Starting with an initial capital \( W_0 \) in the root node and the asset prices \( \xi_0 \),

\[
W_0 = x_0^T \xi_0
\]

(4)

the decision maker has to choose the portfolio weights \( x_0 \) at time zero (being in the root node). At the next time step, a number of child scenarios with changed asset prices \( \xi_k \) are considered. As a result of asset price changes in the transition from node \( \pi(k) \) to node \( k \), the value of the portfolio will change to \( W_k = x_k^T \xi_k \) in the child node \( k \). Now the decision maker can change the nodal portfolio weights in the child node constrained by the available wealth \( W_k \)

\[
W_k = \sum_{m=1}^{M} x_m \xi_m, \quad m = 1, \ldots, M
\]

(3)

\[
\forall k = 1, \ldots, N - N_l \quad x_k^T \xi_k = W_k^T \xi_k
\]

(5)

Additionally, one can take transaction costs [14–19] into account. The respective cost function will be \( \mathcal{B}(\xi, \xi_k) := c \sum_{m=1}^{M} (x_m \xi_m - (x_m \xi_m - \xi_m)^2) \) (6)

which accounts for buy and sell transaction costs in a symmetric and proportional way, assuming vanishing fixed costs of transactions. A somewhat more elaborated model might consider fixed costs \( c_f \) and different costs for buying \( c_b \) and selling \( c_s \)

\[
\mathcal{B}_S(x, \xi) := c_f \sum_{m=1}^{M} (x_m \xi_m - (x_m \xi_m - \xi_m)^2)
\]

\[
+c_b \sum_{m=1}^{M} (\theta((x_m \xi_m - (x_m \xi_m - \xi_m)^2) + c_s \sum_{m=1}^{M} (\theta((x_m \xi_m - (x_m \xi_m - \xi_m)^2) - \xi_m)^2
\]

(7)

where \( \theta \) is the anti-delta function and \( \theta \) is the step function, as defined in (A.9) and (A.10) in the Appendix.

Now, the decision maker may change the weights in the child nodes such that the wealth after a transaction equals the wealth before transaction minus the transaction costs. That is, in each inner node (i.e. those nodes not being root node or terminal nodes) an equality constraint must hold:

\[
\forall k = 1, \ldots, N - N_l : x_k^T \xi_k + \mathcal{B}(x_k^T \xi_k, \xi_k) = x_k^T \xi_k
\]

(8)

The last portfolio choices are made at the predecessors of the leaf nodes. At the leaf nodes themselves a final wealth value is realized. Thus a trading strategy \( \mathbf{X} \) is described by a matrix made of the \( N - N_l \) non-terminal node decision vectors \( x_k \) (being column vectors)

\[
\mathbf{X} = (x_0, \ldots, x_{N-N_l})
\]

(9)

which satisfy the budget constraints (8). The expected final wealth is

\[
\sum_{l=1}^{N} p_l W_l, \text{ where } W_l = x_{n_l}^T \xi_l
\]

(10)

the objective is to maximize this expected wealth at the end of the planning horizon:

\[
f(\mathbf{X}) = \max_{\mathbf{X}} \sum_{l=1}^{N} p_l x_{n_l}^T \xi_{n_l}
\]

(11)

resulting in the nonlinear inequality constraint:

\[
f(\mathbf{X}) \geq \alpha.
\]

(12)

Let us summarize the optimization problem

\[
\sum_{l=1}^{N} p_l x_{n_l}^T \xi_{n_l} \rightarrow \max_x
\]

(13)

s. t.

3 Determining capital requirements defined in terms of some coherent or at least convex risk measure would be more satisfactory, see [21,22]. Actually, a constraint in the coherent risk measure CVar would be easier to handle than a VaR-constraint, since it leads to a linear problem [23]. Furthermore, it would be more satisfactory to have a full-fledged capital requirement for processes rather than for the final wealth at the end of the trading period, since new information and transactions arise during the trading period [3,24–26]. The current regulatory framework is taken as given.
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