A life-cycle analysis of social security with housing✩

Kaiji Chen

Department of Economics, University of Oslo, Box 1095, Blindern, 0371 Oslo, Norway

A R T I C L E   I N F O

Article history:
Received 5 December 2008
Revised 25 September 2009
Available online 3 October 2009

JEL classification:
E21
E62
H55

Keywords:
Durable goods
Housing market frictions
Housing tenure choice
Social security

A B S T R A C T

This paper incorporates two features of housing in a life-cycle analysis of social security: housing as a durable good and housing market frictions. We find that both housing quantities and homeownership rates respond strongly to eliminating social security. Accordingly, the aggregate impacts of this policy reform are significantly larger in an economy with explicit housing choices than in a standard life-cycle economy. Our analysis shows that the key mechanism behind these results is the substitution effects of a change in interest rates and, thus, the price of housing services on the choice of nondurable consumption versus housing services.

1. Introduction

For most US households, both housing and social security play key roles in their consumption and saving behavior over the life cycle. The durable feature of housing distinguishes housing services from nondurables by linking the cost of housing services to financial asset returns. Housing, moreover, constitutes the largest share of most homeowners’ total assets.1 Social security, by contrast, discourages household savings and redistributes resources from one’s working years to retirement. The distinctive features of housing and social security reopen the question of how social security, as mandatory savings for future retirement, crowds out private assets and, particularly, owner-occupied housing.

This paper incorporates housing choices into a life-cycle analysis of social security. We find that both housing quantities and homeownership rates respond strongly to eliminating social security. Accordingly, the aggregate impacts of this policy reform are larger in an economy with explicit housing choices than in a standard life-cycle economy. Our analysis shows that the key mechanism behind these results is the substitution effects of a change in interest rates and, thus, the price of housing services on the choice of nondurable consumption versus housing services.

Our benchmark economy is a general equilibrium life-cycle model with heterogeneous agents that are subject to both idiosyncratic labor-income risks and uncertain lifetimes. Two features of housing are incorporated: first, housing services are explicitly valued by households, and housing is a durable good.
financial assets, this feature builds a positive link between the cost of housing services in terms of nondurables and the 
interest rate.

Second, housing markets are frictional. In particular, our model incorporates three types of housing market frictions that 
lead to a nontrivial housing tenure decision. The first market friction is rental-market friction, captured by the assumption 
that rental housing depreciates at a faster rate than owner-occupied housing. The presence of rental-market frictions drives 
a wedge between the housing rental price and the user cost of housing. Accordingly, homeownership is a desirable choice 
for housing consumption. The second and third market frictions are downpayment constraint and housing transaction costs, 
respectively. Both frictions, by increasing the user cost of owner-occupied housing, tend to reduce homeownership rates.

We calibrate the economy to the US data. Our calibrated economy can well capture housing choices of US households 
along several dimensions, such as the share of housing services in total consumption expenditures and the share of owner- 
occupied housing in households’ net worth. This renders our model a useful benchmark for exploring the impacts of social 
security reforms on housing choices and private assets.

We then study the steady-state impacts of eliminating social security. In order to measure the quantitative importance 
of each feature of housing, we compare our benchmark results of this policy reform with those in two alternative economies:
a standard one-asset economy and an economy with rental housing only. Both economies, which are nested in our general 
model by choosing appropriate parameter values, are calibrated to the same targets, using the same basic principles.

The main findings of this paper can be summarized as follows. Housing choices—both quantities and tenure decisions—
respond strongly to eliminating social security: at the aggregate level, housing consumption increases by 47 percent and 
homeownership rate by 3.8 percent, while nondurable consumption decreases by 1.6 percent. The proportional increase in 
housing stock, moreover, is more than 12-percent higher than physical capital. As a result, the increase in the aggregate 
capital–output ratio is significantly larger in the benchmark economy than in the one-asset economy (29.1 percent versus 
23.7 percent). On the other hand, these large effects on housing quantities persist in the economy with rental housing only, 
indicating that housing market frictions are not crucial for the aggregate consequences of eliminating social security.

Our main results stem from the feature of housing as a durable good. In both economies with housing, eliminating social 
security encourages savings in financial assets and, thus, reduces interest rates. Through the no-arbitrage condition, this 
pushes down the price of housing services, which shows up as both a lower rental price and a lower cost of debt financing 
or opportunity cost of home equity for homeowners. A lower interest rate leads not only to a substitution of current 
consumption for future consumption, but also to a substitution of housing services for nondurable consumption throughout 
the life cycle. This channel is missing in the standard life-cycle economy, which implies that all types of consumption 
increase when social security is eliminated. We also show that in a partial equilibrium context, these asymmetric impacts 
of social security on the life-cycle patterns of different types of consumption disappear.

The above implications for the impacts of social security on housing choices are consistent with the following empirical 
findings. Using household survey data, Ruprah and Marcano (2007) study the experience of Chilean housing affordability 
following Chile’s privatization of social security system in 1981. They find that, in 1990, 84 percent of households were 
able to afford a house, while by 2003, this statistic had fallen to 61 percent. Two thirds of this improvement in affordability, 
moreover, was due to the reduction in mortgage interest rates for a given change in the price of a house. Furthermore, 
their results indicate that the deepening of mortgage markets is driven mainly by an increase in savings by private pension 
funds. Concerning housing tenure choice, Castles (1998) explores the relationship between rates of homeownership and 
various indices of public welfare in 20 OECD countries. His results show a significant cross-country negative correlation 
between the homeownership rate and the size of public pension expenditures. Similarly, with panel regression, Conley and 
Gifford (2006) find that countries with higher total social-security benefit expenditures as a percentage of GDP have lower 
homeownership rates.

This paper builds upon the literature on the life-cycle portfolio choice with housing. For example, Fernández-Villaverde 
and Krueger (2002) develop a model of durable consumption with collateral borrowing to explore the life-cycle patterns 
of consumption and saving. In their model, however, housing rental markets are shut down by assumption. Our modeling 
strategy is close in spirit to that of Yao and Zhang (2005) and Li and Yao (2007). As in this paper, both papers incorporate 
housing tenure choice and the three types of housing market frictions. Their focus, nevertheless, is the life-cycle effects of 
housing-price risks on housing position and portfolio allocation.
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