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Abstract

This paper explores Knightian model uncertainty as a possible explanation of the considerable difference between estimated interest rate rules and optimal feedback descriptions of monetary policy. We focus on two types of uncertainty: (i) unstructured model uncertainty reflected in additive shock error processes that result from omitted-variable misspecifications, and (ii) structured model uncertainty, where one or more parameters are identified as the source of misspecification. For an estimated forward-looking model of the US economy, we find that rules that are robust against uncertainty, the nature of which is unspecifiable, or against one-time parametric shifts, are more aggressive than the optimal linear quadratic rule. However, policies designed to protect the economy against the worst-case consequences of misspecified dynamics are less aggressive and turn out to be good approximations of the estimated rule. A possible drawback of such policies is that the losses incurred from protecting against worst-case scenarios are concentrated among the same business cycle frequencies that normally occupy the attention of policymakers. © 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Recent articles have uncovered a puzzle in monetary policy: Interest-rate reaction functions derived from solving optimization problems call for much more aggressive responsiveness of policy instruments to output and inflation than do rules estimated with US data. What explains the observed lack of aggressiveness — the attenuation — of policy?

Three distinct arguments have been advanced to explain the observed reluctance to act aggressively. The first is that it is simply a matter of taste: policy is slow and adjusts smoothly in response to shocks because central bankers prefer it that way, either as an inherent taste or as a device to avoid public scrutiny and criticism (see, e.g., Drazen, 2000, Chapter 10). The second argues that partial adjustment in interest rates aids policy by exploiting private agents' expectations of future short-term rates to move long-term interest rates in a way that is conducive to monetary control (see, e.g., Goodfriend, 1991; Woodford, 1999; Tetlow and von zur Muehlen, 2000).

The third contention is that attenuated policy is the optimal response of policymakers facing uncertainty in model parameters, in the nature of stochastic disturbances, in the data themselves given statistical revisions, and in the measurement of latent state variables such as potential output, the NAIRU, and the steady-state real interest rate. Blinder (1998), Estrella and Mishkin (1998), Orphanides (1998), Rudebusch (1998), Sack (1998a), Smets (1999), Orphanides et al. (2000), Sack and Wieland (2000), Wieland (1998) and Tetlow (2000) all support this general argument, following the line of research that began with Brainard (1967). The present paper is concerned with this third explanation for policy attenuation. There is no unanimity on this third line of argument, however. Chow (1975) and Craine (1979) demonstrated long ago that uncertainty can lead to the opposite result of more aggressive policy than in the certainty equivalence case — or what we might dub as anti-attenuation. Söderström (1999a) provides an empirical example of such a case. Moreover, possible deficiencies in the Brainard-style story are hinted at in the range of uncertainties required in papers by Sack (1998a) and Rudebusch (1998) to come even close to
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2 Other important early references include Aoki (1967), Johansen (1973,1978), and Craine (1979).
دریافت فوری
متن کامل مقاله
امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات