

Fuzzy weighted average: The linear programming approach via Charnes and Cooper's rule

Yuh-Yuan Guh, Cheng-Chuang Hon, E. Stanley Lee*

Department of Industrial Engineering, Yuan Ze University, Taoyuan 32026, Taiwan, ROC

Received March 1996; received in revised form June 1998

Abstract

To improve the method of Dong and Wong (Fuzzy Sets and Systems 21 (1987) 183–199) for obtaining the fuzzy weighted average, a steepest descent/ascent method was proposed by Liou and Wang (Fuzzy Sets and Systems 49 (1992) 307–315). In this paper, we propose to replace the steepest descent/ascent method by linear programming, which is a much more powerful approach for large problems. The reduction of the original nonlinear programming problem into a linear one is achieved by the use of the Charnes and Cooper's linear transformation method. The example used by Dong and Wong is again used to illustrate the approach. © 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Multiple criteria evaluation; Fuzzy weighted average; Extension principle; Linear transformation

1. Introduction

In multiple criteria decision making, the environment is frequently vague and difficult to define. Thus, the weighted average is frequently expressed in fuzzy numbers as follows:

$$y = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \\ = \frac{w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + \dots + w_n x_n}{w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_n}, \quad (1)$$

where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are fuzzy numbers in fuzzy sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n ; w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n are fuzzy weights in fuzzy sets W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n , and y is the dependent or output fuzzy variable in fuzzy set B . To aggregate this fuzzy expression so that the value of y can be

obtained, fuzzy operations are needed. In principle, these operations can be carried out by the use of Zadeh's extension principle or fuzzy extended operations. However, the implementation of this solution procedure is complicated due to the fact that the resulting problem by applying the extension principle is a nonlinear programming problem and, furthermore, the conjunction and disjunction operations can lead to irregular membership functions. Dong and Wong [3] proposed a computational algorithm based on α -cuts and interval analysis to overcome this difficulty. Their method provides a discrete but exact solution to the fuzzy weighted average. Later, Liou and Wang [4] improved this approach by using a steepest descent/ascent method to obtain the optima in Steps 3 and 4 of the Dong and Wong's procedure. Based on Dong and Wong's procedure, 2^{2n} evaluations were needed for each α -cut or interval, Liou and Wang reduced this requirement to 2^{n+1} .

* Correspondence address: Department of Industrial Engineering, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA.

However, even with the above improvement, the computational requirements are still very high even for moderate sized problems. In this paper, we propose to replace the steepest descent/ascent optimization procedure by linear programming, which is, of course, a much more powerful technique than the steepest descent/ascent approach. The original nonlinear fractional programming problem is transformed into a linear programming problem by the use of the Charnes and Cooper’s linear transformation [1,2]. The advantage of this approach is that the reduction to linear problem is very straightforward and simple. The original example used by Dong and Wong is again used to illustrate the approach.

2. Dong and Wong’s procedure [3]

Dong and Wong’s approach is based on α -cuts to obtain the different intervals and combinatorial interval analysis to avoid some of the difficulties such as the multiple occurrence of variables. For later reference, their procedure is briefly summarized in the following:

1. Discretize the complete range of the membership $[0, 1]$ of the fuzzy number into the following finite number of m -values or m α -cuts: $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$, where the degree of accuracy depends on the number of α -cuts or the number m .
2. For each α_j , find the corresponding intervals for A_i in x_i and \tilde{W}_i in w_i . Denote the end points of the intervals of x_i and w_i by $[a_i, b_i]$ and $[c_i, d_i], i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, respectively.
3. Construct the 2^{2n} distinct permutations of the $2n$ array $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$.
4. Compute $y_k = f(x_{k1}, x_{k2}, \dots, x_{kn}; w_{k1}, w_{k2}, \dots, w_{kn})$, where $(x_{k1}, x_{k2}, \dots, x_{kn}; w_{k1}, w_{k2}, \dots, w_{kn})$, is the k th permutation of the 2^{2n} distinct permutations, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{2n}$. Then the desired intervals for y is

$$y = \left[\min_k y_k, \max_k y_k \right]. \tag{2}$$

5. Repeat Steps 2–4 for every $\alpha_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.
 Since there are 2^{2n} permutations, there are 2^{2n} evaluations for each α -cut.

3. Liou and Wang’s improvement [4]

Liou and Wang introduced the following notations:

$$f_L(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) = \frac{w_1 a_1 + w_2 a_2 + \dots + w_n a_n}{w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_n}, \tag{3}$$

$$f_U(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) = \frac{w_1 b_1 + w_2 b_2 + \dots + w_n b_n}{w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_n} \tag{4}$$

and proved the following theorems:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{min: } & f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \\ & = \text{min: } f_L(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{max: } & f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \\ & = \text{max: } f_U(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Because of the above theorems, the weight vector $w_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are the only unknowns in the original optimization problem of Liou and Wong, and the unknowns for the other vector $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are obtained by the use of the above theorems. In other words, for every α_j , the left and right end points of the interval of y can be obtained by the evaluations of the end points of (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) only. Remember that the left and right end points for the intervals of w_i , are c_i and d_i , respectively, with $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus, the number of evaluations for each α_j is reduced from 2^{2n} to 2^{n+1} . These investigators also proved three more theorems, by the use of which, they developed a procedure to obtain the optimum based on the steepest descent/ascent approach.

4. Charnes and Cooper’s transformation [1,2]

Instead of using the steepest descent/ascent approach to solve the nonlinear fractional programming problem, we wish to use the linear programming approach by first transforming this nonlinear problem into a linear one by using the Charnes and Cooper’s linear transformation technique. From the two theorems represented by Eqs. (5) and (6), the minimum and maximum for the fuzzy weighted average for each given α_j can be obtained by solving the

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات