

Available at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/issn/15375110](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/issn/15375110)

## Research Paper

# Sensitivity analysis of agroenvironmental indicators of the hygienic pressure from livestock production on population health

P. Berthiaume<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, A. Ravel<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Michel<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Brazeau<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Bigras-Poulin<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratoire de lutte contre les zoonoses d'origine alimentaire, Agence de la santé publique du Canada, FMV, Université de Montréal, CP 5000, St-Hyacinthe, Québec J2S-7C6, Canada

<sup>b</sup>Groupe de Recherche en Épidémiologie des Zoonoses et Santé Publique, Faculté de médecine vétérinaire, Université de Montréal, CP 5000, St-Hyacinthe, Québec J2S-7C6, Canada

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 24 July 2008

Received in revised form

14 August 2009

Accepted 22 September 2009

Published online 31 October 2009

Two Agroenvironmental hygienic pressure indicators (AHPIs) were developed to evaluate the effects of livestock production on water contamination and the risk for human health by expressing a complex public health risk via simple quantifiable figures. The first of the two AHPIs is addressing the aspect of surface water contamination while the second one is dealing with groundwater contamination. Each of the two AHPIs is built from a multiplicative model based on 25 parameters related to the transmission of bacteria through excretion by animals, survival in manure, and by run-off or infiltration water produced by rainfall. A global sensitivity approach was used to identify the most significant parameters in regard to the AHPIs output results. This analysis found that bacterial survival on the soil after manure spreading or grazing of animals ( $K_s$ ), the proportion of bacteria able to reach surface water with run-off from manure on the soil ( $B_{run-off}$ ), bacteria concentration in faeces  $B_{conc}$ , within-herd proportion of animals shedding the pathogenic bacteria targeted ( $B_{prev}$ ) and the area used for pasture ( $A$ ) – on bovine farms – are the most influential parameters with respect to the estimation of the AHPIs. With the exception of the latter element, these factors are all directly related to bacterial characteristics and parameters for which obtaining high quality data is most challenging. The identification of these most influential parameters will guide further research to enhance both the precision application of the AHPIs, and their use in managing public health risks.

Crown Copyright © 2009 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IAGrE. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Several studies have linked animal grazing and manure spreading to the microbiological contamination of surface and groundwater (Faust, 1982; Patni, 1991; Rudolph et al., 1998). Waterborne outbreaks such as the one in Walkerton, Ontario,

where thousands inhabitants were infected by verotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* and *Campylobacter* (O'Connor, 2002), clearly demonstrate that the hygienic pressure exerted by livestock farms on the environment creates a risk to the health of the surrounding populations. At present, we are not able to easily measure and control the multiple factors influencing the

\* Corresponding author. Laboratoire de lutte contre les zoonoses d'origine alimentaire, Agence de la santé publique du Canada, FMV, Université de Montréal, CP 5000, St-Hyacinthe, Québec J2S-7C6, Canada.

E-mail address: [philippe\\_berthiaume@phac-aspc.gc.ca](mailto:philippe_berthiaume@phac-aspc.gc.ca) (P. Berthiaume).

1537-5110/\$ – see front matter Crown Copyright © 2009 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IAGrE. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2009.09.016

Nomenclature	
$A_m$	Area of field used for manure spreading for the farm targeted, ha
$A_p$	Area of field used for grazing for the farm targeted, ha
$B_{conc}$	Bacteria concentration in faeces, bacteria $g^{-1}$ [faeces]
$B_{infiltration,m}$	Proportion of bacteria able to reach groundwater through infiltration of rain water from manure on the soil, %
$B_{infiltration,p}$	Proportion of bacteria able to reach groundwater through infiltration of rain water from faecal pats deposited on the soil, %
$B_{run-off,m}$	Proportion of bacteria able to reach surface water with run-off from manure on the soil, %
$B_{run-off,p}$	Proportion of bacteria able to reach surface water with run-off from faecal pats deposited on the soil, %
$B_{prev,m}$	Within-herd proportion (prevalence) of animals shedding the pathogenic bacteria targeted while they are confined into barns, %
$B_{prev,p}$	Within-herd proportion (prevalence) of animals shedding the pathogenic bacteria targeted while on pasture, %
$D_m$	Water drainage coefficient in field receiving manure, dimensionless
$D_p$	Water drainage coefficient of pasture, dimensionless
$F$	Annual frequency of farrowing per year per sow, $year^{-1} sow^{-1}$
$K_m$	Coefficient of bacterial survival in stored manure for spring and autumn season (subscripts sp or au) or in faecal pats of grazing animals (subscript p), $day^{-1}$
$K_s$	Coefficient of bacterial survival on the soil in either manure spread or manure pat (for pasture only), $day^{-1}$
$I$	Practice of incorporating or injecting manure into soil (yes or no)
$N_m$	Number of animals contributing to manure production
$N_p$	Number of animals contributing to faecal pats on pasture
$P$	Practice of ploughing prior to manure spread (yes or no)
$R_{accu,m}$	Total accumulated rain during one major rain event during either spring or autumn manure-spreading season, mm
$R_{accu,p}$	Total accumulated rain during one major rain event during pasture grazing, mm
$R_{duration,m}$	Average duration of rain event during manure-spreading season, day
$R_{duration,p}$	Average duration of rain event during pasture season, day
$R_{interval}$	Median interval between two rain events for the geographical location, day
$S_m$	Average slope of field receiving manure spreading for the farm targeted, %
$S_p$	Average slope of pasture for the farm targeted, %
$T_m$	Average annual time animals spend in barn, day
$T_{manure}$	Average duration of manure accumulation time period, day
$T_p$	Average annual time spent grazing on pasture, day
$W_{faeces}$	Daily amount of faeces produced per animal type (see indices), kg/day
$\rho_{Spearman}$	Spearman non-parametric correlation coefficient
<i>Subscripts</i>	
sp	Spring
au	Autumn
m	Related to manure production by confined animals
mp	Related to herds of animals both confined and grazing on pasture part of the year when performing sensitivity analysis.
p	Related to grazing on pasture

presence, the survival and the transmission of these pathogens in the environment. To cope with the complexity of the bacterial contamination of water by food animal production two Agroenvironmental Hygienic Pressure Indicators (AHPs) were developed (De Andrade Lima, 2001; Bigras-Poulin et al., 2004). These model-based indicators were proposed as a decision support tool to aid those stakeholders who are responsible for managing the potential risk of human exposure to water contaminated by bacteria of agricultural origin.

The waterborne zoonotic transmission of pathogenic bacteria is a complex phenomenon to study, and scientists and decision-makers are confronted with the additional challenge of translating this complex reality into manageable and practical information that can be used to assess risk and prevent disease. By producing a single value, an indicator summarizes the situation to one dimension, one point on a single metric. The decision maker can therefore concentrate

on weighting the importance of this information against other criteria. The two AHPs are model-based indicators (see typology presented by Bockstaller et al., 2008) and have been proposed as a means of managing the scope and complexity of surface water and groundwater contamination by enteropathogens from agroenvironmental sources (De Andrade Lima 2001; Bigras-Poulin et al., 2004). Five significant mechanisms were included on a conceptual basis in the AHPs and formalised by the use of five specific equations (attributes). The five attributes were: a) *Animal1*: the load of the targeted bacteria produced by the animals on a targeted farm, b) *Animal2*: the survival of these bacteria in the storage facility when present, c) *Animal3*: the survival in the soil surface of the bacteria population originating from the animal manure or faecal pats prior to its transport with water run-off or water infiltration, d) *Surface*: the transport of the bacteria with water run-off to a nearby stream, and e) *Ground*: the transport of the

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

**ISI**Articles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات