Organising Knowledge Management and Dissemination in New Product Development

Lessons from 12 Global Corporations

Klas Eric Söderquist

New Product Development is one of the most knowledge intensive processes in business and is itself constantly creating new knowledge. As NPD relies heavily on collaboration within cross-functional teams, the question of how such knowledge, which to a large extent is tacit, should best be managed and disseminated is crucial. Studying this problem with data from the multi-project NPD environments of 12 large global manufacturing companies, we identify three typical organisational structures for knowledge management, one as a central strategic function, a second where KM is internal to individual projects and a third where it is the affair of specialized functional departments.

We assess the strength of each option in terms of clarity of mission, how supportive they are in promoting the transfer and sharing of knowledge, and what sort of frictions may accompany their use. A focused area of the research is the alternative formats for job rotation that each structural style promotes, and the prospect of some ‘ideal type’ of knowledge management structure as a hybrid of these styles is examined.
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Introduction

With a steady record of more than 500 new products launched per year since the mid 1990s, the successful growth and development of Euro Supplier 1, a European-based global supplier of automotive components, is in a large part due to its systematic focus on managing the product
development process as a continuous learning process. Since taking office 5 years ago, Jack D. (the
Divisional Head of R&D), has consciously led all activities, processes and players involved in the
product development process with three key objectives: activating the knowledge embedded in
existing product solutions, extracting as much as possible of the tacit knowledge held by people, and
capturing as much as possible of the new knowledge created in each development project. Measured
by steadily improving R&D productivity in terms of cost and lead-time reductions for an increasing
functional complexity and growing numbers of new products, his mission has been largely successful.
Jack D. attributes this success to a mix of clear support structures, effective operating procedures and
a climate that favours the sharing of knowledge during operational problem solving as natural, all under
the umbrella of a project intelligence unit and his personal supervision as division head.

Few product development managers are as fortunate as Jack D. While product development is
widely recognised as one of the most knowledge-intensive processes in business, as a ‘place’ — a
ba — where knowledge resides, expands and continuously changes shape as a result of action
and problem-solving practice,¹ there have been no in-depth analyses and few recommendations
as to how Knowledge Management (KM) should best be organized and formally structured in de-
v elopment-intensive firms engaged in a multiple parallel development projects. This issue becomes
even more intriguing when taking into account the complexity of large-scale product development
projects, which Clark & Fujimoto’s landmark study of New Product Development (NPD) perfor-
 mance has characterized as similar to solving a huge equation system.² Starting from framing the
‘problem’ as the product to be developed, the ‘equations’ address a numerous series of detailed and
highly volatile technical and organisational questions. These questions take time to answer, and the
‘solution’ will depend on compromises between a large array of requirements represented by dif-
ferent internal and external players, each with different priorities, professional backgrounds and
cognitive frameworks.³

The complexity of the NPD process is reflected in the complexity of the related knowledge flows,
which are both crucial for advancing the process but at the same time difficult to manage.⁴ More
specifically, part of the knowledge needed for developing a new product already exists within the
organisations involved, while fresh knowledge is created as the process unfolds, problems are ana-
lysed, and the product is developed, refined and ultimately launched on the market.⁵ The existing
knowledge, stored or embedded in the minds of people, in archives, in existing products and in
procedures and equipment, needs to be recognised, retrieved and made available to engineers
and other project participants. The newly created knowledge developed by practical problem solv-
ing needs to be analysed, shared and integrated with previous knowledge, to ensure a spiral of con-
tinuous expansion and development/refinement of knowledge for future use in the NPD process.⁶
There is a consensus in literature and practice alike that the transfer and sharing of knowledge are
critical components for enabling this integration of newly created and previously existing knowl-
edge, and thus for efficient NPD.⁷

In spite of the apparent importance of how to organize for Knowledge Management in NPD, the
literature on the subject is almost nonexistent. Project management research assumes that effective
KM will be a positive side-effect of an optimised project structure, while research focusing on
دریافت فوری
متن کامل مقاله

امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات