

# Endogenising emission taxes A general equilibrium type optimisation model applied for Turkey

Yıldız Arıkan<sup>a,\*</sup>, Gürkan Kumbaroğlu<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Sabancı University, Faculty of Management Sciences, 81474 Istanbul, Turkey

<sup>b</sup>Middle East Technical University, Department of Information Systems, Informatics Institute, 06531 Ankara, Turkey

Received 1 October 1999

## Abstract

This paper presents a modelling attempt to endogenise the level and timing of environmental taxes within an optimisation framework. First, a base model of energy–economy–environmental interactions is extended to allow for exogenous tax analysis. Two types of environmental taxes, one on the sulphur content of fuels and the other on the level of emissions, are exogenously included in the model as alternative instruments to mitigate pollution. Next, the base model is modified to endogenise pollution abatement. This has been achieved by incorporating a preference rate, depending on an index of emissions, into the utility function. Finally, the emission index and emission tax are taken as substitutes and modelled within a CES form to endogenise the emission tax. Results for all cases, obtained with Turkish data, are discussed. It is found that a tax on SO<sub>2</sub> emissions is more effective in reducing SO<sub>2</sub> emissions than a tax applied on the sulphur content of fuels. It is also found that a gradually increasing tax is more effective in reducing emissions than a constant tax. © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Energy–economy modelling; Emission taxation; Endogenous pollution abatement

## 1. Introduction

Triggered by the commitment to take environmental considerations into account in their social and economic policies and to implement measures for mitigating transboundary air pollution under the 1979 UN Convention, a series of international agreements have focused on SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emission reduction, as for example the 1988 Sofia and 1994 Oslo Protocols, and have proposed a variety of targets and timetables for abatement. The aim of the Sofia Protocol to stabilise NO<sub>x</sub> emissions at their 1987 level by 1994 has largely been achieved. The Oslo Protocol entered into force in August 1998 and, based on regional emission densities, foresees a 40–80% SO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction by the year 2010 relative to emissions in 1980.

There exists various measures for reducing pollutant emissions; emission charges, tradable permit systems, environmental taxes, performance bonds, liability payments and non-compliance fees can be stated as some examples. Environmental taxes emerge from the IPCC

report (Watson et al., 1996) as potentially effective market instruments and have been suggested as a market-based programme to encourage continued innovation in energy efficient and cleaner technologies. The Commission of the European Communities has suggested in an Information Note on 27 May 1992 (see Nellor, 1997) that environment taxes be used to replace taxes on labour because environment taxes will impose lower social costs boosting economic activity and promoting employment.

The environmental effectiveness of taxation, economic effects and associated welfare implications are of primary importance for policy-makers who decide on environmental and economic policies. The international scientific community devotes therefore much research effort to find out about interactions between industrial development and environmental degradation. These interactions are based on an inter-play between key elements of the energy and the economic system like energy consumption patterns, the technological structure and flexibility of the energy system, fuel properties, energy security, capital stock, import composition, foreign exchange availability, household income, available investment capital, etc. The complexity of the interdependencies and the long time scales needed to

\*Corresponding author. Tel.: +90-216-483-9672; fax: +91-216-483-9699.

E-mail addresses: yildiz@sabanciuniv.edu (Y. Arıkan), gurkan@metu.edu.tr (G. Kumbaroğlu).

Nomenclature			
$C_t$	consumption	$U$	utility
$CG_t$	imports of consumption goods	$W_t$	factor incomes from abroad
$EC_t$	energy cost	$X_t$	exports
$EF_t$	cost of fuel imports	$Y_t$	production
$EI_t$	emission index	$YN_t$	incremental production
$EITX_t$	emission index-tax aggregate	$\alpha(EI_t), \beta(EIT_t)$	preference rates
$EN_t$	incremental electric energy	<i>Parameters</i>	
$F_t$	foreign capital inflows	$a, b$	scale parameters
$FUEL_{j,k,t}$	use of $j$ type fuel in activity $k$	$c_{j,k,t}$	unit cost of using $j$ type fuel in activity $k$
$GDP_t$	gross domestic product	$p$	period length
$I_t$	investment	$prc_{x,a,j,k,t}$	unit cost of $PR_{x,a,j,k,t}$
$ID_t$	domestic investments	$s_j$	percentage sulphur contained in fuel type $j$
$IF_t$	investments made with foreign capital goods	$skd, skf, sk, sl, se, sp, ss$	value shares of production factors
$INPUT_t$	production function input factors	$t_{NO_x}$	tax per unit $NO_x$ emitted (this parameter becomes a parameter in Section 3.3 where the tax is endogenised)
$INPUTN_t$	incremental $INPUT_t$		
$INT_t$	imported intermediates	$t_{SO_2}$	tax per unit $SO_2$ emitted (this parameter becomes a parameter in Section 3.3 where the tax is endogenised)
$INTN_t$	incremental $INT_t$		
$KDN_t$	incremental domestic capital		
$KFN_t$	incremental foreign capital	$t_{sulphur}$	tax per unit sulphur contained in fuels
$LN_t$	incremental labor		
$M_t$	total imports	$EI_{max}$	max EI
$NO_{x_t}$	amount of $NO_x$ emitted	$EITX_{max}$	max EITX
$NX_t$	net exports	$TP_{base}$	base year pollution
$PN_t$	incremental oil and gas pollutants reduced (installed $a$ type abatement technology for reducing pollutant type $x$ produced through the use of $j$ type fuel in activity $k$ )	$w_x$	weight of pollutant type $x$
$PR_{x,a,j,k,t}$		$\chi$	clean-up rate
		$\Delta_t$	discount factor
		$\lambda$	annual survival factor
		$\rho, \alpha_t, \alpha_0, \alpha_1\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_t, \eta, \phi, \mu$	model parameters
$SN_t$	incremental solid fuels	<i>Indices</i>	
$SO_{2_t}$	amount of $SO_2$ emitted	$a$	abatement technology type
$TAX1_t$	total emission tax	$j$	fuel type
$TAX2_t$	total sulphur tax	$k$	activity type
$TAX_t$	total emission and sulphur taxes	$t$	time
		$x$	pollutant type

observe them make it difficult to handle the problem. It is therefore not easy to determine an appropriate tax policy and to measure its impacts. It requires some difficult value judgements as well as a consistent and efficient modelling paradigm capturing energy–economy–environmental three way interactions and including the necessary level of detail in the representation of energy and economic activities.

## 2. Pollutant emissions and environmental taxes

The relationship between pollution, welfare and economic impacts has caused various research activities to address environmental issues. Integrated Assessment Models were successfully used to develop rational pollutant abatement strategies. Various modelling approaches ranging from optimisation to general

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

**ISI**Articles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات