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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to examine business leaders’ perceptions on sustainable development and their expectations about local governments. Using a questionnaire on a cross-section of 32 French business leaders, we examine the following questions: can issues related to sustainable development extend beyond questions of profit and future economic dynamism? The modern global economic crisis, in combination with the ongoing ecological one, makes regional attractiveness more topical than ever. If local governments invest in sustainable development policy, it might satisfy not only citizens but also firms in the territory, while attracting new ones as well. To determine if firms are really interested in such a policy, as well as understand their expectations, this research undertook interviews with 32 business leaders. We found that local governments should act with firms as partners to increase resilience and sustainability. The expectations of business leaders and their sensitivity to sustainable development suggest that sustainable development policies could improve the attractiveness of a local economy, especially in the context of the current environmental and economic crises.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this study is to examine business leaders’ perceptions on sustainable development and their expectations about local governments. Using a questionnaire on a cross-section of 32 French business leaders, we examine the following questions: can issues related to sustainable development extend beyond questions of profit and future economic dynamism? Can sustainable development policies provide an element of effective crisis resolution and shock resistance? That is, might a proactive policy for sustainable development offer a form of resilience for regions that currently face economic and ecological crises? Like companies, governments therefore have to face a tradeoff between today’s performance and sustainable development. However, is an investment in sustainable development truly indispensable? In economic terms, can issues related to sustainable development extend beyond questions of profit and future economic dynamism? Are local businesses willing to take part in such a policy and work together with local governments?

Local companies are likely to be crucial in the attempts to maintain and enhance sustainability and resilience of the local economy in which they operate. Assuming that a company’s objective is to focus on stakeholders, they can play an important role in the governance of the local economy. Its success, implying success of the politics and involvement of the territories’ actors, requires sustainable development politics to benefit the firms. Sustainable development politics that benefit firms will represent an important factor of attractiveness ensuring economic dynamic of the local economy. Attractiveness can be defined as the ability of local economy to provide conditions of settlement for mobile projects more appealing than those of competing areas (Hatem, 2004). On the other hand, sustainability means that current developments do not compromise future developments.1 A sustainable development policy for businesses might act on an economic pillar by supporting green investments,2 or take action on social pillars by creating shared daycare or cafeteria facilities, or by assisting employment goals (e.g., subsidies for hiring long-term unemployed and disabled workers). On the environmental dimension, the government

---

1 The Brundtland Commission (1987) precisely defines sustainable development as ‘a process of change in which the exploitation of resources, the direction of investment, the orientation of technological development, and institutional changes are made consistent with future as well as present needs.’

2 Local government can encourage firms to make investments based on environmentally conscious criteria or promote investment activities that focus on companies that are committed to the conservation of natural resources, to the discovery and production of alternative energy sources, to the implementation of clean air and water projects, and/or to other environmentally conscious business practices (see Anenc et al. (2010) for explanation of green investment).
might financially and logistically encourage companies to reduce their impact on the environment by offering collective wind turbines or introducing waste recycling. A policy of sustainable development seems to be an obvious answer to questions raised by economic and ecological crises. But governments remain cautious and focused on their need for short-term success, imposed by both economic and electoral constraints. However, citizens also express more and more concern for sustainable development. Companies, under pressure from stakeholders, increasingly consider issues related to sustainable development; similarly, local governments should invest in a sustainable development policy to not only satisfy citizens but also benefit firms already present in the region, and attract new ones as well.

In this sense, the notions of attractiveness and resilience come together. The New Economic Geography (Krugman, 1991) explains the attractiveness of a local economy with factors that are strongly linked to the notion of proximity. In a self-reinforcing process (see De Groote et al., 2008), a region becomes attractive due to its ability to attract new business (Marshall, 1890). This notion of an industrial district, according to economic geography, refers to the ability of a material to store energy when it deforms elastically and then release this energy when the load is removed. In this case, the elasticity refers to the ability of a material to store energy when it deforms elastically and then release this energy when the load is removed.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of collective action</th>
<th>Incentive-inducing collective action</th>
<th>Participative collective action</th>
<th>Hierarchical collective action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>Private interest</td>
<td>Shared interest</td>
<td>Global interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Tools type</td>
<td>Isolated individual</td>
<td>Small group</td>
<td>Large group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation process</td>
<td>Governance by domestication</td>
<td>Negotiated instruments</td>
<td>Regulation instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative structure</td>
<td>Market</td>
<td>Governance by negotiation</td>
<td>Governance by authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation tools</td>
<td>Costs comparison Competition Taxes</td>
<td>Resource availability</td>
<td>Thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example: Firms' CO2 emission</td>
<td></td>
<td>Permits</td>
<td>Norms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Herbert et al. (2009).

... and creating jobs constitute the driving force of territories' dynamism. Thus attractiveness is a major issue for local governments, which should recognize the major determinants of attractiveness and try to improve them as much as possible. Territorial marketing policies have emerged from a global competition to attract firms (Hatet, 2007; Hetzel, 1998; Noisette and Vallerugo, 1996), and local governments race to be the "lowest bidder" on tax policies, social demands, or environmental restrictions. This 'race to the bottom' has heated up in recent years in the face of a dual crisis of economic and ecological issues. To confront these two shocks, local economies need resilience. In addition, the financial crises of 2008 provided further evidence for some of the central propositions of ecological economics on the flanks of the current economic models (see, in particular, Jackson Tim and UK Sustainable Development Commission, 2009), calling for a reexamination process that enabled a reconsideration of social, economic and environmental priorities, and of their weights (Clapp, 2004). A resilient local economy will be able to absorb disturbances induced by the economic crisis, retain companies, and return to its initial (or even an improved) state. In the best case scenario, it takes advantage of the crisis to emerge stronger. In a global context, all territories compete with other territories around the world to attract firms, so having a plan with a long-term view to respond to a crisis would be effective in regards of sustainable development. To develop such a sustainable development policy, governments therefore must involve local companies in the effort. However, are firms expecting such policies from local governments, and do they consider sustainable development an opportunity or a constraint? To identify the needs of firms, and whether they relate to sustainable development, we conducted a survey of 32 business leaders and use textual analysis to discern the implications. We found that local governments should act with firms as partners to increase resilience and sustainability. The expectations of business leaders and their sensitivity to sustainable development suggest that sustainable development policies could improve the attractiveness of a local economy, especially in the context of the current environmental and economic crises.

After providing the theoretical framework of this research (Section 2), we explain our methodology (Section 3). Then, we outline firms' expectations of local governance (Section 4) and their perceptions of sustainable development (Section 5), according to business leaders. Finally, Section 6 offers a conclusion.

2. Theoretical Framework — Why Should We Analyze Business Leaders’ Perceptions to Build Local Sustainable Development Policies?

2.1. Resilience, Sustainability, and Governance

The term resilience, from the Latin word resiliō, literally means "to jump backward." It initially appeared in physics applications, to describe the ability of a material to store energy when it deforms elastically and then release this energy when the load is removed. When the social sciences adopted this term, its meaning expanded...
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