



Lexical analysis in schizophrenia: How emotion and social word use informs our understanding of clinical presentation



Kyle S. Minor^{a,*}, Kelsey A. Bonfils^a, Lauren Luther^a, Ruth L. Firmin^a, Marina Kukla^b, Victoria R. MacLain^a, Benjamin Buck^c, Paul H. Lysaker^{b,d}, Michelle P. Salyers^a

^a Department of Psychology, Indiana University – Purdue University Indianapolis, Indianapolis, IN, USA

^b Roudebush VA Medical Center, Indianapolis, IN, USA

^c Department of Psychology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

^d Department of Psychiatry, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 October 2014

Received in revised form

14 February 2015

Accepted 26 February 2015

Keywords:

Schizophrenia

Speech

Negative emotion

Social functioning

Metacognition

ABSTRACT

Background: The words people use convey important information about internal states, feelings, and views of the world around them. Lexical analysis is a fast, reliable method of assessing word use that has shown promise for linking speech content, particularly in emotion and social categories, with psychopathological symptoms. However, few studies have utilized lexical analysis instruments to assess speech in schizophrenia. In this exploratory study, we investigated whether positive emotion, negative emotion, and social word use was associated with schizophrenia symptoms, metacognition, and general functioning in a schizophrenia cohort.

Methods: Forty-six participants generated speech during a semi-structured interview, and word use categories were assessed using a validated lexical analysis measure. Trained research staff completed symptom, metacognition, and functioning ratings using semi-structured interviews.

Results: Word use categories significantly predicted all variables of interest, accounting for 28% of the variance in symptoms and 16% of the variance in metacognition and general functioning. Anger words, a subcategory of negative emotion, significantly predicted greater symptoms and lower functioning. Social words significantly predicted greater metacognition.

Conclusions: These findings indicate that lexical analysis instruments have the potential to play a vital role in psychosocial assessments of schizophrenia. Future research should replicate these findings and examine the relationship between word use and additional clinical variables across the schizophrenia-spectrum.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The words that people choose offer windows into internal states, feelings, and views of the world around them. Computerized lexical analysis is a promising tool for examining word use, as it provides a fast, reliable method for scanning narratives by grouping words and word stems into thematic categories. Researchers have observed that analyzing word use, particularly in emotion and social categories, can yield information on a range of constructs, including cortical activity (Saxbe et al., 2013), childhood behavior problems (Slatcher

and Trentacosta, 2012), and psychopathological symptoms (Rude et al., 2004). Employing lexical analysis tools, either as stand-alone or supplemental methods, offers the potential to increase accuracy in behavioral assessments, as these objective measures are free of some limitations inherent in other types of measures (e.g., social desirability bias). Lexical analysis would appear to be tailor-made for investigating word use in schizophrenia, which is characterized by disorganized speech that is highly reactive to phenomenological state (Burbridge and Barch, 2002; Docherty and Hebert, 1997). These tools are unique compared to most speech instruments used in schizophrenia research, as lexical analysis focuses solely on speech content rather than syntax or word order. However, few studies have utilized lexical analysis instruments in this population.

Previous studies implementing lexical analysis have focused on comparing word use in schizophrenia and control groups, and have

* Corresponding author. IUPUI School of Science, Department of Psychology, LD 124, 402 N. Blackford St., Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA. Tel.: +1 317 274 2933; fax: +1 317 274 6756.

E-mail address: kminor@iupui.edu (K.S. Minor).

observed clinically significant differences between groups (Buck et al., *In Press*; Cohen et al., 2009; Jungbaenel et al., 2008; but also see St-Hilaire et al., 2008). There has been little research within patient groups to investigate whether word use is linked with schizophrenia symptoms. Cohen et al. (2009) published the lone study on this topic, observing that patients with schizophrenia high in anhedonia, a negative symptom characterized by reduced positive affect expression, used significantly more negative emotion words when discussing pleasant topics than those low in anhedonia or controls. Cohen and colleagues focused specifically on anhedonia; to our knowledge, no previous study has investigated whether word use is associated with the full spectrum of schizophrenia symptoms.

To enhance the clinical utility of lexical analysis instruments, it is imperative to examine whether word use can inform our understanding of commonly observed deficits in schizophrenia, such as metacognition and general functioning, where affective and social processes play critical roles. Metacognition, which is often defined as thinking about thinking (Flavel, 1979; Frith, 1992), involves a range of activities from discrete acts that require recognizing specific thoughts and feelings to synthetic acts that necessitate combining an array of intentions, thoughts, feelings, and connections between events into complex representations of others (see Gumley, 2011; Lysaker and Dimaggio, 2014; Lysaker et al., 2011). To demonstrate metacognition, one must exhibit an ability to integrate cognitive and emotional experiences in the moment and in memory, as well as recognize emotions in oneself and others. These abilities are often examined in schizophrenia by assessing the speech narratives of patients, as those with metacognitive deficits are likely to demonstrate greater impoverishment through language. Lexical analysis instruments offer the potential to examine speech narratives more deeply in order to identify moments when core psychological processes, such as emotional or social processes, are engaged. Despite the importance of emotional and social processes to metacognition and the common use of speech narratives during assessment, no previous study has investigated whether significant overlap exists between metacognition and emotion or social word use.

Regarding functioning, greater levels of negative emotion are significantly associated with lower functioning in schizophrenia (Blanchard et al., 1998). Gauging social relationships is also a key component of functional assessments. Examining associations between functioning and emotion and social word use, compared to other categories, could inform our understanding of how lexical analysis can be utilized to assess general functioning in schizophrenia. If significant relationships are observed, this would offer preliminary evidence for the utility of lexical analysis instruments as an objective screening measure of general functioning in patients.

This is the first study to implement lexical analysis to explore relationships between word use and overall symptoms, metacognition, and general functioning in individuals with schizophrenia. These relationships were examined across fifteen word use categories, spanning themes related to psychological processes and personal concerns. We expected word use to significantly predict all three clinical variables, and that emotion and social word categories would be the strongest individual predictors measured in this study.

2. Materials and method

2.1. Participants

Participants were outpatients from a Midwestern VA Medical Center ($n = 17$) and a community mental health clinic ($n = 29$) with

confirmed DSM-IV diagnoses of schizophrenia ($n = 17$) or schizoaffective disorder ($n = 29$). Exclusion criteria included an age <18 , presence of severe cognitive impairments as measured by a six-item cognitive screener (see Callahan et al., 2002), and incomplete baseline data for speech, symptoms, metacognition, or functioning. This data was part of a randomized controlled trial examining the impact of Illness Management and Recovery over 18 months (Salyers et al., 2013). For this project, we focused solely on baseline scores (i.e., prior to any intervention). The final sample consisted of 46 participants; most were male ($n = 35$, 76%), African-American ($n = 26$, 57%), not currently married ($n = 31$, 67%), completed high school or their GED ($n = 30$, 65%), and were earning below \$20,000 annually ($n = 40$, 90%). All study procedures were approved by the university Institutional Review Board and informed consent was obtained for all participants prior to the onset of the study.

2.2. Measures

Participants generated speech in response to open-ended questions on the Indiana Psychiatric Illness Interview (IPII; Lysaker et al., 2002), a semi-structured interview assessing perceptions of one's life and illness. The IPII is divided into five parts, where participants are asked: 1) to tell the story of their life, beginning with their earliest memory; 2) if they think they have a mental illness and, if so, whether this mental illness has affected different facets of their lives; 3) if their mental illness controls their life and how they seek to control their mental illness; 4) how their condition affects other people; and 5) what they expect to remain the same and change for them in the future. All IPII's were conducted by trained research assistants and typically lasted 30–60 min. The IPII was chosen for this study based on its open-ended nature, which granted participants a considerable degree of freedom when discussing topics. It also provided researchers with large segments of speech for lexical analysis (Total spoken words: $M = 3588$; $SD = 2087$).

IPII Interviews were recorded, transcribed, and processed to include only participant speech for lexical analysis. Linguistic Inquiry Word Count (LIWC; Pennebaker et al., 2007) is a computerized measure that assesses speech content using a dictionary of over 4500 words/word stems across 68 categories. In this study, we focused on the seven primary psychological process categories (positive emotion, negative emotion, social, cognitive mechanisms, perception, biological, and relativity) on the LIWC and two personal concern categories (work, achievement) chosen based on their potential link with functioning. Subcategories for negative emotion (anxiety, anger, sadness) and social words (family, friend, humans) were also examined. LIWC does not contain subcategories for positive emotion. For each category, LIWC calculates percentage scores to account for total words spoken. Higher percentages indicate more frequent word use (see Table 1 for raw data and examples of categories/subcategories). LIWC has demonstrated good validity for measuring verbal emotional expression (Kahn et al., 2007), and has been used previously to assess word use in schizophrenia (Buck et al., *In Press*; Cohen et al., 2009; Jungbaenel et al., 2008; St-Hilaire et al., 2008).

Schizophrenia symptoms, metacognition, and functioning were measured using validated instruments. The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS; Kay et al., 1987) is a 30-item symptom scale that has been used extensively in schizophrenia research. The overall scale has demonstrated good internal consistency (Kay et al., 1987), interrater reliability (Bell et al., 1992; Lysaker et al., 2013), and predictive validity (Bell et al., 1992). PANSS items range from 1 (absent) to 7 (extreme) and have a three factor structure (reality distortion, negative, disorganized; Bell et al.,

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات