

Research Report

‘The weight of a thought’: Food-related thought suppression in obese and normal-weight youngsters

Barbara Soetens *, Caroline Braet

Department of Developmental, Personality and Social Psychology, Ghent University, Henri Dunantlaan 2, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

Received 16 December 2005; accepted 23 January 2006

Abstract

Objective: The ironic processing theory by Wegner states that suppressing unwanted thoughts can be counterproductive because it leads to a rebound of these thoughts, eventually causing increased preoccupations. In line with this view, the present study examines the effects of suppressing food- and eating- related thoughts in obese and non-obese youngsters.

Method: Ninety-seven clinically obese and non-obese boys and girls, with different levels of dietary restraint, were asked to monitor their thoughts about food for 5 min, during three subsequent trial periods. Participants were instructed to suppress thoughts about food or to merely monitor them. The number of food-related thoughts was recorded across trials.

Results: No indication was found for an overall post-suppression rebound effect. However, when examining subgroups of high and low restrained eaters, results showed that the obese high restrained eaters displayed a pattern that was indicative of a rebound effect for food-related thoughts. None of the other groups shared these effects.

Discussion: The results corroborate the hypothesis that trying not to think about food and eating may be counterproductive, at least for a subgroup of clinically obese high restrained eaters. Thought suppression may be one of the factors contributing to acceleration and perpetuation of burdensome food-related thinking in clinically obese high restrained eaters.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Thought suppression; Obese; Adolescents; Dietary restraint; Preoccupation; Food thoughts

Introduction

The only way to get rid of a temptation is to yield to it. Resist it, and your soul grows sick with longing for the things it has forbidden to itself.

Oscar Wilde (1891)—The Picture of Dorian Gray

Trying not to think about a specific topic is a common way of dealing with unpleasant thoughts in everyday life. However, since the trail-blazing ‘white bear’ experiment (Wegner, Schneider, Carter, & White, 1987), clinical literature has acknowledged that deliberate attempts to suppress unwanted thoughts can lead to a paradoxical increase in the frequency of those thoughts (see Abramowitz, Tolin, & Street, 2001).

Wegner et al. (1987) asked participants to suppress thoughts about a white bear, which ironically resulted in an escalation of those thoughts. In other words, thought suppression is not always an effective tactic of mental control, and may sometimes be counterproductive, leaving individuals with the very state of mind they had hoped to avoid (Wenzlaff & Wegner, 2000). As such, in Wegner’s view thought suppression is a mechanism that can underlie, strengthen or maintain preoccupations.

Some authors found evidence for an unwanted increase in target thoughts during suppression, which is known as the immediate enhancement effect (Wegner & Erber, 1992). The unwanted increase in thoughts or the recurrence of formerly successfully suppressed thoughts after suppression has ceased, is known as the ‘post-suppression rebound effect’ (Wegner, 1994).

The paradoxical effects of thought suppression are not only found for uncommon thoughts (e.g. white bears), but also for dysfunctional preoccupations that crop up spontaneously in people with psychopathology. Indeed, thought suppression seems to play a key role in cognitive-behavioural models for a variety of emotional disorders, including depression (Wenzlaff

* Corresponding author. Research Assistant of the Fund for Scientific Research-Flanders (Belgium).

E-mail addresses: barbara.soetens@ugent.be (B. Soetens), caroline.braet@ugent.be (C. Braet).

& Bates, 1998), posttraumatic stress disorder (Shipherd & Beck, 1999) and in particular obsessive-compulsive disorder (Janeck & Calamari, 1999; Smari, Birgisdottir, & Brynjolfsdottir, 1995). Despite the similarities between OCD and eating disorders (Anderluh, Tchanturia, Rabe-Hesketh, & Treasure, 2003), the interest in thought suppression in the field of eating-related problems is fairly recent and many researchers expressed the need for more research in this area (Herman & Polivy, 1993; Wenzlaff & Wegner, 2000). Ward, Bulik, and Johnston (1996) were pioneers in hypothesizing that the suppression of thoughts about food, weight and body shape can lead to an increase in the frequency of those thoughts and hence contribute to the escalation and perpetuation of bulimic pathology. The common ground between the paradoxical effects resulting from thought suppression and the typical symptoms of eating problems is indeed remarkable. Therefore, this study investigates the role and contribution of thought suppression to eating-related pathology. More precisely, the consequences of suppressing thoughts about food and eating on the frequency of such cognitions will be examined both in obese and non-obese youngsters.

An essential first step in order to implement thought suppression in everyday life is that there has to be a thought that one wishes to suppress. The experience of intrusive thoughts is quite common in the general population. Studies have shown that over 80% of the total population experiences occasional intrusions (Wells & Morrison, 1994). Yet, these thoughts are not all problematic. What distinguishes 'normal' intrusions from more pathological intrusions is that the latter appear to be more frequent, more intense, last longer, produce more discomfort and tend to evoke more resistance (Salkovskis & Harrison, 1984). Any one of these characteristics places an extra burden on individuals facing unwanted thoughts and increases attempts to control and suppress the unwanted thoughts (also see Purdon, 2004).

Research has shown that food-, eating- and dieting-related thoughts are widespread in current western societies, even in children and adolescents (Kristensen, 2000; Nowak, 1998; Shapiro, Newcomb, & Loeb, 1997). The contradiction between the thin ideal and the so-called toxic environment where palatable food and advertising are all around us, makes it hard not to focus on eating, at least once in a while (Brownell & Horgen, 2004). Although food thoughts need not be unwanted, e.g. when focussing on the gustatory pleasure of eating; focussing on the caloric threat of food or on past experiences of overeating or loss of control can induce thought suppression (Herman & Polivy, 1993). Research also indicates that hunger can induce thinking about food (Herman & Polivy, 1993) and increase the cognitive focus towards food cues (Mogg, Bradley, Hyare, & Lee, 1998; Placanica, Faunce, & Job, 2002), especially in people who self-induce their hunger due to dietary restraint attitudes.

Some groups appear to be more prone to develop obsessive food-related thinking than others. Eating-disordered individuals are the most obvious at-risk group. Their eating and weight preoccupations are a core characteristic and a diagnostic criterion for eating disorders (DSM-IV, APA, 1994). A vicious

circle can arise in which a heightened focus on food and weight increases the risk for eating-problems and the eating-problems in their turn maintain and increase the focus on food and eating (Cooper & Todd, 1997; Jones-Chesters, Monsell, & Cooper, 1998).

For related reasons, the obese population deserves special interest. Obese individuals tend to share a lot of the same stressors with eating-disordered patients, such as e.g. negative feelings about body weight and shape and failure experiences related to eating (Adami et al., 1994). Furthermore, obese youngsters show a similar bias in information processing, reflecting hypersensitivity for food cues (Braet & Crombez, 2003). Moreover, although direct studies on food- and eating-related thinking in obese individuals are scarce, Israel, Stolmaker, and Adrian (1985) found food-related thoughts to be more predominant among heavier individuals in a sample of undergraduates. This finding was replicated in an adolescent population, showing a strong positive association between Body Mass Index (BMI) and food-related thinking (Lynch, Eppers, & Sherrodd, 2004).

Another similarity between eating-disordered and obese individuals is that both groups often show high levels of dietary restraint, denoting the cognitively mediated effort and intention to restrict food intake in order to control body weight or shape (Adami et al., 1994; Herman & Mack, 1975). Previous research has found high restraint tendencies in obese children (Braet & Wydhoooghe, 2000) as well as in obese adults (Van Strien, Frijters, Bergers, & Defares, 1986ab), although some obese are more intrinsically motivated to control their weight than others (Blokstra, Burns, & Seidell, 1999), illustrating the heterogeneity of this population.

Individuals with dietary restraint attitudes appear to be another group at risk for food-related thinking, regardless of their actual current dieting behaviour. The association between dieting and food-related thinking was first brought to the fore by the early starvation studies by Franklin, Schiele, Brozek, and Keys (1948), which revealed that extreme dieting and associated weight loss can lead to a remarkable increase in the frequency of food-related thoughts. More recently, a study of undergraduate students by Mann and Ward (2001) showed the same findings in less severe circumstances. When individuals were prohibited to eat a particular food for 5 days, their food thoughts increased significantly. Ogden (2003) reached the same conclusions for dieters who self-imposed their diet. Furthermore, chronic dietary restraint was found to be more strongly associated with the selective processing of food cues than short-term food deprivation (Stewart & Samoluk, 1997).

The question now is what role thought suppression might play in all this? As outlined above, it cannot be denied that food-related thinking is certainly prevalent in at-risk groups. Yet, the position of thought suppression can be twofold: first, it can strengthen preoccupations that potentially exist due to other factors (e.g. environmental factors, attentional bias, weight loss) and second, it can induce thoughts that are not yet obsessive in nature to become obsessive.

Wenzlaff and Wegner (1998, 2000) state that people who are highly motivated to control their cravings (e.g. smoking,

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات