Subjective competence breeds overconfidence in errors in psychosis. A hubris account of paranoia
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ABSTRACT

Background and objectives: Overconfidence in errors is a well-replicated cognitive bias in psychosis. However, prior studies have sometimes failed to find differences between patients and controls for more difficult tasks. We pursued the hypothesis that overconfidence in errors is exaggerated in participants with a liability to psychosis relative to controls only when they feel competent in the respective topic and/or deem the question easy. Whereas subjective competence likely enhances confidence in those with low psychosis liability as well, we still expected to find more ‘residual’ caution in the latter group.

Methods: We adopted a psychometric high-risk approach to circumvent the confounding influence of treatment. A total of 2321 individuals from the general population were administered a task modeled after the “Who wants to be a millionaire” quiz. Participants were requested to endorse one out of four response options, graded for confidence, and were asked to provide ratings regarding subjective competence for the knowledge domain as well as the subjective difficulty of each item.

Results: In line with our assumption, overconfidence in errors was increased overall in participants scoring high on the Paranoia Checklist core paranoia subscale (2 SD above the mean). This pattern of results was particularly prominent for items for which participants considered themselves competent and which they rated as easy.

Limitations: Results need to be replicated in a clinical sample.

Discussion: In support of our hypothesis, subjective competence and task difficulty moderate overconfidence in errors of psychosis. Trainings that teach patients the fallibility of human cognition may help reduce delusional ideation.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Delusions are traditionally associated with schizophrenia but are in fact transdiagnostic symptoms, which are present in many psychiatric disorders. Benign subclinical paranoid beliefs are encountered in 15–20% of the population (Freeman, 2006; Stip & Letourneau, 2009; van Os & Kapur, 2009).

Delusions can be briefly defined as fixed false beliefs. While conviction of the correctness of one’s beliefs is a core defining feature of delusions, a plethora of studies suggest that this type of misjudgment reflects a general cognitive bias. Overconfidence is not confined to delusion-relevant scenarios but extends to situations that have no overt connection to delusional themes. Studies typically find that patients with paranoid schizophrenia or high-paranoid (subclinical) participants are more certain about their incorrect judgments while their confidence for correct responses is attenuated relative to controls (Moritz, Göritz et al., 2014; Moritz & Woodward, 2002, 2006; Moritz, Woodward, Jelinek, & Klinge, 2008; Moritz, Woodward, & Rodriguez-Raecke, 2006; Moritz, Woodward, & Ruff, 2003; Peters, Haushildt, Moritz, & Jelinek, 2013). The former effect is usually stronger than the latter. The difference between overconfidence in errors and underconfidence in correct judgments has been termed “confidence gap” (Moritz & Woodward, 2006; Moritz et al., 2008; Moritz, Woodward, & Rodriguez-Raecke, 2006). In combination with an increased error
rate, it results in a state referred to as “knowledge corruption” (Moritz, Göritz, et al., 2014; Moritz & Woodward, 2006), i.e., a large part of what a person believes to be factually true is contaminated or corrupted (knowledge corruption is defined as follows: high-confident errors/all high-confident judgments × 100%). Over-confidence in errors is considered a risk factor and fodder for new delusional beliefs (Moritz & Woodward, 2006) and may aggravate the behavioral and emotional consequences of false beliefs (Moritz & Van Quaquebeke, 2014). Over-confidence is thus considered one target mechanism in the treatment of psychosis; indeed, antipsychotics have been reported to attenuate overconfidence and induce doubt (Andreou, Moritz, Veith, Veckenstedt, & Naber, 2014; Moritz, Andreou, Klingberg, Thoering, & Peters, 2013; Moritz et al., 2008, 2003).

However, not all studies found the aforementioned pattern of results (i.e., enhanced confidence gap in psychosis). A recent study (Klass, 2013) was unable to detect overconfidence in errors for difficult knowledge questions. In contrast, the same study population (Moritz, Göritz, et al., 2014) was also administered a hidden figures test with low demands. Here, the expected pattern (i.e. overconfidence in errors in patients with psychosis) was replicated. Likewise, for a difficult social cognition test, patients with schizophrenia and healthy controls performed in line with respect to overconfidence in errors (Andreou et al., submitted), whereas more simple emotion recognition tasks yielded the expected pattern of overconfidence in errors (Kother et al., 2012; Moritz, Woznica, Andreou, & Kother, 2012). For source memory tasks, the degree of overconfidence in errors and underconfidence in correct responses seems to fluctuate depending on whether items are self-generated, externally generated, or novel (Gaweda, Moritz, & Kokoszka, 2012; Moritz, Woodward, Whitman, & Cuttler, 2005; Peters et al., 2007).

For a simple recognition task, patients with schizophrenia even displayed overconfidence in both correct and incorrect judgments (Kircher, Koch, Stottmeister, & Durst, 2007). In an earlier study on memory, differences between schizophrenia patients and controls in false recognition confidence were increased as a function of distracter difficulty (Moritz et al., 2008). The above stimulated the hypothesis that task difficulty and the subjective competence patients experience when performing these tasks may moderate the magnitude of their confidence. While it is reasonable to assume that subjective competence will augment confidence both in healthy controls and patients with psychotic disorders, the effect is predicted to be particularly prominent in patients, reflecting a lack of ‘residual’ caution. Multiple methodological differences across the various tasks used to calculate knowledge corruption in prior studies preclude firm conclusions. Therefore, for the present study we tested the above hypothesis within the framework of a single paradigm. We predicted that subjects scoring high on psychosis would display exaggerated overconfidence in errors for tasks in which they considered themselves competent and which they deemed easy. This would be in line with the clinical observation that delusional beliefs are not random ideas that ‘come out of the blue’ but often are rooted in patients’ premorbid areas of subjective ‘expertise’ (e.g., interests and profession). Taking into account subjective competence in research regarding overconfidence may help to explain why some studies did not find a robust correlation between overconfidence with delusions.

To pursue this hypothesis, we adopted a psychometric high-risk approach (Chapman & Chapman, 1988, 1985; Lenzeweg & Korfine, 1994), particularly in order to circumvent the confound of antipsychotic medication and comorbid psychiatric disorders as well as treatment-related caveats (e.g., stigma). In such studies, nonclinical subjects scoring at least 2 SD above the mean on a psychosis liability scale are compared to those scoring no higher than .5 SD above the mean.

We posed participants knowledge questions of a low, moderate or high degree of objective difficulty (e.g., “What are geysers?”) from the “Who wants to be a millionaire” quiz (for a forerunner study on a similar paradigm see Moritz, Woodward, & Haumann, 2006) using a single-choice response format (demons, hot springs (correct), jewelry, ibexes) along with confidence ratings. Further, we asked whether participants felt competent for the respective topic and whether they deemed the question to be difficult, moderately difficult, easy or very easy. We felt it was important to determine subjective difficulty, as it may well differ from objective difficulty — for example, if a participant either under- or overestimates his/her level of expertise or has particular knowledge gaps versus strengths. For the overall analyses, we expected to find a narrowed confidence gap (overconfidence in errors, underconfidence in correct responses) in high-paranoid participants, with the additional prediction that subjective competence as well as difficulty would enhance the difference between high- and low-paranoid participants, particularly for incorrect judgments. If true, this finding may not only contribute to refining theoretical models of paranoia, but may also have implications for existing cognitive treatment programs for delusions (Garety & Freeman, 2013; Moritz, Andreou, et al., 2014).

1. Method

1.1. Participants

Participants were recruited via WiSo-Panel, a German online service providing researchers with the opportunity to advertise scientific studies (for the reliability of data of this and related services see Göritz, 2007; Judge, Ilies, & Scott, 2006; Piccolo & Colquitt, 2006). The online survey was programmed using the software package “unipark” (Globalpark AG/Questback). A total of 12,183 individuals from the general population were invited to participate. Of these, 2,352 (20%) completed the relevant questionnaires (Paranoia Checklist and “Who wants to be a millionaire” task). The survey contained two further parts on latent aggression and dysfunctional coping strategies, which, however, are irrelevant to the present topic.

We discarded data of 31 participants who had either entered the same value (i.e., each time either the score 2, 3, 4 or 5) throughout the psychopathological scales (n = 27) or had made nonsensical entries in one of the comment fields (n = 4). The final sample consisted of 2321 participants. As an incentive, participants were offered the free download of a manual containing mindfulness and relaxation exercises at the end of the survey (a different version was used than in Moritz, Göritz, et al., 2014). The research was completed in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the local ethics committee.

1.2. Measures

1.2.1. Psychopathology

Before the quiz, we administered two scales assessing paranoia and depression. Responses were entered on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from “fully applies” to “does not apply at all”. For paranoia, the frequency scale of the Paranoia Checklist (Freeman et al., 2005) was administered. It consists of 18 items that, according to a factor analysis (Moritz, Van Quaquebeke, & Lincoln, 2012), are best represented by two subscales termed suspiciousness (“Bad things are being said about me behind my back”) and core paranoia (“I can detect coded messages about me in the press/TV/radio”). The latter scale seems to be particularly relevant for psychosis: A recent experiment showed that the core paranoia but not the suspiciousness subscale correlates with jumping to conclusions (Moritz, Van Quaquebeke, & Lincoln, 2012). For depression, the frequency scale of the depression subscale of the Paranoia Checklist was used.
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