



The cost effectiveness of cognitive behavioral therapy for bulimia nervosa delivered via telemedicine versus face-to-face

Scott J. Crow^{a,*}, James E. Mitchell^b, Ross D. Crosby^b, Sonja A. Swanson^c,
Stephen Wonderlich^b, Kathy Lancanster^b

^a Department of Psychiatry, University of Minnesota Medical School, F282/2A West Bldg, 2450 Riverside Av, Minneapolis, MN 55454-1495, USA

^b Neuropsychiatric Research Institute, 700 First Av S, Fargo, ND 58103-1896, USA

^c National Institute of Mental Health, Section on Developmental Genetic Epidemiology, 35 Convent Drive, Building 35, Room 1A-106, MSC 3720, Bethesda, MD 20814, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 October 2008

Received in revised form

3 February 2009

Accepted 11 February 2009

Keywords:

Bulimia nervosa

Cognitive behavioral therapy

Cost effectiveness

ABSTRACT

Objective: A number of effective treatments for bulimia nervosa have been developed, but they are infrequently used, in part due to problems with dissemination. The goal of this study was to examine the cost effectiveness of telemedicine delivery of cognitive behavioral therapy for bulimia nervosa.

Method: A randomized controlled trial of face-to-face versus telemedicine cognitive behavioral therapy for bulimia nervosa. One hundred twenty eight women with DSM-IV bulimia nervosa or eating disorder, not otherwise specified subsyndromal variants of bulimia nervosa were randomized to 20 sessions of treatment over 16 weeks. A cost effectiveness analysis from a societal perspective was conducted.

Results: The total cost per recovered (abstinent) subject was \$9324.68 for face-to-face CBT, and \$7300.40 for telemedicine CBT. The cost differential was accounted for largely by therapist travel costs. Sensitivity analyses examining therapy session costs, gasoline costs and telemedicine connection costs yielded fundamentally similar results.

Discussion: In this study, CBT delivered face-to-face and via telemedicine were similarly effective, and telemedicine delivery cost substantially less. These findings underscore the potential applicability of telemedicine approaches to eating disorder treatment and psychiatric treatment in general.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Bulimia nervosa (BN) is a common eating disorder (Hudson, Hiripi, Pope, & Kessler, 2006) which carries medical, social, and functional burdens (Crow & Peterson, 2003). A number of treatments have been developed which show some benefit, including cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), interpersonal therapy and numerous pharmacotherapies (Shapiro et al., 2007). Psychotherapeutic treatments have generally shown better response rates than pharmacotherapies, but there are a number of strategic challenges in providing these therapies. It is uncommon for patients to receive these specific treatments from therapists trained in their use. This appears to be due to several factors, including the limited number of specialty centers for eating disorders; limited numbers of psychology training programs that include these treatments; and

limited numbers of therapists who receive training in these treatments (Mussell et al., 2000). As a result, previous research suggests that individuals presenting for BN have usually not received an adequate trial of empirically supported psychotherapy for BN (Crow, Mussell, Peterson, Knopke, & Mitchell, 1999).

One option to consider to improve dissemination of these treatments is by strengthening training efforts. Another potential strategy is to provide such treatments via telemedicine. This approach has several potential benefits. First, it would make treatment from specialized eating disorder centers available in broad geographic areas. Second, it would allow for more efficient and specialized training and supervision of therapists. Finally, it is possible that treatment provided in this way could be more effective and less costly than alternatives (for example, compared to face-to-face therapy, there could be advantages in time and travel cost). Limited work to date examining costs in telepsychiatry suggests this may be true (Harley, 2006; O'Reilly et al., 2007)

The goal of this analysis was to examine the cost effectiveness of CBT delivered via telemedicine versus face-to-face treatment with individuals with BN.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 612 273 9807; fax: +1 612 273 9779.

E-mail addresses: crowx002@umn.edu (S.J. Crow), mail@nrifargo.com (J.E. Mitchell), mail@nrifargo.com (R.D. Crosby), swansons@mail.nih.gov (S.A. Swanson), mail@nrifargo.com (S. Wonderlich), mail@nrifargo.com (K. Lancanster).

Methods

The treatment study from which this analysis was drawn is described in detail elsewhere (Mitchell et al., 2008). In brief, the study was conducted at nine regional sites in eastern North Dakota and northwestern Minnesota; sites were 46–194 miles from the coordinating center. Participants were women with a DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) diagnosis of BN (purging or non-purging subtype) or eating disorder not otherwise specified fulfilling at least one of the following: (1) DSM-IV criteria for BN except binge eating/purging at a minimum frequency of once per week; or (2) DSM-IV criteria for BN with only subjective binge-eating episodes. Subjects were excluded for weight less than 85% of ideal body weight; recent change in prescribed psychotropic medication (in the past six weeks); prior treatment with at least eight sessions of CBT; substance abuse in the past six months; pregnancy; significant medical illness that would jeopardize study participation; significant suicidal risk as assessed by the investigators; active psychosis; or a current or prior diagnosis of schizophrenia or bipolar illness.

Treatment in this study involved CBT using a manual-based CBT that has been studied widely (Agras et al., 2000; Fairburn, Marcus, & Wilson, 1993; Fairburn et al., 1995). Twenty sessions were conducted over a 16 week period. Treatment was face-to-face (FTF-CBT) or via telemedicine. In the telemedicine condition (TV-CBT) treatment was provided using a telemedicine system linking the regional health care system facilities using T1 lines. Therapy was provided by doctoral level psychologist who received weekly supervision. Therapy sessions were audiotaped with random review of therapy tapes for adherence. Assessments included the Eating Disorder Examination (Fairburn & Cooper, 1993); and the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (First, Spitzer, Gibbon, & Williams, 1995). Approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board prior to initiation of the study.

Cost effective analysis

A societal perspective was chosen for this cost effective analysis. Cost of treatment and travel time both for therapists and subjects were calculated. In this study, the health care utilization recorded was study related treatment including initial evaluation, laboratory evaluation and psychotherapy visits. In addition, costs were calculated for time and fuel costs for travel to and from sessions, both for therapists and subjects. Unit costs were derived from the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services website (www.cms.hhs.gov). Effectiveness was defined as abstinence at the end of one year follow-up using data from the EDE.

Costs were compared by calculating cost effectiveness ratios, in which the total cost for all subjects in an arm was divided by the number of abstinent subjects (at end of follow-up) in that arm to yield a cost per abstinent subject. Bootstrapping for 10,000 iterations was conducted in order to address measurement error. In order to address error in assumptions, sensitivity analyses were conducted.

Results

The clinical and demographic characteristics of the sample by treatment arm are shown in Table 1. A total of 128 subjects were randomized, 66 to FTF-CBT and 62 to TV-CBT. At 12 month follow-up, abstinence from binge eating and purging by last observation carried forward was 28.8% in the FTF-CBT group and 22.6% in the TV-CBT group.

The total cost by arm is shown in Table 2, CBT charges (and in FTF-CBT, therapist travel) represented the largest sources of cost. In

Table 1
Subject demographic and clinical characteristics.

Characteristic	Treatment group	
	FTF-CBT (n = 66)	TV-CBT (n = 62)
Age, years (mean ± SD)	29.6 ± 10.9	28.4 ± 10.4
Body mass index, kg/m (mean ± SD)	23.3 ± 5.0	23.5 ± 5.4
Female (n, %)	64 (97.0%)	62 (100%)
Caucasian (n, %)	62 (93.9%)	61 (98.4%)
Post high school education (n, %)	55 (83.3%)	56 (90.3%)
Baseline objective binge episodes previous 28 days ^a (mean ± SD, median)	21.9 ± 27.3 (11.5)	19.1 ± 24.7 (14.5)
Baseline vomiting episodes previous 28 days ^b (mean ± SD, median)	31.3 ± 34.3 (24.0)	28.5 ± 28.3 (24.0)
Current diagnosis		
Bulimia nervosa (n, %)	38 (57.6%)	33 (53.2%)
Eating disorders not otherwise specified (n, %)	28 (42.4%)	29 (46.8%)
Abstinence at follow-up (n, %)	18 (27.3%)	16 (25.8%)

^a Binge-eating episode frequency reported as “too great to calculate” (n = 1) recoded to maximum reported value (132).

^b Vomiting episode frequency reported as “too great to calculate” (n = 3) recoded to maximum reported value (132).

addition, the average number of sessions attended by subject and the average travel time by arm for both subjects and therapists are shown in Table 2. Cost effectiveness ratios expressed as cost per abstinent subjects are also found in Table 3. Bootstrapping results are shown in Fig. 1. These indicate that in 78.9% of iterations, TV-CBT was less effective but also less costly than FTF-CBT, while in 21.1% TV-CBT was both more effective and less costly.

Sensitivity analysis was conducted to test three assumptions. First, given that unit costs came from CMS, an alternative assumption where full clinical prices were paid for treatment was examined. Second, given that prices were calculated in 2005 dollars, the travel component of costs reflects US gasoline prices of \$2.27 per gallon (Energy Information Administration, Washington, D.C., USA). Thus, sensitivity analysis was done assuming February 2008 average US gasoline prices of \$3.21 per gallon. Third, cost in the TV-CBT arm included a per session charge for the telemedicine connection. However, video cameras of increasingly high quality are frequently incorporated in personal computers. These could be used at no cost, and would represent an alternative mechanism for treatment delivery; therefore, sensitivity analysis was conducted in which no charges were included for the telemedicine component. The results of these analyses are shown in Table 3. These analyses revealed limited changes to cost effectiveness ratios, and the relationship of cost per abstinent subject between FTF-CBT and TV-CBT appeared fundamentally unaltered.

Discussion

In this study, CBT delivered face-to-face and CBT delivered via telemedicine had similar efficacy, but TV-CBT was associated with

Table 2
Mean costs per subject by treatment arm.

	FTF-CBT	TV-CBT
Total costs	\$2684.38	\$1648.48
Evaluation and laboratory	\$151.59	\$151.59
CBT	\$1131.33	\$1342.47
Subject travel	\$173.89	\$101.97
Gasoline	\$89.44	\$52.45
Therapist travel	\$1138.14	\$0.00

FTF-CBT = face-to-face CBT, TV-CBT = CBT via telemedicine.

Notes: 1. Costs are in 2005 US dollars. 2. Mean # of sessions: FTF-CBT: 13.4 (50.71); TV-CBT: 14.2 (50.60). 3. Mean one way subject travel time FTF-CBT: 14 min; TV-CBT: 8 min.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات