



Assessing efficacy of stuttering treatments[☆]

Carys Thomas, Peter Howell*

Department of Psychology, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

Received 20 September 2000; received in revised form 27 February 2001; accepted 15 June 2001

Abstract

Efficacy has been defined as the extent to which a specific intervention, procedure, regimen, or service produces a beneficial result under ideally controlled conditions when administered or monitored by experts. Studies on efficacy can be divided into those that study methods of conducting treatment (i.e., treatment process research) and those that are concerned with the effects of treatments (i.e., treatment outcome research). This review covers both areas, emphasizes the former, and considers such key determinants of efficacy as measurement, treatment integrity, and design issues. A set of criteria is given and a meta-analysis of whether studies published since 1993 meet these criteria is reported (incorporating some pragmatic and ethical considerations). The review ends by considering directions that warrant further investigation in the future.

Educational objectives: The reader will learn about and be able to describe (1) measurements appropriate for evaluating treatment efficacy studies; (2) how to evaluate reports of stuttering treatment programs; and (3) different designs used in treatment efficacy studies. © 2001 Elsevier Science Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Efficacy; Treatment; Stuttering

1. Introduction

The treatment of stuttering has been described as a controversial and perplexing issue for speech language pathologists (Ingham & Riley, 1998), and

[☆] This research was supported by a grant from the Wellcome Trust. Authorship is shared equally.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44-207-679-7566; fax: +44-207-436-4276.

E-mail address: p.howell@ucl.ac.uk (P. Howell).

recent concerns have been expressed about the absence of rigorous documentation regarding the efficacy of particular interventions (Ansel, 1993; Conture, 1996; Conture & Guitar, 1993; Cordes & Ingham, 1998; Starkweather, 1993). It has even been asserted that the state of stuttering treatment research, at least up to early 1996, was abysmal and that some leaders in the field appear to have abandoned basic scientific principles that are at the heart of any attempt to establish treatment efficacy (Cordes, 1998). Efficacy is the extent to which a specific intervention, procedure, regimen, or service produces a beneficial result under ideally controlled conditions when administered or monitored by experts (Last, 1983). In contrast, treatment effectiveness is the extent to which an intervention or treatment employed in the field does what it is intended to do for a specific population (Last, 1983). Treatment efficacy research can be characterized as an investigative tool for examining the effects of environmental variables (i.e., treatment) on organismic variables (i.e., communication behaviors). Moreover, it has been suggested that the beauty of efficacy research is its ability to address both theoretical and clinical questions simultaneously (Ols-wang, 1993).

The dawn of a behavioral orientation to stuttering treatment in the 1960s introduced a set of principles and practices for determining treatment efficacy. This model was based primarily upon the quantification of the target of treatments, plus systematic evaluations of relevant behaviors across clinically important settings for meaningful periods of time (Ingham & Andrews, 1973; Kazdin, 1978) and did, to some extent, transcend theoretical orientations (Bloodstein, 1987). However, Schwartz (1976) published his account of “solving stuttering,” with its accompanying claim that the disorder had been treated with an 89% success rate. Reaction throughout the field was “principally directed at a glaring absence of data-based therapy evaluation” (Ingham, 1993, p. 134).

Then, a second catalyst occurred in 1987, when Cooper claimed that “at least two out of every five adolescent and adult abnormally disfluent individuals are incurable stutterers” (Cooper, 1987, p. 381), which was attacked on similar grounds. It has since been suggested that the procedures recommended for evaluating the efficacy of stuttering treatment have become overwhelmingly complex, while at the same time, prevailing notions about the nature of stuttering have become increasingly biological (Ingham & Cordes, 1997). As a result, Ingham and Cordes (1997) claimed that even the most recent studies of stuttering treatment seem to have been conducted without evaluation procedures and that treatments are now being recommended with little or no empirical support. In support of this claim, Cordes (1998) reviewed 88 selected publications and reported that treatments that were most often recommended were not treatments that had been the most comprehensively researched.

Systematic assessments of the efficacy of treatments utilized by a profession are “essential to the maintenance of the clinical integrity of any profession” (Curlee, 1993, p. 328). Hence, the purpose of this article is to identify some of the fundamental issues that should form the bases of evaluating treatment efficacy for

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات