

Towards a better understanding of partnership attributes: An exploratory analysis of relationship type classification[☆]

Rachel S. Duffy^{*}

Kent Business School, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent CT2 7PE, United Kingdom

Received 5 October 2005; received in revised form 1 March 2007; accepted 10 September 2007

Available online 29 October 2007

Abstract

In recent years the creation of partnerships has been espoused by academics and practitioners but despite extensive writing in the area of characterizing partnerships the nature and attributes of partnerships are still only poorly understood. This is due to a lack of empirical testing of the factors that distinguish partnerships from other relational forms and a limited research focus on characteristics that distinguish between polar relationship types. Using a framework developed from the political economy literature this exploratory study draws on an empirical base of 155 supplier questionnaires and uses multiple discriminant analysis to identify attributes of buyer–supplier relationships that most effectively discriminate between relationships classified by suppliers as having limited coordination, being highly coordinated or best described as a partnership. The results indicate that 8 of the 10 attributes of buyer–supplier relationships included in the framework differ significantly across relationship type. © 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Buyer–supplier relationships; Partnership attributes; Relationship type

1. Introduction

Traditionally, inter-organizational linkages between firms have been arms-length and adversarial, with individual firms seeking to achieve cost reductions or profit improvements at the expense of their buyers and suppliers. However, the transfer of costs up and down the supply chain does not make firms any more competitive as ultimately all costs will make their way back to the final marketplace (Christopher, 1998, Lamming, 1993). Increasingly, successful firms are recognising the limitations of the adversarial model of exchange and instead engaging in co-operative long term partnerships that help to improve the efficiency of the supply chain as a whole, for the mutual benefit of all parties involved (Christopher, 1998; Rinehart, Eckert, Handfield, Page, & Atkin, 2004; Spekman, Kamauff, & Myhr, 1998).

Partnerships have been defined as ongoing relationships between two firms involving a commitment over an extended

time period and a mutual sharing of information and the risks and rewards of the relationship (Ellram and Hendrick, 1995). Although academics and practitioners have advocated the adoption and creation of partnerships, and espoused the benefits that they can bring, little empirical work has been published on the attributes of such relationships (Ellram and Hendrick, 1995). Indeed, despite extensive writing in the area of characterizing partnerships, researchers state that the concept of partnerships and their exact nature is still only poorly understood (Lemke, Goffin, & Szwajkowski, 2003; Sigauw, Baker, Simpson, 2003). Instead there is an implicit assumption that we all share the same understanding of what constitutes a partnership or relational exchange (Zolkiewski, 2004).

Ellram and Hendrick (1995) suggest that this lack of understanding is due in part to the fact that there has been limited empirical testing of the factors that distinguish partnerships from other relational forms. Previous research has also been criticized for its failure to provide a coherent picture of relationships, as the use of different constructs and definitions makes comparison of results difficult (Olsen and Ellram, 1997). Therefore, additional research is needed to establish if the partnering characteristics identified in the existing literature truly distinguish between partnering and non-partnering

[☆] The author gratefully acknowledges the valuable comments and feedback of the 3 reviewers and also the advice offered by Dr Andrew Fearn and Professor Bradley Barnes concerning revisions to the paper.

^{*} Tel.: +44 1227 824059; fax: +44 1227 824446.

E-mail address: r.s.duffy@kent.ac.uk.

relationships, and to better conceptualize what relational exchange and partnerships actually entail (Ellram and Hendrick, 1995; Frazier, 1999; Lemke et al., 2003; Moberg and Speh, 2003).

A poor understanding of how partnerships differ from other types of co-operative relationships results from a limited research focus on characteristics that distinguish between polar relationship types. Specifically, the focus of most research has been to compare partnerships and relational exchange to discrete, transactional or adversarial arms-length dealings (i.e. Dwyer, Schurr, & Oh, 1987; Frazier, 1999; Lambert, Emmelhainz, & Gardner, 1996; Lusch and Brown, 1996; Moberg and Speh, 2003; Mohr and Nevin, 1990).

However, it is widely acknowledged that most firms have already achieved cooperation and coordination with key segments of their suppliers and customers (Spekman et al., 1998). Therefore rather than a dichotomous focus on discrete market transactions at one end of a relationship continuum and partnerships at the other, it is important that future research focuses on identifying the critical differences between partnerships and other types of cooperative relationships, as researchers state that these differences have been largely ignored (Golicic and Mentzer, 2005; Hausmann, 2001; Laing and Lian, 2005).

Therefore, the aim of this paper is to address this gap in the literature by comparing partnerships to relationships where some coordination of activities is specified to exist, rather than comparing partnerships to transactional relationships based on infrequent or ‘one off’ market exchanges. As firms’ beliefs about others identify their behavioral predisposition towards them (Donaldson and O’Toole, 2000) the premise of the study is that firms that perceive a relationship as a partnership will be motivated to engage in different behaviors and actions from those that don’t. Specifically, this study compares relationships perceived by suppliers to be a partnership, to those perceived by suppliers as being highly coordinated or as having limited coordination of activities (Fig. 1). These categories of relationship type are consistent with descriptions of buyer–supplier relationships and interaction intensity identified in previous research (i.e. Spekman et al., 1998; Webster, 1992).

In line with previous research, inter-organizational relationships are positioned in-between continuum anchors of market transactions and vertical integration (Golicic & Mentzer, 2005; Nevin, 1995; Rinehart et al., 2004; Webster, 1992). As the end points of the continuum are not relationships they are not studied in the paper. In addition, relationships such as strategic alliances, joint ventures, franchising and licensing are not identified as points on the continuum as the discussion in this paper is restricted to vertical market relationships between buyers and suppliers rather than horizontal non market relationships.

2. Theoretical framework to classify relationships

Buyer–supplier relationships are multifaceted and cover a wide range of functions and activities (Holmlund, 2004). Therefore a comprehensive framework is needed that will enable the complexity of exchange relationships to be examined and identify indicators that distinguish between different types of buyer–seller relationships. To capture the complexity of buyer–supplier relationships, this study uses a framework (Fig. 2) that draws from the internal political economy literature.

Specifically, it draws on the work of Stern and Reve (1980), who state that inter-organizational relationships can be examined by dividing the inter-organizational dyad into an internal economy (the form and processes linking the channel members) and an internal polity (the power-dependence relationship). These interacting sets of internal economic and socio-political forces jointly influence collective behavior and sentiments as well as performance in the relationship (Reve and Stern, 1986, Robicheaux and Coleman, 1994).

Numerous researchers advocate the use of the political economy framework for the analysis of buyer–supplier relationships (Ardnt, 1983; Krapfel, Salmond, & Spekman, 1991; Nidumolu, 1995; Webster, 1992). In particular, researchers have highlighted the suitability of the internal political economy for investigating differences in relationship structure and type (Golicic and Mentzer, 2005, Izquierdo and Cillan, 2004). A key strength of the political economy framework is its ability to integrate a number of diverse concerns in inter-organizational research in a general framework (Stern and Reve, 1980). This includes an examination of the interaction between inter-organizational dyads and their channel environment, referred to by Stern and Reve (1980) as the external political economy.

Despite its comprehensive nature, a weakness of the political economy paradigm is the lack of conceptual and operational definitions of the concepts used to investigate inter-organizational relationships (McIvor and Humphreys, 2004). As such, the nature of this study is largely exploratory as new scales will need to be developed to operationalize some of the constructs in the framework. Each part of the framework is discussed in turn to highlight the different aspects of relationships that will be examined to identify factors that differentiate between partnerships and lesser coordinated relationships.

2.1. Conceptualization of the structure of the economy

Researchers have defined the structure of the internal economy as the extent of vertical coordination that exists between the pair




Fig. 1. Continuum of buyer–supplier interactions.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات