



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

European Economic Review

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/eev

Labor market reforms, job instability, and the flexibility of the employment relationship

Niko Matouschek^{a,*}, Paolo Ramezzana^b, Frédéric Robert-Nicoud^c

^a Northwestern University, USA and CEPR

^b Bates White, LLC, USA

^c London School of Economics, UK, CEP and CEPR

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 February 2006

Accepted 12 March 2008

Available online 18 March 2008

JEL classification:

J41

D82

Keywords:

Search

Bargaining

Asymmetric information

Labor market reform

ABSTRACT

We endogenize separation in a search model of the labor market and allow for bargaining over the continuation of employment relationships following productivity shocks to take place under asymmetric information. In such a setting separation may occur even if continuation of the employment relationship is privately efficient for workers and firms. We show that reductions in the cost of separation, owing for example to a reduction in firing taxes, lead to an increase in job instability and, when separation costs are initially high, may be welfare decreasing for workers and firms. We furthermore show that, in response to an exogenous reduction in firing taxes, workers and firms may switch from rigid to flexible employment contracts, which further amplifies the increase in job instability caused by policy reform.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Bargaining between firms and workers often takes place in the presence of private information. As an example, consider a firm that has the opportunity to adopt a new production technology that is effective only if the firm's workers invest in learning how to use it. The firm is likely to be only incompletely informed about the workers' costs of making the investment and the workers are likely to be only incompletely informed about the firm's benefits of adopting the new technology. The presence of this private information will hamper the negotiations over the continuation of the employment relationship and may possibly lead to the firm and the worker separating. In this paper we analyze the functioning of decentralized labor markets under circumstances like these. To this end we endogenize separation in a search model of the labor market and allow for negotiations over separations to take place under private information.

In most existing search models, bargaining between firms and workers takes place under complete information (see e.g. Pissarides, 2000). An important implication of this assumption is that bargaining is efficient, in that firms and workers instantaneously agree to form, or continue, employment relationships if there are gains from trade and they instantaneously agree to separate if there are none. In contrast, as a well-established literature has shown, if bargaining takes place under asymmetric information the parties may fail to realize all gains from trade (see Myerson and Satterthwaite, 1983; Hall and Lazear, 1984; Hall, 1995).¹ Our paper combines the insights of these two literatures. We

* Corresponding author. Kellogg School of Management, 2001 Sheridan Road, Evanston, IL 60201, USA.

E-mail address: n-matouschek@kellogg.northwestern.edu (N. Matouschek).

¹ There is also a large experimental literature that supports the view that agents often fail to reach efficient agreements (see Kagel and Roth, 1997).

present a dynamic random matching model in which firms post vacancies and workers search for jobs. We assume that job creation takes place under complete information (like in [Pissarides, 2000](#)) but job destruction may be caused by private information. In particular, we assume that during the course of their relationship a firm and a worker may experience productivity shocks and that the cost of the adjustments necessary to cope with these shocks is private information. As a consequence, wage renegotiation following productivity shocks may be inefficient and the firm and worker may separate failing to realize gains from trade (like in [Myerson and Satterthwaite, 1983](#)). Our analysis of this model provides three main insights.

First, we show that in a labor market in which workers and firms bargain under asymmetric information, a reduction in the cost of separation (e.g. a firing tax) leads to an increase in job instability, i.e. in the probability that workers and firms separate following productivity shocks. The reason for this is that a reduction in separation costs increases the joint value of the workers and the firms' outside options and thus induces them to bargain more aggressively. This, in turn, makes it more likely that firms and workers will separate following productivity shocks and thus makes employment relationships more unstable. In this regard it is important to note that, contrary to what would happen in a model in which workers and firms bargain under complete information, in our model workers and firms may sometimes separate even when it would be efficient for them not to do so, i.e. even when their joint expected utility from continuing the employment relationship is greater than their joint expected utility from separating and returning to the labor market.

Second, we show that, because separations can be privately inefficient, a reduction in the cost of separation can make some groups in society—and possibly society as a whole—worse off by increasing the probability of separation. To see this, consider the effect of a reduction in the firing tax that has to be paid whenever a firm and a worker separate. On the one hand, such a reduction has a direct positive effect on the worker and the firm's expected welfare, because it lowers separation costs when the productivity shock is so large that separation is actually privately efficient. On the other hand, however, precisely because it becomes less costly to separate, the reduction in the firing tax induces the workers and firms to bargain more aggressively and increases the probability of privately inefficient separation. This negative effect can dominate the direct benefit of a reduction in firing taxes, with the possible consequence that firms and workers can be actually made worse off by a reduction in these taxes. Our analysis allows us to derive precise, and potentially testable, predictions about the conditions under which different groups in society are made worse off by a labor market reform. In this respect, our analysis contributes to the literature that seeks to explain why reforms that reduce labor market frictions have often faced substantial political opposition in spite of their strong support by institutions such as the OECD and the IMF (see, for instance, [Saint-Paul, 2000](#); [Pissarides, 2001](#)).

Third, our analysis contributes to our understanding of contractual arrangements between firms and workers and of the effects of changes in the labor market environment on these arrangements. In Section 5 we endogenize contractual arrangements between firms and workers and study the effects of changes in the labor market environment on these arrangements. Specifically, firms and workers often use employment contracts that make it *more* costly for them to separate in the future. For instance, since the mid-1980s firms in Europe have been able to choose between hiring workers on 'rigid' permanent contracts for which separation costs are significant or on 'flexible' fixed-term contracts for which they are negligible.² We provide a novel explanation for why firms and workers may agree to adopt rigid employment contracts that increase future separation costs. In particular, we show that firms and workers that anticipate future bargaining inefficiencies can use these contracts as a means of committing to less aggressive bargaining behavior and thus 'stabilize' their employment relationship. Our model shows that whether or not firms and workers want to use such contracts for this purpose depends crucially on the labor market environment and the workers' productivity. In particular, it shows that a sufficiently large reduction in exogenously imposed separation costs, such as a reduction in firing taxes, leads to a one-off switch from rigid to flexible employment contracts. This endogenous contractual response to exogenous labor policy changes induces firms and workers to bargain even more aggressively and thus further destabilizes employment relationships.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section we discuss the related literature. In Section 3 we present the structure of the model. In Section 4 we solve the model, taking as given the contractually specified separation costs and discuss the effects of a reduction in firing taxes. We then endogenize the contractually specified separation costs in Section 5, check for the robustness of our results in Section 6 and finally conclude in Section 7. All proofs are in Appendix.

2. Related literature

Our paper is related to a number of contributions that have identified different mechanisms through which a reduction in market frictions can be welfare reducing. For instance, [Kranton \(1996\)](#) and [McLaren and Newman \(2002\)](#) analyze models in which a reduction in market frictions, by increasing the outside options of agents in a repeated game setting, can hamper cooperation and potentially reduce welfare, whilst [Ramey and Watson \(2001\)](#) consider the adverse effects that reductions in market frictions may have on the investment incentives of agents. A number of papers have identified reasons why market frictions in the form of employment protections can be welfare enhancing. For instance, [Saint-Paul \(1995, 1996\)](#) and

² The 'difficulty of dismissal' is one of three kinds of employment protection listed by the OECD that increases firing costs but does not involve any transfer from the employer to the employee (see also Footnote 9).

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات