Distraction from cognitive processing by emotional pictures: Preliminary evidence for an association with interactions between psychopathy-related traits in a non-clinical sample
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Abstract

In individuals with psychopathy, the presence of emotional stimuli implicates a relatively weak distraction from performing cognitive tasks. This study assessed whether there is also a relationship between specific combinations of psychopathy-related traits present in the general population and sensitivity of cognitive processing to distraction by emotional stimuli. The participants (N = 80) were screened for these traits using the Psychopathic Personality Inventory and performed a classification task in the presence of pictures with a low or high arousal value. Emotional distraction (ED) was operationalized in terms of the response time on trials with high- versus low-arousal pictures. The interaction between affective-interpersonal and impulsive-antisocial traits was significantly associated with ED. This interaction reflected the fact that the association between affective-interpersonal traits (specifically fearlessness) and magnitude of ED was negative for individuals with relatively weak impulsive-antisocial traits (specifically carefree nonplanfulness) but positive for those with relatively strong impulsive-antisocial traits. These results suggest significant differences in vulnerability to ED as a function of the strength of specific combinations of psychopathy-related traits in non-clinical samples.

1. Introduction

Psychopathy is a personality disorder typified by specific clusters or dimensions of symptoms. Although the assumed number of different higher-order dimensions and their content differ among different conceptualizations and measurement instruments of psychopathy (see Skeem, Polaschek, Patrick, & Lilienfeld, 2011), there is some consensus that psychopathic features at least involve one dimension capturing affective-interpersonal traits (D1) and a second dimension indexing impulsive-antisocial tendencies (D2) across most instruments. Typically, D1 involves an interpersonal style characterized by manipulativeness and social dominance, and affective deficits, such as a lack of empathy and remorse. D2 entails impulsive behavior, a lack of realistic long-term goals, and antisocial behavior. The distinction between D1 and D2 is also of importance given evidence supporting the dual-process perspective on psychopathy (Fowles & Dindo, 2009). This perspective assumes distinct etiological pathways for the two trait clusters. D1 and D2 traits are held to be linked to an aberrant development of, respectively, defensive motivational neural systems and executive-regulatory neural mechanisms. Importantly, there is evidence that these traits are present in both forensic populations and among the general community, albeit with different extents of severity (Neumann, Schmitt, Carter, Embley, & Hare, 2012). Moreover, the tendencies seem to covary dimensionally with (neuro)cognitive impairments (Seara-Cardoso & Viding, 2014).

Psychopathy is associated with deficits in processing emotional stimuli in experimental paradigms. For example, relative to non-psychopathic participants, psychopathic individuals (i.e., convicted individuals diagnosed with clinical psychopathy according to the Psychopathy Checklist-Revised [PCL-R], Hare, 1991), show impaired aversive classical conditioning (Rothemund et al., 2012), fail to display an enhanced startle response after a negative prime stimulus (Vaidyanathan, Hall, Patrick, & Bernat, 2011), seem deviant in the neural response to emotional facial expressions (Contreras-Rodríguez et al., 2014), and fail to show faster lexical decision times and enhanced event-related brain responses for emotional compared to neutral words (Williams, Harpur, & Hare,
In the above-mentioned paradigms, the emotional stimulus is either task relevant or the imperative stimulus. Here, psychopathy-related emotional deficiencies are associated with a blunting of behavioral and neural responses that are commonly observed in both non-psychopathic offenders and non-clinical samples in these paradigms. However, impaired emotional processing may benefit performance in tasks in which emotional stimuli potentially function as distractors for performing some cognitive operation unrelated to the processing of the emotional stimuli. One example is an emotional distraction (ED) task with task-irrelevant affective pictorial stimuli. Mitchell, Richell, Leonard, and Blair (2006) used affectively positive, neutral, and negative pictures that were presented shortly before and after a target stimulus while the participant had to map each target stimulus to one of two simple motor responses. Relative to trials with neutral pictures, offenders without psychopathy displayed enhanced response latencies on trials with positive and negative pictures, whereas participants with psychopathy did not. This suggests that the individuals with psychopathy were distracted less by the emotional stimuli while performing the target task than were controls.

In their analyses, Mitchell et al. (2006) did not differentiate between different dimensions of psychopathy. This leaves the question whether the reduced emotional distraction was also specifically associated with D1 unanswered. One may hypothesize that, in at least some variants of the ED task, D2 traits may also affect performance. For example, this may be the case if the emotional distraction is embedded within a task in which performance is highly dependent on executive/behavioral control, as in go/no-go, or Stroop-type tasks (e.g., Sadeh et al., 2013). Moreover, ED tasks involving the spatially and/or temporally separated presentation of target and non-target stimuli (as in the Mitchell et al. study), are likely to involve the conscious choice to focus attention on targets but not on non-target stimuli. Presumably this is less the case for standard lexical decision tasks or tests of startle response modulation, which may be assumed to primarily engage automatic processes. Deficiencies in control mechanisms related to D2 traits could be expressed in ED tasks as a relative increased distractibility by emotional stimuli.
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