Exploring the benchmarks of the Taiwanese investment trust corporations: Management and investment efficiency perspectives

Wen-Min Lu\textsuperscript{a,}\textsuperscript{*}, John S. Liu\textsuperscript{b}, Qian Long Kweh\textsuperscript{c}, Chung-Wei Wang\textsuperscript{d}

\textsuperscript{a} Department of Financial Management, National Defense University, No. 70, Sec. 2, Zhongyaung North Rd., Beitou, Taipei 112, Taiwan
\textsuperscript{b} Graduate Institute of Technology Management, National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, 43, Section 4, Keelung Road, Taipei 10607, Taiwan
\textsuperscript{c} Department of Accounting, College of Business Management and Accounting, Universiti Tenaga Nasional, Sultan Haji Ahmad Shah Campus, 26700 Muadzam Shah, Pahang, Malaysia
\textsuperscript{d} Department of Business Administration, National Central University, No. 300, Jung-Da Road, Jung-Li City, Tao-Yuan 320, Taiwan

\textsuperscript{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +886 2 2898 6600x604980; fax: +886 2 2898 5927. E-mail addresses: wenmin.lu@gmail.com, wenmin.lu@yahoo.com.tw (W.-M. Lu), johnliu@mail.tust.edu.tw (J.S. Liu), qlkweh@uniten.edu.my (Q.L. Kweh), chungwei.wang@yahoo.com.tw (C.-W. Wang).


ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 12 December 2014
Accepted 26 June 2015
Available online 2 July 2015

Keywords:
Performance
Investment trust corporations
Data envelopment analysis
Additive efficiency decomposition
Network-based ranking DEA

ABSTRACT

Through an additive efficiency decomposition (AED) approach in data envelopment analysis (DEA), we evaluate the management and investment efficiencies of Investment Trust Corporations (ITCs) in Taiwan for the period 2007–2011. Furthermore, the AED-DEA and a network-based ranking approach in DEA are jointly used to rank and identify ITCs that can be treated as benchmarks. Frontier projections for ITCs are also highlighted. In general, our results demonstrate that the sample ITCs have higher investment efficiency than the management efficiency. Foreign ITCs appear to be the most efficient ones as compared to local ITCs and financial-holding ITCs. Finally, we construct a competitive map to help mutual fund managers improve their operating performance, resource allocation, and investment strategy formulation.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. and Association of European Operational Research Societies (EURO) within the International Federation of Operational Research Societies (IFORS). All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Since the Taiwanese mutual fund industry\textsuperscript{1} was opened up to foreign investors in 1983, the number of investment trust corporations (ITCs) in Taiwan had increased from 4 to 38 firms in 2011. The 2011 Investment Company Fact Book released by the Investment Company Institute in 2012 also points out that the size of mutual funds issued in Taiwan increased from NT$5 billion in 1990 to over NT$1.8 trillion at the end of 2012. Specifically, not only the mutual fund industry in the U.S. has grown rapidly (Khorana, Servaes, & Tufano, 2005; Premachandra, Zhu, Watson, & Galagedera, 2012), but also that in Taiwan has exploded over the past few decades. Therefore, it is not surprising that the performance of ITCs has received much attention from researchers.

In the literature, significant inquiries into the fundamental question of the performance of ITCs, either at the individual level or the institutional level, can be observed; whereby mutual fund performance (Anderson, Brockman, Giannikos, & McLeod, 2004; Hsu & Lin, 2007; Shu, Yeh, & Yamada, 2002) and its determinants (Ferreira, Keswani, Miguel, & Ramos, 2013) are studied. Recent research has also examined the efficiency of mutual fund families in the United States (Premachandra et al., 2012). However, research on the performance of ITCs at the institutional level within Taiwan, another booming market, is particularly underdeveloped due to data unavailability. It is also worth noting that Khorana et al. (2005) claim that the securities trading environment of a country plays a role in determining the attractiveness of the ultimate investment vehicle. In other words, examining individually the performance of ITCs in Taiwan might provide us with insights regarding the performance of financial institutions.

Furthermore, the mutual fund industry in Taiwan allows us to determine the performance of different groups of ITCs. Harrigan (1985) contends that strategic group analysis enables a researcher to evaluate how firms obtain long-term profits. Lawless, Bergh, and Wilsted (1989) also find that different strategic groups have differences in competitive strategies and behavioral characteristics, and the competitive situation among groups changes over time (Fiegenbaum & Thomas, 1990). Therefore, the study divides ITCs into three strategic groups based on their operating characteristics for the purpose of discussing the effects of rivalry on performance in the mutual fund industry.

To measure the relative performance of ITCs in Taiwan, this study uses a two-stage data envelopment analysis (DEA) model. Traditional DEA models that are based on one-stage activities neglect
intermediate measures or linking activities in a company and thus fail to render the message of economic activity management (Chen & Zhu, 2004; Färe & Whittaker, 1995; Tone & Tsutsui, 2009). Hence, many scholars have measured the performance of financial institutions through a two-stage production process (Kao & Hwang, 2008; Liu & Lu, 2010; Lo & Lu, 2006, 2009; Premachandra et al., 2012; Seiford & Zhu, 1999; Yang & Liu, 2012). This study utilizes the additive efficiency decomposition (AED) in the two-stage DEA model (Cook, Zhu, Bi, & Yang, 2010), which allows us to objectively measure the overall performance of ITCs as well as their performance in two individual stages. Consistent with Premachandra et al. (2012), the two-stage process of this study includes exogenous inputs in the second stage. Overall, we open up the ‘black-box’ process of performance evaluation by evaluating the management and investment efficiencies of ITCs in Taiwan.

In general, our findings suggest that ITCs in Taiwan have to first improve their management efficiency, and then they should focus on improving their investment efficiency. In addition, this study constructs a managerial decision-making matrix. This study is significant in the effect its insights may have on investment planning policy at the corporate level, in that it provides managers with suggestions to improve their operating efficiencies through a competitive map. By referring to the competitive map, ITCs can gain some inputs in allocating their resources. Moreover, the map can be applied as an analytical tool for operational improvement, investment-strategy planning, and overall business management. Furthermore, based on the benchmarking analysis in this study, the ITCs could have a good idea of their strengths and weaknesses to cultivate core competitive advantages and to produce the best possible results. That is, inefficient ITCs can learn from a benchmark ITC to work out winning strategies. The ranking results of this study includes exogenous inputs in the second stage. Overall, we open up the ‘black-box’ process of performance evaluation by evaluating the management and investment efficiencies of ITCs in Taiwan.

2. Literature review

2.1. Performance evaluation of financial institutions

In the literature, the concept of efficiency in financial institutions has been extensively discussed. Among frontier analysis method, DEA is one of the commonly used techniques (Berger & Humphrey, 1997; Liu, Lu, Lu, & Lin, 2013a, 2013b). To measure efficiency, most previous studies place emphasis on the production approach (Ferrier & Lovell, 1990; Sherman & Gold, 1985) or the intermediation approach (Haslem, Scheraga, & Bedingfield, 1999; Miller & Noulas, 1996). Previous studies that use DEA to investigate the relative efficiency of financial corporations are described as follows.

Sherman and Gold (1985) are among the first to apply the classical CCR model (Charnes, Cooper, & Rhodes, 1978), to evaluate the operating efficiencies of 14 branch offices of a savings bank. Their study has since invited a series of subsequent DEA studies on financial institutions. Rangan, Grabowski, Aly, and Pasurka (1988) introduce the two-stage contextual DEA method to measure the performance of banks. They conduct regression analysis after evaluating the efficiency of banks to explore the association between efficiency and bank characteristics.


Several studies (Liu & Lu, 2010; Lo & Lu, 2006, 2009; Luo, 2003; Premachandra et al., 2012; Seiford & Zhu, 1999; Wanke & Barros, 2014; Yang & Liu, 2012) also apply the two-stage process concept to evaluate the performance of financial corporations. In the studies of Luo (2003), Seiford and Zhu (1999), and Lo and Lu (2006), banking operation is separated into the profitability and marketability stages. Recently, there has been a spike of interest in the study of performance evaluation of financial institutions using an AED approach in the two-stage DEA model, applying Pan & Xia (2009) and Cook et al. (2010). For example, Liu (2011) examine the performance of Taiwanese financial holding companies, while Premachandra et al. (2012) document the efficiency of U.S. mutual fund families. Wang, Huang, Wu, and Liu (2014) study the efficiency measures of the Chinese commercial banking system.

Overall, these studies reflect the methodological development of DEA. Although various studies have explored the two-stage
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