



Generating project risk response strategies based on CBR: A case study



Zhi-Ping Fan^{a,b,*}, Yong-Hai Li^{a,c}, Yao Zhang^d

^a Department of Information Management and Decision Sciences, School of Business Administration, Northeastern University, Shenyang 110819, China

^b State Key Laboratory of Synthetical Automation for Process Industries, Northeastern University, Shenyang 110819, China

^c Department of E-commerce, School of Management, Henan University of Technology, Zhengzhou 450001, China

^d Department of Operations and Logistics Management, School of Business Administration, Northeastern University, Shenyang 110819, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Available online 24 November 2014

Keywords:

Project risk management
Risk response
Subway project
Case-based reasoning
Similarity

ABSTRACT

Risk response is an important work in project risk management (PRM). To generate project risk response strategies, retrieving and reusing information and knowledge of the similar historical cases is important, while research concerning this issue is still relatively scarce. Taking the risk response of the subway project in S city, China as a case problem, this paper proposes a pragmatic method for generating project risk response strategies based on the case-based reasoning (CBR). The procedure of the method include the five parts: first, representing the target case and the historical cases; second, retrieving the available historical cases by judging whether the risks involved in each historical case cover or are the same as those in the target case; third, retrieving the similar historical cases by measuring the similarity between each available historical case and the target case; fourth, revising the inapplicable risk response strategies involved in the similar historical cases by analyzing the response relation between each strategy and each risk of the current project; and generating the desirable risk response strategies by evaluating each candidate risk response strategy set. To illustrate the use of the proposed method, an empirical analysis of generating the risk response strategies for the subway station project is given. The proposed method can support project managers to make the better decision in PRM.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Project execution is always accompanied by risks. For example, there may exist some risks during the execution of an engineering project, such as management risk, cost risk and so on. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct project risk management (PRM). In general, PRM includes three phases: risk identification, risk assessment and risk response (Fan, Lin, & Sheu, 2008). Risk identification refers to recognizing and documenting associated risks. Risk assessment refers to examining the identified risks, refining the description of the risks, and estimating the value of the risks. Risk response refers to generating and implementing proper strategies to prevent and control the risks. Once risks of the project have been identified and assessed, proper risk response strategies must be generated and adopted (Zou, Zhang, & Wang, 2007). So far, many studies on risk identification and assessment have been found, whereas risk response has seldom been addressed in the existing

studies (Seyedhoseini, Noori, & AliHatefi, 2008). Hence, an in-depth study on risk response is necessary.

In the existing studies, the methods for generating project risk response strategies can be mainly classified into four types (Zhang & Fan, 2014): the zonal-based method (Elkjaer & Felding, 1999; Flanagan & Norman, 1993; Jordan, Jørgensen, & Mitterhofer, 2013; Marcelino-Sádaba, Pérez-Ezcurdia, Echeverría Lazcano, & Villanueva, 2014; Miller & Lessard, 2001; Piney, 2002; Sumit, 2001), the trade-off method (Chapman & Ward, 1996; Kujawski, 2002; Pipattanapiwong & Watanabe, 2000), the work breakdown structure (WBS)-based method (Chapman, 1979; Klein, Powell, & Chapman, 1994; Seyedhoseini, Noori, & Hatefi, 2009) and the optimization-model method (Ben-David & Raz, 2001; Fan et al., 2008; Hu, Zhang, Ngai, Cai, & Liu, 2013; Hu et al., 2013; Kayis, Arndt, Zhou, & Amornsawadwatana, 2007). The detailed elaborations of the above four types of methods can be seen from Zhang and Fan (2014). The four types of methods have made significant contributions to generating project risk response strategies from different perspectives. However, it can be seen that the existing methods have some limitations in practical applications. For example, the key of using the zonal-based method is to form a two-axis graph composed of multiple zones for the risks. If more than two criteria concerning the risks are

* Corresponding author at: Department of Information Management and Decision Sciences, School of Business Administration, Northeastern University, Shenyang 110819, China. Tel.: +86 24 8368 7753; fax: +86 24 2389 1569.

E-mail addresses: zpfan@mail.neu.edu.cn (Z.-P. Fan), yonghai.li@163.com (Y.-H. Li), y Zhang@mail.neu.edu.cn (Y. Zhang).

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات