



The impact of insider trading laws on dividend payout policy



Paul Brockman^{a,*}, Jiri Tressl^{b,1}, Emre Unlu^{b,2}

^a Department of Finance, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA 18015, United States

^b Department of Finance, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68588, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 23 December 2013

Received in revised form 9 September 2014

Accepted 11 September 2014

Available online 19 September 2014

JEL classification:

G38

G35

Keywords:

Insider trading laws

Payout policy

Agency costs

ABSTRACT

We posit that firms use dividend payout policy to reduce information asymmetry and agency costs caused by country-level institutional weaknesses. Firms operating in countries with weak insider trading laws attempt to mitigate this institutional weakness by committing themselves to paying out large and stable cash dividends. We test this central hypothesis (among others) using an international sample of firms across 24 countries, as well as by conducting a case study during an enforcement action. The results show that weak insider trading laws lead to a higher propensity of paying dividends, larger dividend amounts and greater dividend smoothing. We also show that the market's valuation of dividend payouts is significantly higher when insider trading protection is weak. It is important to note that these insider trading results are not due to cross-country variations in investor or creditor protection, nor are they contingent on the enforcement of insider trading laws. Overall, our evidence supports the view that dividend payouts serve as a substitute bonding mechanism when country-level legal protections fail.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Over the past few decades, most national legislatures have enacted insider trading laws to protect outside shareholders from corporate insiders who have access to material, non-public information (Bhattacharya and Daouk, 2002). Although most countries now have legislation that restricts insider trading to some degree, there is considerable cross-country variation in the effectiveness of this legislation (Beny, 2008). And many countries that possess such legislation have never bought an enforcement action by investigating, prosecuting, and penalizing violators. Weak restrictions and the failure to enforce insider trading laws reduces a country's ability to minimize information and agency costs at the national market level. When country-level institutions fail to enforce implicit or explicit contracts, market participants create and rely on private enforcement mechanisms. Corporate executives, for example, can mitigate the impact of such institutional failures by employing firm-level bonding mechanisms. In this paper, we examine the degree to which corporate payout policy is used to reduce the adverse effects of country-level weaknesses in the restrictiveness and enforcement of insider trading laws. We hypothesize that firms will commit to large, stable dividend payouts to establish a reputation for the fair treatment of outside shareholders when the national government fails to prevent insider trading. Since this bonding mechanism is costly, we expect that firms will reduce their commitment to large, stable payouts once the national government demonstrates its willingness to enforce insider trading laws. Our empirical results support both hypotheses.

Our main research question whether firms actively set payout policies to counteract institutional weakness in their regulatory environments. That is, does firm-level payout policy serve as a substitute (i.e., bonding) mechanism for country-level institutional weakness? While previous research shows that the country-level legal and regulatory environment constrains individual firm behavior

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 610 758 2914.

E-mail addresses: pab309@lehigh.edu (P. Brockman), jtresl2@unl.edu (J. Tressl), emre@unl.edu (E. Unlu).

¹ Tel.: +1 402 472 3445.

² Tel.: +1 402 472 2353.

Table 1

International sample.

Summary statistics.

This table shows the summary statistics for the international sample that uses the insider trading laws restrictiveness index, *ITL*. *ITL* ranges between 1 (least restrictive) and 5 (most restrictive). The index components are based on Gaillard (1992) and Stamp and Welsh (1996). The restrictiveness index is defined for the period 1994–1997 from Beny (2008). Panel A shows the descriptive statistics. Panel B shows the number of observation by year. Panel C shows the number of observations by industry. Panel D shows the country level institutional variables. The anti-director rights index (*AD*) and creditor rights (*CR*) are from Djankov et al. (2007) and Djankov et al. (2008). Panel E shows the observations by country. *PAYER* equals one if the firm pays dividend ($DVC > 0$), otherwise equals 0. *DIV_TO_S* is the ratio of dividends (*DVC*) to sales (*SALE*). *RTE* is retained earnings (*RE*) scaled by the book value of assets (*TA*). *TE* is the shareholders' equity (*CEQ*) scaled by the book value of assets (*AT*). *ROA* is net income (*NI*) scaled by the book value of assets (*TA*). *SGR_t* is the logarithmic sales growth computed as $\log(SALE_t/SALE_{t-1})$. *LOGSIZE_t* is the natural logarithm of the book value assets (*TA*) in billion \$US. *CASH_t* is the cash and short-term investments balance (*CHE*) scaled by the book value of assets (*TA*).

| Panel A: Descriptive statistics | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Variable | <i>N</i> | Mean | Median | Std Dev | 5th | 25th | 75th |
| <i>PAYER</i> | 32,503 | 0.373 | 0.000 | 0.484 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| <i>DIV_TO_S</i> | 32,503 | 0.009 | 0.000 | 0.026 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.008 |
| <i>DIV_TO_E</i> | 31,082 | 0.153 | 0.000 | 0.408 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.204 |
| <i>DIV_TO_CF</i> | 30,093 | 0.086 | 0.000 | 0.184 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.110 |
| <i>RTE</i> | 32,503 | −0.397 | 0.083 | 1.839 | −2.939 | −0.214 | 0.259 |
| <i>TE</i> | 32,503 | 0.436 | 0.475 | 0.383 | −0.085 | 0.303 | 0.665 |
| <i>ROA</i> | 32,503 | −0.043 | 0.031 | 0.828 | −0.601 | −0.034 | 0.075 |
| <i>SGR</i> | 32,503 | 0.354 | 0.116 | 1.167 | −0.297 | −0.016 | 0.323 |
| <i>LOGSIZE</i> | 32,503 | −2.320 | −2.371 | 2.075 | −5.657 | −3.744 | −0.946 |
| <i>CASH</i> | 32,503 | 0.160 | 0.081 | 0.197 | 0.001 | 0.021 | 0.221 |

| Panel B: Number of observations by year | | | | | |
|---|------------|------|------|-----|--------|
| Year | <i>ITL</i> | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1994 | 9 | 148 | 616 | 140 | 6034 |
| 1995 | 12 | 173 | 665 | 210 | 6360 |
| 1996 | 14 | 757 | 722 | 223 | 7051 |
| 1997 | 31 | 969 | 955 | 249 | 7165 |
| Total | 66 | 2047 | 2958 | 822 | 26,610 |

| Panel C: Industry distribution | | |
|--|--------------------|----------|
| NAICS Industry definition | 2-Digit NAICS code | <i>N</i> |
| Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting | 11 | 137 |
| Mining | 21 | 1954 |
| Construction | 23 | 995 |
| Manufacturing | 31–33 | 15,838 |
| Wholesale trade | 42 | 1623 |
| Retail trade | 44–45 | 2362 |
| Transportation and warehousing | 48–49 | 857 |
| Information | 51 | 3657 |
| Real estate and rental and leasing | 53 | 551 |
| Professional, scientific, and technical services | 54 | 1375 |
| Administrative and support and waste management | 56 | 962 |
| Educational services | 61 | 111 |
| Health care and social assistance | 62 | 631 |
| Arts, entertainment, and recreation | 71 | 421 |
| Accommodation and food services | 72 | 834 |
| Other services (except Public administration) | 81 | 195 |
| Total | | 32,503 |

| Panel D: Country level institutional variables | | | | |
|--|-----------|--|-----------|------------|
| Country | <i>AD</i> | | <i>CR</i> | <i>ITL</i> |
| AUS | 4 | | 3 | 4 |
| AUT | 2.5 | | 3 | 2 |
| BEL | 2 | | 2 | 3 |
| CAN | 4 | | 1 | 5 |
| CHE | 3 | | 1 | 3 |
| DEU | 2.5 | | 3 | 3 |
| DNK | 4 | | 3 | 3 |
| ESP | 5 | | 2 | 4 |
| FIN | 3.5 | | 1 | 3 |
| FRA | 3 | | 0 | 4 |
| GBR | 5 | | 4 | 3 |
| HKG | 5 | | 4 | 3 |
| IRL | 4 | | 1 | 4 |
| ISR | 4 | | 4 | 3 |

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات