



Vehicle tractive force prediction with robust and windup-stable Kalman filters



Stephan Rhode^{a,*}, Sanghyun Hong^b, J. Karl Hedrick^b, Frank Gauterin^a

^a Institute of Vehicle System Technology, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

^b Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 May 2015

Received in revised form

1 September 2015

Accepted 8 October 2015

Available online 23 October 2015

Keywords:

Robust

Poor excitation

Windup

Kalman filter

Vehicle

ABSTRACT

Vehicle control systems need to prognosticate future vehicle states in order to improve energy efficiency. This paper compares four approaches that are used to identify the parameters of a longitudinal vehicle dynamics model used for the prediction of vehicle tractive forces. All of the identification approaches build on a standard Kalman filter. Measurement signals are processed using the polynomial function approximation technique to remove noise and compute smooth derivative values of the signals. Experimental results illustrate that the approach using multiple Stenlund–Gustafsson M-Kalman filters (multiple robust and windup-stable Kalman filters) reaches the best performance and robustness in predicting the vehicle tractive forces.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Recent vehicle look-ahead controllers aiming at reduced fuel consumption and energy-efficient driving strategies require a prediction of vehicle tractive force (F_{xv}) (Back, 2005, pp. 47–49; Ganji, Kouzani, and Khayyam, 2011; Hellström, Ivarsson, Åslund, and Nielsen, 2009; Khayyam et al., 2011; Radke, 2013, pp. 11–18; Shakouri and Ordys, 2014; Wahl and Gauterin, 2013). The vehicle tractive force acts in the longitudinal direction of the body-fixed vehicle coordinate system and is the force that is required to propel the vehicle to the desired speed. Moreover, the vehicle tractive force determines the fuel consumption of vehicles driven by combustion engines and the range of electric and hybrid electric vehicles (Denis, Dubois, Gil, Driant, and Desrochers, 2012; Grewal and Darnell, 2013). All references mentioned above model the vehicle tractive force by linear white-box models that result from differential equations of the vehicle longitudinal and lateral motion and contain time-invariant vehicle parameters, such as the vehicle mass, longitudinal drag coefficient, and coefficient of

rolling resistance. However, the vehicle parameters indeed vary with time and depend on environmental conditions. Therefore, recursive estimators are required to provide online estimates for the temporally varying vehicle parameters.

1.1. Related work

Motivated by driver assistance systems and safety controllers, numerous research efforts have been undertaken to estimate vehicle parameters and in particular the vehicle mass (Bae, Ryu, and Gerdes, 2001; Fathy, Kang, and Stein, 2008; Han, Kim, Jo, and Huh, 2009; Hong, Lee, Borrelli, and Hedrick, 2014; McIntyre, Ghotikar, Vahidi, Song, and Dawson, 2009; Rhode & Gauterin, 2012, 2013; Vahidi, Stefanopoulou, and Peng, 2005; Winstead and Kolmanovsky, 2005; Yu, Feng, Xiong, and Wu, 2011). Furthermore, De Bruyne, Van der Auweraer, Diglio, and Anthonis (2011) provide a recent survey of vehicle mass estimation literature.

Our work reported here, however, was ultimately aimed at predicting the state: vehicle tractive force. Note that there is a substantial difference between (vehicle) parameter estimation and (vehicle) state prediction. Parameter estimation seeks for unbiased estimates based on given data that are referred to as training data. The goal in state prediction, however, is to reduce errors of prediction for a horizon of unseen data, called validation data. Splitting of data into training data and validation data is known as cross-validation.

To the best of our knowledge, the only reference that focuses

Abbreviation: LMS, least mean squares; KF, Kalman filter; LMS, least median squares; LS, least squares; LTS, least trimmed squares; MKF, M-Kalman filter; MME, multiple model estimation; PKS, polynomial Kalman smoother; RLS, recursive least squares; SGMKF, Stenlund–Gustafsson M-Kalman filter

* Corresponding author. Fax: +49 721 608 44146.

E-mail addresses: stephan.rhode@kit.edu (S. Rhode), shong@vehicle.berkeley.edu (S. Hong), khedrick@me.berkeley.edu (J.K. Hedrick), frank.gauterin@kit.edu (F. Gauterin).

Nomenclature

A	measured input matrix	MEDSE	median squared error
\mathcal{A}	state transition matrix	m_V (kg)	vehicle mass
a	measured inputs	n	number of inputs
A_V (m ²)	vehicle cross-sectional area	ω_E (rad s ⁻¹)	engine speed
b	measured output	ω_W (rad s ⁻¹)	wheel speed
$\hat{\mathbf{b}}$	estimated output	P	covariance matrix
$\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$	output noise	p	probability
c_x	longitudinal drag coefficient	\mathbf{P}_d	desired P
d	number of outputs	pdf	probability density function
δ	Huber tuning constant	ψ	influence function
$\Delta \mathbf{b}$	output correction	ψ_a (rad)	air approach angle
$\Delta \mathbf{x}$	parameter correction	Q	covariance of parameter correction
det	determinant	\hat{Q}	estimated quantile
diag (·)	diagonal elements	\mathbb{R}	rational numbers
E	expectation	ρ_a (kg m ⁻³)	air density
η	learning rate	ρ	ρ -function
f_r	coefficient of rolling resistance	r_W (m)	wheel radius
R^l	covariance of output noise	sgn	signum
F_{xV} (N)	vehicle tractive force	$\hat{\sigma}$	estimated scale
G	gear	t (s)	time
g (m s ⁻²)	gravitational constant	T_D (N m)	differential torque
\circ	Hadamard product	T_E (N m)	engine torque
I	identity matrix	T_G (N m)	gearbox torque
i_D	differential ratio	θ (rad)	path angle
i_G	gearbox ratio	θ_r (rad)	road angle
I_{red} (kg m ²)	reduced moment of inertia	T_R (N m)	rim torque
I_W (kg m ²)	wheel moment of inertia	u	evaluation point
j_W	wheel: 1-rear left, 2-rear right, 3-front right, 4-front left, 12-front wheels, 34-rear wheels	v_V (m s ⁻¹)	vehicle velocity
k	Kalman gain	w_l	left window
k	number of prediction steps	w_r	right window
l	number of models	w	weight
λ	forgetting factor	x	parameter
\mathcal{M}	model	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	estimated parameter
m	samples	$\bar{\mathbf{x}}$	true parameter
med	median	x_V (m)	vehicle longitudinal axis
		\mathbb{Z}	integer numbers

on predicting the vehicle tractive force is Rhode (2016), which serves as the basis of this work.

1.2. Contribution & outline

The problem studied herein is how to design a model that provides accurate predictions of the vehicle tractive force from standard vehicle sensor data that contain outliers and periods of poor system excitation. Outliers cause breakdown of non-robust estimators (Zoubir, Koivunen, Chakhchoukh, and Muma, 2012), and poor excitation results in an ill-posed problem that causes windup (Evestedt and Medvedev, 2006).

Section 2 introduces a vehicle longitudinal dynamics model that provides the vehicle tractive forces as model outputs using the states as model inputs. These outputs are given by a drivetrain model while the inputs arise from a path angle model and vehicle sensor data.

Section 3 provides a recursive estimator, called polynomial Kalman smoother (PKS), for local polynomial function approximation which is used to smooth noisy vehicle signals and give their derivatives as well as smooth path angle estimates. PKS is ideally suited for vehicle signal smoothing, because PKS preserves the signal level (flat magnitude filter), exhibits a well-defined delay, and gives smooth derivatives. The latter property is unique,

because common signal filters (Butterworth, or FIR filters) do not deliver signal derivatives. To the best of our knowledge, the recursive polynomial function approximation has not been applied elsewhere to vehicle signals.

Section 4 introduces a novel robust and windup-stable Kalman filter, called Stenlund–Gustafsson M-Kalman filter (SGMKF) subsequently, to recursively solve the random-walk output error model in the presence of outliers and periods with poor excitation. Starting with the well known Kalman filter (KF), Section 4 explains all the required modifications to add robustness and windup stability. Moreover, a novel robust recursive scale estimator with low computational load is introduced. The presented SGMKF algorithm can be applied to any linear parameter estimation problem, where the parameters vary on different rate, the measurements are corrupted by outliers, and the observed system shows periods with poor excitation. Therefore, SGMKF is a general estimator and not specifically designed for vehicle tractive force prediction, which is studied herein.

In Section 5 multiple model estimation (MME) is introduced as a method to treat uncertainty in choosing **Q**, which is the covariance of the assumed Gaussian sequel that determines the rate of variation of the vehicle parameters and is an important tuning input in Kalman filters. Multiple model estimation gives the result with highest probability from a bank of parallel robust and

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات