



# An exact analytical solution to the production inventory control problem<sup>☆</sup>

Roger D.H. Warburton<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Griffin Manufacturing, 508 Bedford Street, Fall River, MA 02722, USA

<sup>b</sup>Textile Sciences Department, University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth, MA, USA

Received 1 July 2002; accepted 1 September 2003

## Abstract

The full set of continuous, differential delay equations describing the inventory and orders for a typical industrial production control system are solved exactly for a step function surge in demand. The replenishment delay is explicitly included. The order rate is tuned with three parameters, which modulate the demand smoothing, the recovery of the inventory deficit, and the desired level of work-in-process. The analytical solutions are validated by comparison with numerical integration and confirm the instabilities and inventory deficits found elsewhere. Useful management strategies can be deduced, and the approach should be widely applicable in supply chains.

© 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Inventory control; Ordering policies; Supply chain management

## 1. Introduction

The use of continuous differential equations to model the response of the inventory to changes in demand goes back to Simon (1952), who outlined the use of the Laplace transform technique for the analysis of production scheduling algorithms. Forrester (1961) later defined and solved an approximate form for the continuous differential equations describing the relation between inventory, orders to suppliers, and receipt of goods, which arrive after a production time delay.

Forrester approximated the time delay with a “pool of goods on order,” and pioneered the simulation approach to solving the inventory and ordering equations. Forrester, and later Burbidge (1961), both clearly understood that inventory instabilities were associated with the production delay. Burbidge (1984) wrote “the amplitude of demand variation will increase with each transfer”.

Towill (1982a) used block diagrams, Laplace transforms, and coefficient plane models to study inventory and order-based production control systems and thereby accessed hardware system analogues. Deziel and Eilon (1967) analyzed a linear production control system, which John et al. (1994) generalized by incorporating a pipeline, or work-in-process (WIP), controller into the model, which they called APIOBPCS. Continuous computer simulation was the main vehicle for analysis,

<sup>☆</sup>This work was supported in part by a grant from the National Textile Center.

\*Corresponding author. Griffin Manufacturing, 508 Bedford Street, Fall River, MA 02722, USA. Tel.: +1-508-677-0048; fax: +1-508-674-1268.

E-mail address: [roger@warbs.net](mailto:roger@warbs.net) (R.D.H. Warburton).

backed up by mathematical control techniques such as transfer functions, and initial and final value theorems. They concluded that the inventory will suffer a final value offset “in the opposite direction to the change in market consumption.” Analytical cross-checks of the numerical simulation were performed using the Inverse Laplace transform for a small number of cases to ease the burden of calculations. In this paper, the same order-up-to (OUT) policy will be studied by solving the equations exactly for the case of a pure time delay.

Riddalls and Bennett (2002) studied the stability properties of a continuous model quite similar to John et al., using simple demand averaging in place of exponential demand smoothing. Without explicitly solving the differential equations, global stability theorems were employed to determine the dependence of the critical stability point on the time delay.

The discrete time approach evolved in parallel with continuous techniques. Vassian (1955) quickly replicated the work of Simon, employing discrete time difference equations and employing the  $z$ -transform instead of the Laplace transform. Grubbström (1998) has applied the discrete  $z$ -transform and Net Present Value techniques to MRP systems. The availability of modern simulation packages has led to significant refinements in both the continuous and discrete domains (Disney et al., 1997; Towill, 1982b).

Inventory and ordering policies have been extensively studied using linear control theory (Towill and Del Vecchio, 1994). Popplewell and Bonney (1987) studied an MRP system using the discrete  $z$ -transform, while Disney and Towill (2002) determined the stability of a vendor managed inventory supply chain using a discrete transfer function model. Inventory management has benefited from comparison with real-world data (Disney and Towill, 2002; Clark, 1994; Kelly, 1995; Fransoo and Wouters, 2000; Holmstrom, 1997), and critical management analyses (Towill, 1997). Sterman (1989) used a simplified beer production and distribution model to establish that senior executives poorly understood the significance of the pipeline delay. In particular, Sterman suggests that ignoring pipeline WIP

results in instability, and that one should compensate for the difference between desired and actual goods in the pipeline. Sterman’s heuristics have been shown to be directly related to the parameters used in this paper (John et al., 1994; Disney et al., 2000).

Considerable recent attention has focused on the “Bullwhip Effect,” a term coined by Proctor and Gamble and popularized by Lee et al. (1997a, b, 2000). From a practical, management perspective, several industrial applications have been reported (Gill and Abend, 1997; Stalk and Haut, 1990). The theoretical analysis of Lee et al. assumed a serially correlated demand originally proposed by Kahn (1987), and proceeded from an inventory cost minimization function. Dejonckheere et al. (2003) investigated the Bullwhip Effect in OUT models using the discrete  $z$ -transform. The impact of exponential smoothing on the Bullwhip Effect has been considered (Chen et al., 2000). Dynamic programming techniques applied to stock minimization have also been used to quantify the Bullwhip Effect (Metters, 1997).

The availability of an exact solution to the continuous differential inventory equations seems to have been overlooked. For example, when discussing equations with time delays, none of the textbooks on differential equations (Bellman and Cooke, 1963) or Laplace transforms point out that such equations can be solved exactly in terms of the Lambert  $W$  function (Corless et al., 1996). This paper begins to address this omission. The aim is to solve exactly the equations for a model that has been shown to be practically valuable, and that has been studied in some detail.

Only from analytical solutions can the precise behavior of a model be carefully assessed over a wide range of conditions. This contributes valuable conceptual information to managers and expert system developers, who depend on behavioral heuristics. Towill insists that the use of simulation techniques without a sound underlying theoretical basis can be hazardous (Disney et al., 2000). Therefore, a goal of this paper is to provide tools that help guide the exploration of the parameter space with numerical techniques. Since numerical treatments of unstable solutions require more care, such approaches should benefit

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

**ISI**Articles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات