



Disponible en ligne sur  
**SciVerse ScienceDirect**  
www.sciencedirect.com

Elsevier Masson France  
**EM|consulte**  
www.em-consulte.com



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Postoperative regrets after sex reassignment surgery: A case report<sup>☆</sup>

L. Karpel<sup>\*,1</sup>, B. Cordier (MD)<sup>2</sup>

Service de psychiatrie, hôpital Foch, 40, rue Worth, 92150 Suresnes, France

Available online 11 October 2012

## KEYWORDS

Transgender;  
Postoperative  
regrets;  
Psychology;  
Case report;  
Gender dysphoria;  
Gender identity  
disorder

## Summary

**Introduction.** – Sex reassignment surgery is carried out in France with the approval of a multi-disciplinary team of psychiatrists, psychologists, endocrinologists and surgeons. The specialists monitor the patient for at least 2 years before any irreversible surgical decision concerning the sexual organs is taken.

**Objective.** – To understand the reasons for regrets after surgery and to review our psychological and psychiatric assessment tools.

**Method.** – We present here an exceptional case of an individual who wants to return to his birth sex just days after surgery. The individual concerned was born male and was monitored by the team for a period of 8 years before the decision for surgical intervention was taken. We studied the patient's file in detail including the various consultations he had with the psychiatrist of the transgender unit and with the rest of team. We also analyzed the results of psychological tests and the follow-up questionnaire.

**Results.** – The use of psychological tests did not shed any light on the high risk of postoperative regret in this case. The elements of differential diagnosis were discussed but the patient was untruthful in his answers.

**Conclusion.** – The scientific literature shows that the risk of regret is higher among men who have experienced a long heterosexual life, whose request for sex reassignment comes late in life, and who do not receive the support of family and friends. To this conclusion, we might add that the lack of sex life, interruption of the treatment and the absence of gender disorder in childhood seem to be significant criteria for risk of postoperative regret. In addition, in our unit, we have abandoned the use of psychological tests to assess the request of transgender patients.

© 2012 Published by Elsevier Masson SAS.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sexol.2012.08.015>.

<sup>☆</sup> Ce numéro inclut également une version française : Karpel, L, Cordier, B. Une demande de retour au sexe de naissance après une chirurgie de réassignation sexuelle.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [l.karpel@hopital-foch.org](mailto:l.karpel@hopital-foch.org) (L. Karpel).

<sup>1</sup> Clinical psychologist.

<sup>2</sup> Head department of psychiatry.

Psychiatrists take careful precautions before proposing a diagnosis of transgenderism and before any indication of sex reassignment surgery (SRS). Among these precautions specific standards of care have been proposed in France and abroad: psychiatric follow-up over 2 years, real life experience (that is to say, living full-time in the preferred gender role) for at least 1 year and positive results in certain psychological tests: intelligence, personality and projective tests. The purpose of this procedure is to ensure that the patient's request is not the result of acute delirium or other chronic or temporary delusional disorder. Psychological tests are helpful tools for any diagnosis.

They should ideally provide information concerning the nature of the individual's psychological structure, his or her defence mechanisms and identificatory movements. In France, the psychological tests are conducted by clinical psychologists in transgender units.

### The case of "Sanglier"

Born male, after 8 years of follow-up in the psychiatric transgender unit, he underwent SRS according to his desire to become female. Twenty days after the operation, his surgeon received an email from him announcing his desire to undergo revision surgery to reverse back to his original gender. If this was not obtained, he threatened to start drinking again, along with other vague and aggressive threats.

In response to this unusual request, the surgeon asked the patient to meet with the psychiatrist who was in charge of his assessment. The patient did not keep the appointments made for him with neither the surgeon, nor the psychiatrist. He reacted only 6 months later when he was asked to fill in a postoperative well-being survey. In his response, he expressed a mixture of violence and paranoid traits. He rejected a new proposal for a psychiatric consultation after the survey questionnaire was received. He finally saw the surgeon again 1 year after the operation. He wanted to be called "Mr", and strongly criticized the result of his surgery. He said that he did not intend to ask for a change of sex in the public registers. However, he asked the surgeon to amputate his female organs (labia, clitoris and vagina). The surgeon refused to do so without prior psychiatric consultation. The patient later met with the psychiatrist in charge of the assessment to whom he explained that he had "been lying" during the years that preceded the surgery. He said that his only intention was to get rid of his penis because he saw it as being the source of his sexual impulses (both hetero and homosexual), too invasive for his taste. Since surgery, the patient cut his hair short. He was no longer taking hormones and wanted a mastectomy. The psychiatrist concluded that the patient was suffering from a particular form of body dysmorphic disorder. For the following 6 months, the patient continued to insist on revision surgery, then suddenly broke all contact with the unit.

The anamnesis conducted by the psychiatrist during the assessment period describes an individual feminised by various facial surgeries and hormonal treatments already before his surgery. It also shows that the patient had no social life nor sexual or romantic relationships. He was no longer professionally active. Previously, he had very

masculine professional occupations. He cut all ties with his family. He had been married for 7 years and had several additional female partners but never had any homosexual experiences. He interrupted the assessment procedure of his request for SRS for a period of 3 years. He has no memory of gender identity difficulties as a child. According to the patient, the anti-androgen treatment, which affects the capacity to have erections, provided relief for him. At one point, he admitted he was attracted to men but during the second period of assessment he denied this. The surgery was authorised by a second psychiatrist in the same unit.

After 2 years of follow-up, the patient underwent psychological tests. The vocabulary test showed an average IQ of 95. His average level of intelligence was that of a child at the end of primary education. The WAIS-R showed a verbal IQ of 90 and a performance IQ of 100, hence an average of 95, corroborating the results of the first test. The Benton test showed that the patient was not suffering from any pathology that was distorting his visual, spatial or temporal perceptions. The results of the MMPI-2 personality test show that the test was at the borderline of validity due to the patient's high levels of mistrust and he was prone to lying. He gave different answers to the same questions expressed differently during the test. The results describe an individual who is temperamental and hypersensitive, with risks of brutal acting out. The main defence mechanisms used by the patient are projective and psychopathic. The masculine-feminine scale, scale of sexual stereotypes, shows a great interest in everything related to female stereotypes whilst the male stereotypes were systematically rejected. The result of the projective test (Rorschach) is difficult to analyse. The patient could not always give a representation to the images being shown. Nevertheless, it was concluded that the patient was searching for femininity. The conclusion of the tests was that the patient could be considered a primary transsexual without any severe pathology that would be a contraindication for SRS.

In our opinion, it is not necessary, and is perhaps even unethical, to ask patients consulting for reassignment surgery to sit intelligence tests. What minimum intelligence level should be imposed when assessing a request for sex reassignment? The same problem arises concerning the test to measure a patient's visual, spatial or temporal perceptions. What are the diagnostic elements gained by these tests? As for the MMPI personality test, developed in the USA during the 1950s and revised in France during the 1990s, it seems to rely on very crude stereotypes of masculinity and femininity. It is very easy for a patient to give the anticipated answer corresponding to his case. Anyway, even if the items of the male-female score only represent 10% of the items in this test, their analysis is obsolete, outdated and almost ridiculous considering the fact that the anticipated "deviances" do not correspond to modern Western 21st century society, in which equality between men and women has gained ground over the stereotypes of the so-called passive homosexual man and the cold, dominating woman (see the analysis of these items in the textbooks: [Hathaway and Mac Kinley, 1989](#)). However, the MMPI remains an interesting test when it comes to revealing the salient features of a subject's personality. As for the use of projective tests like Rorschach, these can be useful in gleaning some elements concerning the patient's identificatory modes, but only if

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

**ISI**Articles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات