

Sensitive domains of self-concept in obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD): Further evidence for a multidimensional model of OCD

Guy Doron^{a,*}, Michael Kyrios^b, Richard Moulding^c

^a *Department of Psychology, University of Melbourne, Vic. 3010, Australia*

^b *Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Australia*

^c *University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia*

Received 31 March 2006; received in revised form 19 May 2006; accepted 23 May 2006

Abstract

Aspects of self-concept have been implicated in recent empirical and theoretical investigations of obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD). This article extends previous theory and research by investigating the proposal that specific self-structures may be linked with OCD [e.g., Doron, G., & Kyrios, M. (2005). Obsessive–compulsive disorder: a review of possible specific internal representations within a broader cognitive theory. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 25, 415–432]. In particular, it was hypothesized that individuals who value the domains of morality, job and scholastic competence, and social acceptability, but who feel incompetent in these domains (i.e., “sensitive” domains of self), would hold a greater level of OC-related beliefs and display more OC-symptoms. The study was performed in 198 non-clinical participants, using a multidimensional measure of self-concept. As predicted, it was found that sensitivity in the four domains was related to higher levels of OC-related beliefs. Sensitivity in the domains of morality, job competence and social acceptability also related to higher levels of OC-symptoms. Further, these findings were generally maintained when controlling for global self-worth. Based on these results, it is argued that sensitivity of self-concept may be associated with OC cognitions and phenomena. Implications for theory and treatment are discussed.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Cognitive theory; Obsessive–compulsive disorder; Cognition; Self; Internal representations

Obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD) is one of the most incapacitating of the anxiety disorders, and is rated as a leading cause of disability by the World Health Organization (World Health Organization, 1996). While a range of etiological theories for OCD has been proposed,

* Corresponding author. Fax: +61 3 9349 4195.

E-mail address: gdonor@unimelb.edu.au (G. Doron).

cognitive-behavioral models of OCD have generated a large body of empirical evidence, and have led to the development of effective treatments (Frost & Steketee, 2002).

Cognitive-behavioral models of OCD suggest that dysfunctional beliefs such as over-inflated personal responsibility, the overestimation of threat, the need to control thoughts, and perfectionism, underlie ineffective strategies in the management of intrusive thoughts, images and impulses (Obsessive Compulsive Cognitions Working Group [OCCWG], 1997). Empirical research has indicated that the vast majority of the population experience intrusions at times, and that the difference between common intrusive thoughts and “obsessions” is in terms of the frequency, intensity, duration, discomfort and misinterpretations elicited by the thoughts, rather than in the content of the intrusions (Rachman & de Silva, 1978; Salkovskis & Harrison, 1984). This raises the question of why particular intrusions lead to dysfunctional reactions such as anxiety, preoccupation and activation of maladaptive beliefs and appraisals in certain individuals.

Current cognitive models of OCD implicate self-perceptions as leading to dysfunctional responses to particular intrusions (for a review, see Doron & Kyrios, 2005a). For example, Rachman (1997, 1998) argued that catastrophic interpretations of the personal significance of intrusive thoughts are the main cause of the development and maintenance of obsessions. The “*content of the obsession is of critical concern*” in this process by serving as the initial trigger for dysfunctional appraisals in particular individuals (Rachman, 1997, p. 795, italics in original). Similarly, Clark and Purdon (1993; Purdon & Clark, 1999) proposed that the appraisal of a thought as inconsistent with an individual’s sense of self, or their beliefs and values (i.e., as ego-dystonic) contributes to the exacerbation of obsessions. Indeed, following on from the work of Guidano and Liotti (1983) and Bhar (2004), Clark (2004) argued that if “obsession-prone individuals have a preexisting ambivalent or fragile self-view, then unwanted intrusive thoughts that are completely contrary to this self-view are more likely to be interpreted as highly significant or threatening” (p. 141). Finally, Salkovskis (1985, 1999) implicated over inflated responsibility defined as individual’s tendency to believe that they may be pivotally responsible for causing or failing to prevent harm from occurring to themselves or others. This implies such individuals may excessively value social and moral aspects of self.

Empirical investigations also support the link between self-structures and sensitivity to intrusive thoughts. For example, Rowa, Purdon, Summerfeldt, and Antony (2005) found that for individuals with OCD, more upsetting obsessions were evaluated as more meaningful and more contradictory of valued aspects of the self than less upsetting obsessions. Bhar (2004; Bhar & Kyrios, 2000) found that individuals with OCD showed higher levels of self-ambivalence (i.e., an uncertain, preoccupied and dichotomous self-concept) than non-clinical controls, although they did not differ from individuals with other anxiety disorders. Thus, there is support for the contention that OC symptoms are related to intrusive thoughts contradicting valued aspects of self, particularly those that are not held securely.

Recently, Doron and Kyrios (2005a) proposed that enduring cognitive-affective structures, consisting of particular structures of self-coupled with specific beliefs about the world, may underlie vulnerability for OCD. Initial evidence has supported the association of particular views of the world with OC phenomena (Doron & Kyrios, 2005b, submitted for publication). Negative perceptions of one’s own character, moderated by beliefs that the world is a just place where “people get what they deserve” added to the prediction of OC symptom severity scores in a non-clinical cohort. Also, perceiving oneself as active in the prevention of harm moderated by beliefs that negative events can be avoided in the world, added significantly to the prediction of OC symptom severity scores. These results remained significant after controlling for OC related

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات