



Self-concept and physical self-concept in psychiatric children and adolescents

J. Simons^{a,b,*}, C.M. Capio^{b,c}, P. Adriaenssens^a, H. Delbroek^a, I. Vandenbussche^a

^a University Psychiatric Centre, Campus Leuven, Gasthuisberg, Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, Herestraat 49, B 3000 Leuven, Belgium

^b Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, Tervuursevest 101, B 3001 Heverlee, Belgium

^c Institute of Human Performance, University of Hong Kong, 111-113 Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 October 2011

Received in revised form 15 November 2011

Accepted 15 December 2011

Available online 11 January 2012

Keywords:

Self-concept

Physical self-concept

Adolescents

Psychiatry

ABSTRACT

Self-concept is a widely examined construct in the area of psychiatric disorders. This study compared the Physical Self-Description Questionnaire (PSDQ) scores of adolescents with psychiatric disorders ($N=103$) with the results of a matched group of non-clinical adolescents ($N=103$). Self-concept and Physical self-concept were lower in the clinical than in the non-clinical group. Girls ($N=59$) scored lower than boys ($N=44$) in both groups. In the different diagnostic groups specific domains were affected in line with symptomatology, which has implications for therapy.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Self-concept may be defined as the totality of perceptions that each person has of himself. This self-identity plays an important role in the psychological functioning of everyone. Self-concept refers to a multidimensional concept, which involves neurophysiologic as well as psychological components. Sometimes, a distinction is made between self-concept which is what one thinks about one's self, and self-esteem which is the positive or negative evaluation of one's self (how one feels about himself). Marsh, Parada and Ayotte (2004), however, state that researchers have commonly used the terms self-concept and self-esteem interchangeably in mental health research.

There are several theories of self-concept and quite a lot of instruments (Sypsa & Simons, 2008) that are based on these models for different populations. The most extensively validated model, until now, is the multidimensional-hierarchical model, first developed by Shavelson, Hubner and Stanton (1976) and further expanded by other researchers (e.g. Marsh, Richards, Johnson, Roche, & Termayne, 1994). Multidimensional recognition of the self gives the opportunity to examine physical self as a distinct entity. Body-concept is also viewed as multidimensional (Fox, 1997; Marsh et al., 1994). The hierarchical structure of physical self-concept suggests a top-to-bottom hierarchy, where global self-concept is at the apex and actual behavior is at the bottom.

Several studies have demonstrated differences in levels of self-concept and body-concept between boys and girls (Demarest & Allen, 2000; Garner, 1997; Kearny-Cooke, 1999; Maïano, Ninot, & Bilard, 2004; Muth & Cash, 1997; Smolak, 2004). Adolescent girls tend to evaluate their physical as well as their intellectual capacities in a more negative way and put

* Corresponding author at: Faculty Kinesiology and Rehabilitation Sciences, Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, Tervuursevest 101, B 3001 Heverlee, Belgium. Tel.: +32 16 32 91 40; fax: +32 16 32 91 96.

E-mail address: johan.simons@faber.kuleuven.be (J. Simons).

more attention to their appearances (Kearny-Cooke, 1999; Muth & Cash, 1997). Smolak (2004) mentioned that girls have more difficulty with being overweight while boys would like to weigh more by having more muscles. On the other hand, girls think that boys like thin girls and boys think that girls like well-muscled boys (Demarest & Allen, 2000). A significant number of studies of adolescents have shown that boys have higher perceptions concerning their physical abilities than girls (Asci, 2002; Bowker, Gadbois, & Cornock, 2003; Chan, Au, Chan, Kwan, & Yiu, 2003; Crocker, Eklund, & Kowalski, 2000; Faria, 2001; Raudsepp & Liblik, 2002; Rudisill, Mahar, & Meany, 1993; Shapka & Keating, 2005). Nevertheless, girls' general self-concept does not differ from that of boys possibly due to perceptions in other areas of self-concept that compensate for the lower physical aspect (Bowker et al., 2003).

A number of studies have examined the relationships between self-concept and different psychiatric disorders such as conduct disorders (Maïano, Ninot, Morin, & Bilard, 2007), post traumatic stress disorder (Saigh, Yasuib, & Oberfield, 2008), anorexia nervosa (Jacobi, Paul, de Zwann, Nutzinger, & Dahme, 2004; Probst, Pieters, & Vanderlinden, 2001), depression and anxiety (Sukumaran et al., 2003). In these studies, low self-concept has been cited as a major contributory factor for both the onset and the persistence of the disorders. In a systematic review, Ekland, Heian and Hagen (2005) indicated a positive effect of physical activity on self-concept in depression, anxiety and behavioral problems. But the association between actual and perceived motor competence remains rather low in psychiatric adolescents (Simons, Sypsa, & Vandebussche, 2008). Most of those studies used a one-dimensional approach of self-concept (e.g., Piers–Harris self-concept scale, Piers & Herzberg, 2002), or the self-esteem of a certain group is compared with the norm. The present study aims to contribute to the understanding of self-concept among adolescents with psychiatric conditions. Building on the work of previous studies, this current research utilized a multi-dimensional approach at examining self-concept and comparisons are made between two participant groups (clinical and non-clinical).

On the basis of what has been established in the literature the hypotheses for the present study are: (i) boys and girls will differ in overall self-concept and in general physical self-concept, (ii) and the clinical group will have lower feelings about themselves than the matched non-clinical group, (iii) there will be no discrepancy in self-concept among adolescents staying for a short or long period in institutional psychiatric care, and (iv) there will be differences in self-concept depending on the psychiatric diagnosis.

2. Method

2.1. Participants

The clinical group consisted of 103 adolescents, admitted during the last four years at the University Psychiatric Centre-KUL, Campus Leuven, Belgium. Their mean age was 14 years and 6 months ($SD = 1$ year and 4 months); 44 of them were boys (mean age 14 years and 4 months, $SD = 1$ year and 6 months) and 59 were girls (14 years and 9 months, $SD = 1$ year and 3 months). The group consisted of two subgroups where in the first subgroup ($N = 42$) consisted of those who were staying in the hospital for at least 3 months in a residential therapeutic psychiatric unit (long stay). The second subgroup ($N = 61$) consisted of those who were at the urgent psychiatric unit where they stayed for a maximum of five days (short stay). The clinical diagnosis was determined by a multidisciplinary team following the DSM IV criteria. The entire group consisted of 22 adolescents with autism spectrum disorder (ASS), 1 with reactive attachment disorder, 28 with depression, 9 with posttraumatic stress syndrome (PTSS), 12 with identity disorder, 3 with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), 3 with anorexia nervosa (AN), 8 with oppositional behavior disorder, 2 with the syndrome of Gilles de la Tourette and 5 with adaptation disorder.

The data of the clinical group were matched according to gender and age to the data of a non-clinical group who were randomly selected from a community sample of 1007 Flemish speaking non-clinical adolescents. Mean age of both groups was exactly the same and no significant difference in age was found between boys and girls.

2.2. Instrument

The adolescents were asked to complete the Physical Self-Description Questionnaire (PSDQ, Marsh et al., 1994), which was translated for the purpose of this study using a back to back translation procedure (Brislin, 1970). The PSDQ is based on the hierarchical-multidimensional model of self-concept and assesses participants' physical self-concept through the measurement of 70 items divided over 11 scales as summarized in Table 1. These scales consist of the following: global self-esteem (8 items), global physical self-concept (6 items), strength (6 items), body fat (6 items), activity (6 items), endurance/fitness (6 items), sport competence (6 items), coordination (6 items), health (6 items), appearance (6 items) and flexibility (6 items). Response possibilities range on a 6-point scale, where 1 is not true and 6 is very true. The sum of scores (raw data) for each scale was used for the calculation of the different components. The instrument was designed for adolescents aged 12 years and older. A number of studies support the reliability and validity of the PSDQ (Marsh et al., 1994; Marsh, Marco, & Abcy, 2002; Richards & Marsh, 2006).

2.3. Statistical analysis

To analyze the data, *Statistica 9* (2010) was used. The internal consistency was checked on the data of the Flemish group by using Cronbach's alpha. Data were analyzed by multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) in which self-concept scores

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات