

A Geographic Information System Simulation Model of EMS: Reducing Ambulance Response Time

KOBI PELEG, PhD,*‡ AND JOSEPH S. PLISKIN, PhD†‡

Response time is a very important factor in determining the quality of prehospital EMS. Our objective was to model the response by Israeli ambulances and to offer model-derived strategies for improved deployment of ambulances to reduce response time. Using a geographic information system (GIS), a retrospective review of computerized ambulance call and dispatch logs was performed in two different regional districts, one large and urban and the other rural. All calls that were pinpointed geographically by the GIS were included, and their data were stratified by weekday and by daily shifts. Geographic areas (polygons) of, at most, 8 minutes response time were simulated for each of these subgroups to maximize the timely response of calls. Before using the GIS model, mean response times in the Carmel and Lachish districts were 12.3 and 9.2 minutes, respectively, with 34% and 62% of calls responded within 8 minutes. When ambulances were positioned within the modeled polygons, more than 94% of calls met the 8-minute criterion. The GIS simulation model presented in this study suggests that EMS could be more effective if a dynamic load-responsive ambulance deployment is adopted, potentially resulting in increased survival and cost-effectiveness. (*Am J Emerg Med* 2004;22:164-170. © 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.)

EMS focus on cardiac, vascular, respiratory, trauma, and other emergencies. Many factors determine the quality of EMS, and response time is an important EMS industry benchmark.¹⁻⁴ This is also reflected in the term “The Golden Hour” that was derived from the observation that survival of patients who receive in-hospital definitive treatment within 1 hour of injury was much higher than of those who received it later.^{5,6} This benchmark is driven by cardiac arrest survival and the likelihood of successful defibrillation of fatal cardiac arrhythmia.⁷ Other factors such as proficiency of the EMS personnel, the quality of equipment and ambulances, and the suitability of organization, communications, and control are also important for patients who require EMS.

From the *Trauma and Emergency Medicine Research Unit, The Gertner Institute for Health Policy Research, Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer, Israel; and the †Department of Industrial Engineering and Management and Department of Health Systems Management, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel; and the ‡Department of Health Policy and Management, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts.

Received December 15, 2002; accepted April 23, 2003.

Supported in part by grant 15/97 from the Israeli National Institute for Health Policy and Health Services Research.

Address reprint requests to Kobi Peleg, PhD, Gertner Institute, Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer 52621, Israel. Email: kobip@gertner.health.gov.il

Key Words: Emergency medical service, ambulance, response time, geographic information system

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

0735-6757/04/2203-0005\$30.00/0

doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2004.02.003

Consequently, various national strategies have been developed for increasing the quality of EMS, especially the administration of life-saving procedures at the earliest time. Although citizens trying to give first aid can deliver such procedures sooner than the EMS,⁸ the organization of the EMS for timely and proper response remains the responsibility of local governments. Indeed, many models of organization of integrated EMS for delivery of trauma care exist worldwide.⁸⁻¹¹

In Israel, civilian ground prehospital EMS are the mandate of Magen David Adom (MDA, Red Star of David).¹² It is a publicly funded nonprofit organization, the structure and modus operandi of which reflect its history, and is based on salaried and volunteer staff. It is organized in 12 regional districts, each having a single control center directing the launch of ambulances and personnel from any of several stations. MDA responds to approximately 300,000 calls per year with basic life support (BLS) ambulances that have basic equipment and are operated by medics and with advanced life support (ALS) ambulances outfitted with advanced resuscitation equipment and a team of a physician and a paramedic or a paramedic and an EMT. Each district had two ALS ambulances that operated during all shifts 24 hours a day for 365 days per year. The distribution of BLS ambulances varied by shift and day according to need. In 1997, in the Lachish district, ALS ambulances treated 5,020 cases, whereas the BLS ambulances treated 15,565. In the Carmel district, ALS treated 7,184 cases and BLS treated 29,245. Deep historical and political roots are the foundation of the present structure and mode of operation of MDA.

The main objective of the present study was to study and apply the use of geographic modeling systems to deploy ambulances to fulfill demand and quality and performance requirements, and to offer model-derived strategies for improving the response time through modified deployment of MDA ambulances potentially increasing the efficiency of MDA services.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective review of local ambulance calls recorded for a 12-month period during the years 1996 through 1997 was performed on computer-logged data from two of the 12 MDA regional districts. These two regions were selected to represent the full range of needs for EMS and the whole gamut of extrinsic factors affecting ambulance response time, namely distances, traffic conditions, and population load, and to enable testing a model of optimal ambulance deployment.

One district was Carmel, in the major metropolitan district of Haifa, Israel's largest port and a heavy industry

center. It includes urban residential neighborhoods and industrial zones of diverse characteristics, from heavy and petrochemical industries to high-tech parks, and is afflicted with typically heavy, yet variable, traffic loads. It is served by three major hospitals: one level I and two level II trauma centers. The second MDA district chosen is Lachish, a southern rural district with three small and one medium urban center interspersed with numerous agricultural communities. It contains one level II trauma center and a second one is located in the adjacent district, and reaching a level I center takes ambulances 30 to 60 minutes.

Records were taken from each region's computerized logbook, in which data are entered by the dispatcher in real-time. In the Lachish district, it was available for an entire year, and in the Carmel district for a period of 9 months. The entering calls and subsequent communications are also available on tape and could be verified against the logbook. This verification was done only for the questionable data.

The Carmel district had 33,163 logs, but approximately 2,600 were administrative rides (eg, refueling, service), and several more belonged to neighboring districts and were therefore ignored. Excluded were also calls whose exact address could not be pinpointed (first by software and then manually) and address-matched by a geographic positioning software (Geocode, ArcView 3.1, ESRI, Redlands, CA). Only 73% of the net logged calls were therefore included, resulting in 21,643 records. A similar yield was obtained for the 27,085 logs of the Lachish district (after subtracting approximately 1,700 administrative rides), resulting in a database of 17,230 records (68%). The characteristics of calls that were removed from analysis were not different from those used, especially with regard to response times.

From each included log, data were extracted on the type of ambulance and times of call, driver notification, take off, arrival on scene, departure from scene, arrival at the hospital, departure from the hospital, and return to base or to the next mission.

All data were categorized, by district, and into five daily shifts representative of the degree of traffic load: 07:00-09:00 (rush hour), 09:00-16:00 (business), 16:00-19:00 (rush hour), 19:00-02:00 (going out), and 02:00-07:00 (off-hours). Further stratification was by the days of the week, resulting in a total of 70 data subgroups. In Israel, Saturday is the day of rest, and business activity on Fridays is limited.

The number of ambulances needed for each shift in each day was calculated from the turnaround time (from the initial call until return to base) of calls in each subgroup. The turnaround time consists of the response time to the call, travel time to the point of call, treatment time at point of call, travel time to the hospital, time spent in the hospital, and travel time back to the dispatch point. Based on these times for the various shifts, we could calculate the average number of calls that an ambulance can respond to during each shift. Then we calculated the probability of another call in a given area when the ambulance was making a "round." We wanted to assure a situation in which this probability will not exceed 5%. In the Lachish district, this was calculated separately for each of the towns. From the mean turnaround time, the number of ambulances needed per shift

on any given weekday was determined after accounting for the 73% inclusion of the logged calls and an extrapolation to the full call volume.

The Geocode software was fed the exact address of every call by shift and by day. Then, the density of calls was calculated by using the Spatial Analyst extension of the Geocode software, and density maps were generated per district, per day, and per shift. A map showing various shades for differing densities was generated. In the most dense call areas, we initially positioned dispatch points for ambulances so that travel to any point within the time line (polygon) will not exceed 8 minutes, taking into account road signs, one-way streets, and so on.

Ambulance speeds were calculated from randomly chosen logs (at least 12 per subgroup), considering the distances using only routes permitted by traffic regulations. Travel speeds were calculated using the actual travel times as taken from the logbook and the distance as calculated by the GIS (which is very precise and takes into account one-way streets, and so on). When significant speed differences were observed in specific subregions, they were applied in the analysis.

A response time (from receipt of call until arrival at the scene) of 8 minutes or less was set as the working criterion, based on MDA's own recommendations from 1994 and on those of the Pre-Hospital Trauma Life Support (PHTLS).⁵ Polygons in which this response time was maximally achieved were simulated for each of the subgroups calculated with the Network Analyst extension of the Geocode package. We wanted to assure that the derived polygons achieve the desired response time for at least 95% of calls. Initial polygons had one ambulance per polygon, and then an interactive polygon determination was performed to maximize the number of calls with a response time of 8 minutes or less, accounting for the number of ambulances required for the call load. In a shift with a higher probability than 5% for an additional call, we added a backup ambulance using for it a polygon of 15 minutes response time. The likelihood of ambulance unavailability was calculated using the Poisson distribution for probable calls. Figure 1 presents a flowchart of the analysis applied on the data for the determination of polygons.

RESULTS

The response times (from receipt of call to arrival on scene) by MDA teams in the Carmel and Lachish districts are tabulated in Table 1. The mean response times in these districts were 12.3 and 9.2 minutes, respectively. The 95th percentiles of response in these districts were in excess of 26 and 23 minutes, respectively. Indeed, with 8 minutes set as the standard, only 34% of the calls were responded to in the Carmel district, implying that 66% of them were late (Fig 2A). In Lachish, 62% of calls were answered within 8 minutes (Fig 2B).

When mobile ALS ambulances, which respond only to EM calls (as opposed to nonemergencies handled by MDA such as transporting nonendangered pregnant women or minor trauma) were analyzed separately, only a slight improvement in the 8 minutes response fraction was found. Approximately 40% of these calls in the Carmel district were answered within the standard amount of time.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات