



NORTH-HOLLAND

International Review of Economics and Finance
11 (2002) 117–138

IREF International
Review of
Economics
& Finance

The long-run real-wage rigidity and full employment adjustment in the classical model

Ravi Batra*

Department of Economics, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX 75275, USA

Received 18 June 2001; accepted 21 June 2001

Abstract

This paper studies a century of US data to see how real wages behave during unemployment. Contrary to popular belief, we find that not a single downturn witnessed a general decline in the real wage. In fact, real earnings usually jumped long before unemployment disappeared. Yet, full employment returned in every case. The self-correcting mechanism that eliminates unemployment is not the long-run downward flexibility of real wages as postulated by most economists but a fall in the real wage relative to labor productivity. This suggests that supply-side policies may be used to supplement demand-side prescriptions in order to combat short-run unemployment. © 2002 Elsevier Science Inc. All rights reserved.

JEL classification: E21; E30

Keywords: Wage flexibility; Macroeconomic policy

1. Introduction

Economics, especially macroeconomics, is riddled with controversies. However, if there is one idea on which most economists find themselves in general agreement, it is that real wages are flexible, both upward and downward, in the long run. To be sure, the long run itself is not precisely defined. Its opposite, the short run, could be anywhere from 1 to 3 or even 5 years, after which the long run takes over. However,

* Tel.: +1-214-768-2707; fax: +1-214-768-1821.

E-mail address: rbatra@mail.smu.edu (R. Batra).

few question the notion that real wages are perfectly flexible once we cross the realm of the short period.

Broadly speaking, there are two schools of thought in macroeconomics—Keynesians (the activists) and classicals (the nonactivists). The two differ in their views about the short run, but as Abel and Bernanke (1995) remark in emphatic italics, *Keynesians and classicals both agree that in the long run prices and wages fully adjust to achieve equilibrium in the markets for goods, assets, and labor*. In other words, “Complete flexibility of wages and prices in the long run is not controversial” (p. 22).

Wage-price flexibility is also commonly identified as the automatic or self-correcting mechanism that preserves full employment of labor in an economy. Ruffin and Gregory (1993) echo the Abel–Bernanke sentiment in their words about activists and nonactivists: Both believe that the self-correcting mechanism works in the long run (p. 375). Another confirmation of this viewpoint comes from Landsburg and Feinstone (1997): The most fundamental source of conflict among macroeconomists is their variety of beliefs about the stickiness of wages and prices. It is relatively uncontroversial to say that prices are flexible in the long run and sticky in the short run (p. 535).

The purpose of this paper is to question this long and widely held view. We will show that over 100 years of US history from 1890 to 1994, there was not a single period, short or long, when real wages fell systematically to eliminate unemployment. In fact, real wages rose, time and again, even after unemployment had soared and endured over several years. The paper does not dispute that prices are fully flexible in asset and goods markets, only that they are not so in the labor market. Nor do we question the upward flexibility of the real wage in the wake of excess demand for workers. Only the idea of downward flexibility in the presence of persistent excess supply of labor is challenged by this paper.

The notion of downward real-wage flexibility underlies much of modern macroeconomics. In fact, it is at the base of almost all the major branches of economics today, including, macro, international, growth, public finance, among others. Even the Keynesians adhere to this view in their long-run studies. However, if real wages are in fact rigid regardless of the time dimension, how do labor markets clear in the wake of excess supply? There is no doubt that once the time dimension extends to decades instead of years, the US economy has traveled along the path of full employment. Recessions and depressions, even when durable, do vanish eventually. How then has the US economy always returned to the course of full employment in spite of the long-run rigidity of real wages? In other words, what is the true self-correcting mechanism that ultimately restores full employment? This is the second question explored by this paper.

We analyze the simple classical model of the labor market because that is where the entire wage-price debate originated. We also show that under realistic conditions, full employment equilibrium occurs in this framework even if real wages are inflexible in the long run.¹ Specifically, we demonstrate that it is the ratio of real wage/labor productivity,

¹ The classical model has been revived in a variety of ways. Among its latest reincarnations is the theory of the real business cycle that is standard menu in most macro texts today. See Plosser (1989) for a review of this literature. Also, see Gordon and Wilcox (1998, pp. 547–548) and Romer (1989).

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات