



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

# Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders

Journal homepage: <http://ees.elsevier.com/RASD/default.asp>

## Validation of an interview-based rating scale developed in Japan for pervasive developmental disorders

Hiroyuki Ito<sup>a,\*</sup>, Iori Tani<sup>b</sup>, Ryoji Yukihiro<sup>c</sup>, Jun Adachi<sup>d</sup>, Koichi Hara<sup>e</sup>, Megumi Ogasawara<sup>f</sup>, Masahiko Inoue<sup>g</sup>, Yoko Kamio<sup>h</sup>, Kazuhiko Nakamura<sup>i</sup>, Tokio Uchiyama<sup>j</sup>, Hironobu Ichikawa<sup>k</sup>, Toshiro Sugiyama<sup>l</sup>, Taku Hagiwara<sup>d</sup>, Masatsugu Tsujii<sup>m</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Research Center for Child Mental Development, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Faculty of Humanities, Tokaigakuen University, Japan

<sup>c</sup> Faculty of Human and Cultural Studies, Kyoto Gakuen University, Japan

<sup>d</sup> Hokkaido University of Education, Japan

<sup>e</sup> Faculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences, University of Tokushima, Japan

<sup>f</sup> School of Education, Tokyo Gakugei University, Japan

<sup>g</sup> Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Tottori University, Japan

<sup>h</sup> Department of Child and Adolescent Mental Health, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, Japan

<sup>i</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Neurology, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Japan

<sup>j</sup> Faculty of Human Development and Culture, Fukushima University, Japan

<sup>k</sup> Tokyo Metropolitan Children's Medical Center, Japan

<sup>l</sup> Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Japan

<sup>m</sup> School of Contemporary Sociology, Chukyo University, Japan

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 27 July 2011

Received in revised form 1 April 2012

Accepted 2 April 2012

#### Keywords:

PDD

Autism spectrum disorders

ADI-R

Psychometrics

### ABSTRACT

The pervasive developmental disorders (PDDs) Autism Society Japan Rating Scale (PARS), an interview-based instrument for evaluating PDDs, has been developed in Japan with the aim of providing a method that (1) can be used to evaluate PDD symptoms and related support needs and (2) is simpler and easier than the currently used “gold standard” instruments such as the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R). We examined the reliability and validity of PARS on the basis of data from 572 participants (277 PDD patients and 295 nonclinical controls). Inter-rater reliability was sufficient at both the item and scale level. Factor analysis extracted four subscales, for which internal consistency was found to be high. The sub and total scores of PARS showed correlations with the domain and total scores of ADI-R, in line with theoretical prediction, indicating the convergent validity of PARS. A receiver operating characteristic analysis showed that PARS has good discriminative validity in differentiating between PDD patients and nonclinical controls, regardless of intellectual capacity. Considering that PARS can be easily implemented by professionals with appropriate knowledge regarding PDDs, PARS may be superior to the existing instruments in terms of cost performance.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Over the course of many years, several instruments have been developed for the diagnosis, evaluation, and screening of pervasive development disorders (PDD). In recent years, the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R; Le Couteur et al., 1989; Lord, Rutter, & Le Couteur, 1994) has been broadly accepted as a standardized interview-based diagnostic instrument

\* Corresponding author at: Research Center for Child Mental Development, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, 1-20-1, Handayama, Higashi-ku, Hamamatsu 431-3192, Japan. Tel.: +81 53 435 2331; fax: +81 53 435 2291.

E-mail addresses: [ito\\_hiroyuki@pd5.so-net.ne.jp](mailto:ito_hiroyuki@pd5.so-net.ne.jp), [ito\\_h@hama-med.ac.jp](mailto:ito_h@hama-med.ac.jp) (H. Ito).

for PDD. The Autism Diagnostic Observational Schedule (ADOS; Lord et al., 2000, 1989) is also widely used as an observation-based diagnostic instrument. These instruments have a high level of discriminative validity with respect to the differentiation of PDD from non-PDD and are useful in reaching a definitive diagnosis; however, their implementation requires special training and significant time, leading to the development of numerous simpler evaluation scales in recent years.

The Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers (M-CHAT; Robins, Fein, Barton, & Green, 2001), which has been broadly accepted as a screening instrument, is a unique tool that comprises a combination of questionnaires, telephone interviews, and structured follow-up interviews. Although it is a highly useful tool, its use is limited to toddlers because it was developed with the aim of early identification of PDD. In countries such as Japan and other Asian countries lacking the medical and governmental services for PDD that exist in the United States and Europe, it is believed that many people with undiagnosed PDD exist in a broad age group. In fact, Kawamura, Takahashi, and Ishii (2008) reported that in Toyota City, Japan, where a new systematic PDD screening system has been implemented, there were 11 times more detections of PDD compared with that observed in a survey done 20 years ago. However, few regions in the world have an adequate PDD detection system of this kind. Considering this, the development of a simple and practical evaluation scale that can be applied to a wide age group is an important and pressing issue.

The Autism Spectrum Screening Questionnaire (ASSQ; Ehlers, Gillberg, & Wing, 1999), Autism Screening Questionnaire (ASQ; Berument, Rutter, Lord, Pickles, & Bailey, 1999), and Social Responsiveness Scale (Constantino et al., 2003) have been developed as PDD evaluation scales that can be applied to a relatively broad age group. As all of these evaluation tools are in the format of a questionnaire that can be evaluated by parents or teachers, they have the advantage of being fairly easy to implement. However, in most cases, parents lack the specialized knowledge needed to understand PDD, so the standards for rating individual items can vary greatly depending on the individual conducting the evaluation, possibly leading to a deterioration of the reliability of evaluation results. Furthermore, though teachers generally have more PDD-related knowledge than do parents, they have less specific knowledge of each individual child; hence, their evaluations tend to be less reliable than those of parents. In practice, the sensitivity (true positive rate) and specificity (one minus false positive rate) of the ASSQ in distinguishing PDD and non-PDD was .91 and .77, respectively, for the parent evaluation and .90 and .58, respectively, for the teacher evaluation (Ehlers et al., 1999). Considering that the sensitivity and specificity of the ADI-R were 1.00 and .90, respectively (Lord et al., 1997), the level of accuracy of the ASSQ in distinguishing PDD from non-PDD was insufficient in the hands of both parents and teachers. Furthermore, in a simultaneous comparison conducted by Charman et al. (2007), sensitivity and specificity in identifying autistic spectrum disorders was .86 and .78, respectively, for the ASQ and .78 and .67, respectively, for the SRS, thereby indicating its insufficient precision in practical use.

To resolve this dilemma between accuracy and simplicity, the PDDs Autism Society Japan Rating Scale (PARS) has been developed in Japan as an instrument for evaluating PDDs (Adachi et al., 2006; Kamio et al., 2006; Tsujii et al., 2006). This scale was developed with the aim of providing an instrument that is simpler to use than the ADI-R and ADOS; is applicable to any age group, unlike the M-CHAT; and has better reliability and validity than questionnaire scales such as the ASSQ and ASQ. While PARS uses an interview format similar to ADI-R, the procedures, which are briefly summarized in the manual, can be implemented after simple training. Furthermore, because the criteria for rating each item is clearly defined in PARS, a more reliable and valid evaluation is possible than with questionnaire scales. In order to ease the rating process and shorten the evaluation time, the evaluator assigns values at three levels—none (0 points), somewhat apparent (1 point), and apparent (2 points)—for the 34 items listed as typical behavioral symptoms of PDD. This innovation ensures that the time required to implement PARS is kept to 30–90 min, depending on the interviewer's proficiency and the target's age and symptoms.

There is no international literature on the psychometric properties of PARS, although PARS is now widely used in Japan. This study examined the reliability and validity of PARS and involved a study population of 628 test subjects that included 302 people with PDD and 326 people without PDD. Specifically, we evaluated the inter-rater reliability, factor structure, internal consistency, correlation with the ADI-R, and the ability to distinguish subjects with PDD from a nonclinical sample.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. PARS

The PARS instrument has been developed (Adachi et al., 2006; Kamio et al., 2006; Tsujii et al., 2006) and published (PARS Committee, 2008) in Japan. It involves the evaluation of PDD symptoms through a semi-structured interview conducted with a parent or family member of the subject as the target. This tool can be used to assess not only the risk of PDD but also the need for support pertaining to administrative and medical services. PARS comprises both an evaluation of symptoms when they were most pronounced during infancy (named the peak symptoms scale) and an evaluation of current symptoms (named the current symptoms scale). The former is used mainly to an assessment of PDD risk, and the latter is mainly used in assessment of actual support needs. The peak symptoms scale, which comprises 34 items, is the same for subjects of all age groups, whereas the current symptoms scale, which comprises 57 items, has 3 versions targeting different age groups: preschoolers, primary schoolers, and adolescents/adults. This study reports on data obtained from the peak symptoms scale.

The PARS peak symptoms scale comprises 34 items that describe the characteristic behavioral symptoms of PDDs during the preschooler phase. The items were selected by a panel of eight child psychiatrists and a developmental clinical psychotherapist who were specialized in autism research and clinical practice with more than 10 years of expertise. They compiled behavioral characteristics shown by children with PDD and classified them into eight categories—Interpersonal

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

**ISI**Articles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات