



# Parental and romantic attachment shape brain processing of infant cues

Omri Weisman, Ruth Feldman\*, Abraham Goldstein

Department of Psychology and the Gonda Brain Sciences Center, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan 52900, Israel

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 27 March 2011  
Accepted 20 November 2011  
Available online 2 December 2011

### Keywords:

Bonding  
Parenting  
Romantic love  
ERP  
Evolutionary theory

## ABSTRACT

Periods of bond formation are associated with evolutionary-adaptive reorganization of physiological and behavioral responses and increased attention to attachment-related cues. We measured event-related potential responses to infant stimuli among new parents, new lovers, and romantically unattached singles ( $N = 65$ ). For parents, infant stimuli included own and unfamiliar infant. Viewing unfamiliar infants, parents and lovers exhibited greater activation at 140–160 and 300–500 ms post-stimulus compared to singles at occipital–lateral (N170) and central–frontal (P3a) sites, indicating greater initial attention to infant cues. Parents exhibited lowest amplitudes in the parietal-distributed P300 component, implicated in controlled attention, towards the unfamiliar infant but greatest response to their own infant in the same waveform. These findings are the first to demonstrate that periods of bond formation activate brain reactivity to parenting-related cues. Parents' heightened response to own infant accords with evolutionary models underscoring the need to direct resources to the survival and well being of one's own offspring.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Evolutionary models on the formation of attachment bonds in humans suggest that human attachment draws on its evolutionary heritage and that the biological basis of parental and romantic attachment share similar mechanisms and are consistent with those observed during periods of bond formation in other mammals (Belsky, 1997; Carter et al., 2005). In support, research on the biological basis of bonding in humans has pointed to similarities in the mechanisms supporting parental and romantic attachment. For instance, neuro-imaging studies found increased activations in similar brain areas among romantic partners and new parents in response to pictures of the attachment target, including striatal, reward, and vigilance-related structures, such as the nucleus accumbens, anterior cingulate, and amygdala (Aron et al., 2005; Leibenluft et al., 2004; Nitschke et al., 2004; Ranote et al., 2004; Strathearn et al., 2008; Swain et al., 2007). The overlap between brain areas activated during the initial stages of romantic love and those involved in the development of parental love for the infant was taken to suggest that parental and romantic love share several underlying mechanisms (Bartels and Zeki, 2000, 2004; Feldman, in press-a). Research on the neuroendocrine basis of bonding has yielded similar results. An increase in testosterone levels was observed in new fathers as well as in new male lovers (Burnham et al., 2003); first-time and experienced marmosets

monkey fathers exhibited greater vasopressin receptors in their brain (Kozorovitskiy et al., 2006); plasma vasopressin levels were associated with parenting-related brain areas in fathers (Atzil et al., submitted for publication); and an increase in plasma oxytocin levels was found among mothers and fathers following the birth of their first child as well as among romantic partners during the initial period of falling in love (Feldman, in press-b; Gordon et al., 2010; Schneiderman et al., submitted for publication).

Little research, however, assessed whether parental or romantic attachment affects the different stages of information processing and whether the two periods of bond formation alter the temporal patterns of brain responsivity to attachment-relevant cues in a similar manner. Studies assessing event-related potentials (ERPs) demonstrated differences in the cortical response to infant stimuli between parents and non-parents (Proverbio et al., 2006, 2007; Grasso et al., 2009). Similarly, research has addressed the response of romantic partners to love-related facial stimuli (Langeslag et al., 2007). Yet, no study to our knowledge has addressed the ERP response of parents and new lovers to attachment-relevant cues in a single study.

One advantage of measuring ERP is that the various waveform components reflect different stages of information processing and index distinct cognitive mechanisms, such as specific perceptual processes or automatic and controlled allocation of attention. An example of such perceptual component is the N170, a posterior–lateral negative amplitude peaking at a latency of approximately 170 ms post-stimulus, associated with the structural encoding of faces (Bentin et al., 1996; Carmel and Bentin, 2002). With regard to this component, Proverbio et al. (2006) found

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +972 3 531 7943; fax: +972 3 535 0267.  
E-mail address: [feldman@mail.biu.ac.il](mailto:feldman@mail.biu.ac.il) (R. Feldman).

a gender by parental status interaction. Fathers exhibited larger N170 amplitude than mothers while observing unfamiliar infant faces, suggesting significant gender differences within the parent group, whereas no difference was found in the response of non-parents, both female and male, to infant stimuli (Proverbio et al., 2006).

Another relevant ERP component is the P300, a positive deflection at centro-parietal electrodes peaking around 300 ms after the event. The P300 is considered to reflect allocation of attention to infrequent events and the updating of the current context representation in working memory (Donchin et al., 1986). This specific waveform, also referred to as P3b, is often obtained with the “oddball” paradigm. In this paradigm two stimuli are presented in a random series, one stimulus appears significantly less frequently, and the subject is instructed to respond to the infrequent event. After initial sensory processing, the brain compares the current stimulus to the previous oddball stimulus stored in working memory. When a new stimulus is processed, attention mechanisms are engaged and form an “update” of the memory representation for the stimulus context to elicit the P300 (Donchin et al., 1986). Thus, the P300 is produced when attention resources are allocated to memory updating (Polich and Criado, 2006). These events are also thought to be associated with long-term storage, since larger P300 amplitudes are observed for remembered events (Paller et al., 1988; Johnson, 1995). In the Proverbio et al. (2006) study, fathers and mothers showed significantly larger amplitude 300 ms post-stimulus in parietal sites compared to non-parents when viewing unfamiliar infant faces. Similarly, both birth and adoptive mothers were found to exhibit larger P300 amplitudes towards own children stimuli as compared with other infants and adult stimuli (Grasso et al., 2009), indicating that parental attachment may impact this level of processing.

Related to the P300 is the P3a component (or novelty P3), which similarly peaks around 300 ms after an event but in frontal rather than parietal scalp sites. The P3a is elicited by unattended, as well as attended, novel or deviant stimuli (Escera et al., 2000), thus reflecting the effects of automatic mechanisms. The P3a is considered to reflect a cognitive ‘orienting response’ towards the stimulus (e.g., Friedman et al., 2001; Goldstein et al., 2002). To date, little research has addressed the effects of human attachment on the P3a component. Although the P3a and P300 are separate components with distinct functional significance and responding to different antecedent conditions, they greatly overlap in time and are usually elicited by the same stimuli. Deviant stimuli in oddball series elicit both the classical P300 and P3a component, but with different spatial distributions and reactions to experimental variables (Spencer et al., 2001).

In light of the above, the present study aimed to examine alterations in brain responses to attachment-relevant cues associated with the first stages of parental and romantic attachment. We measured the ERP responses of parents following the birth of their first child, lovers who began a romantic relationship within the past three months, and romantically unattached non-parent singles to infant stimuli using an oddball paradigm. Infant stimuli are the most salient attachment cues and mark the apex of the evolutionary-based bonding process (Belsky, 1997; Carter et al., 2005). We thus expected that periods of both parental and romantic bond formation would result in changes to the various stages of information processing of this evolutionary salient stimulus. Among parents, infant stimuli included both a picture of their own infant’s face and an unfamiliar infant’s face so that responses to the specific attachment target could be compared to the general responses to infant cues. In order to augment the ERP components mentioned above, infant pictures were embedded in an oddball series containing landscapes as the frequent stimulus, and the relevant N170, P3a and P300 ERP components were assessed. Our goal

was to assess the response to infant cues in general, not in comparison to other faces. For this reason, we chose the most neutral images – landscapes – as the standard stimuli.

Several hypotheses were proposed. First, consistent with evolutionary accounts, we expected to find similar brain responses in new parents and new lovers towards unfamiliar-infant stimuli as compared to those of non-involved singles, suggesting shared underlying neural mechanisms during periods of parental and romantic bond formation. Second, we expected that both male and female parents would exhibit greater responses towards own-versus other-infant stimuli. Finally, in light of the relatively little and inconsistent data on the effects of gender on infant face processing, this issue was explored as a study question.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Participants

The final sample included 65 adults (35 men). Twenty-four of the participants were mothers and fathers 6 months after the birth of their first child (13 men, age range 22–33 years). Nineteen were lovers who began a romantic relationship within the past 3 months (10 men, age range 19–31 years) and the average length of romantic relationship was 2.5 months. Twenty-two participants were singles who were currently not involved in a romantic relationship (12 men, age ranged 20–28 years). Lovers and single participants had no children. In the parents and lovers groups both partners were encouraged to participate in the experiment, although they were scheduled to participate in the experiment separately and on different occasions. All participants completed at least 12 years of education and self-reported as being healthy. Among parents, all had infants who were physically healthy since birth, were born at term in a singleton birth, and the infant was the first child to both parents. There were no significant differences between groups with respect to age, education, or male/female ratio. Exclusion criteria included high depression score (BDI >9), high anxiety score (STAI >43) and, for parents, self-reports of extreme infant difficulty on the Infant Care Questionnaire (ICQ) as assessed during the recruitment phase. Distributions of attachment styles of parents and romantic couples (attachment anxiety: mean = 51.69, SD = 15.58 and attachment avoidance: mean = 56.89, SD = 19.43) were similar to normal population.

EEG was recorded from 13 additional subjects but these were excluded from the final analyses due to technical problems (e.g., excessive eye-movement and other artifacts, bad channels, or not performing the task correctly). Participants were recruited through ads in a university campus and the surrounding neighborhoods, and were given a small gift for their participation.

### 2.2. Stimuli and task procedure

Subjects were presented with two color target stimuli of a 6-month-old infant face with neutral expression, each presented 30 times (20% of all images viewed) and 120 standard landscape stimuli taken from the International Affective Picture System (IAPS; Lang et al., 1999), each presented twice (80% of all images viewed). Infant pictures were collected from parents prior to the experimental session and were standardized for size, resolution, and face presentation. Parents saw one image of their own infant and one from an unfamiliar infant selected at random from the picture pool. Lovers and singles received two different faces from the pool. The order of all stimuli was fully randomized and presented in a visual oddball design, in which infant images were the target, infrequent stimuli and landscapes were the standard, frequent stimuli. Participants were instructed to press a key whenever an infant’s face appeared on screen. Pictures were presented for 300 ms, with an inter-trial interval of 1000 ms with a random jitter of  $\pm 100$  ms.

### 2.3. Acquisition and analysis of electrophysiological data

EEG was recorded continuously using a 64-channel geodesic net (Electrical Geodesics Inc.). Impedance was kept below 40 k $\Omega$ , as recommended for this system with high-input impedance. All channels were preprocessed on-line by means of 0.1 Hz high-pass and 100 Hz low-pass filtering and digitized at a rate of 250 Hz. Data were filtered offline with a low-pass 40 Hz filter. EEG recordings were segmented into 1 s epochs (100 ms pre-stimulus to 900 ms post-stimulus). Segments with excessive artifacts were removed after visual inspection. Remaining eye-movement artifacts were corrected with a regression procedure (Gratton et al., 1983). ERP waveforms were calculated by averaging all artifact-free, correct trials for each stimulus type for each participant. Mean amplitude was calculated at time windows and electrode groups which captured the main activity for each component, and included a negativity peaking 140–160 ms post stimulus over lateralized occipito-temporal electrodes (N170; channels TP9, P7, P9, PO7, POO10, PO8, P10, P8), a central–frontal positivity peaking 300–500 ms post stimulus (P3a; channels FCz, FCC1h, FC1, FCC2h, FC2), and a central–parietal positivity also peaking at 300–500 ms (P300; channels CP1, P1, CPz, PZ, P2, CP2, Cz), as shown in Fig. 1. In this study, the P3a and P300

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

**ISI**Articles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات