



The interactive effects of conscientiousness, work effort, and psychological climate on job performance[☆]

Zinta S. Byrne^{a,1}, Jason Stoner^{b,2},
Kenneth R. Thompson^{c,3}, Wayne Hochwarter^{d,*}

^a Department of Psychology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA

^b Department of Management, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-1110, USA

^c Department of Management, DePaul University, Chicago, IL 60604, USA

^d Department of Management, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-1110, USA

Received 4 June 2004

Abstract

Historically, conscientiousness–performance relationships have been modest, suggesting the need to examine theoretically-relevant moderating variables. Based on theory and empirical research suggesting that performance variance is maximally predicted in the presence of person and situation variables, we examined the moderating potential of work effort and psychological climate on the conscientiousness–performance relationship. Data gathered from 139 predominately part-time restaurant employees revealed that conscientiousness predicted performance in the simultaneous presence of high levels of work effort and positive psychological climate. Conversely, conscientiousness had no empirical association with performance

[☆] This paper was originally presented at the annual meeting of the Society of Industrial and Organizational Psychology, Chicago, IL.

* Corresponding author. Fax: +1 850 644 7843.

E-mail addresses: Zinta.Byrne@colostate.edu (Z.S. Byrne), jss02n@garnet.fsu.edu (J. Stoner), kthomps@depaul.edu (K.R. Thompson), wochwar@cob.fsu.edu (W. Hochwarter).

¹ Fax: +1 970 491 1032.

² Fax: +1 850 644 7843.

³ Fax: +1 312 362 5417.

when coupled with other combinations (e.g., high-negative; low-positive; low-negative) of work effort and psychological climate. Implications for research, strengths, limitations, and directions for future research are discussed.

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Performance; Conscientiousness; Climate; Effort

1. Introduction

The NEO-PI-R personality inventory was used by special permission of the publisher, Psychological Assessment Resources, 16204 North Florida Avenue, Lutz, Florida 33549. It is taken from the NEO Five Factor Inventory, by Paul Costa and Robert McCrae, Copyright 1978, 1985, 1989 by Psychological Assessment Resources. Further use or reproduction of the NEO-PI-R is prohibited without permission of the publisher.

The influence that personality plays in predicting employee behavior has been of interest to organizational psychologists for some time (Johnson, 2003), with particular research emphasis placed on job performance as a criterion variable (Campbell, 1990). The emergence (Costa & McCrae, 1989) and validation (Digman, 1989) of the five-factor model (FFM) of personality consisting of extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, emotional stability (also called neuroticism), and openness to experience, has had a significant influence on this stream of research (Judge & Ilies, 2002). Meta-analyses have revealed that specific personality dimensions validly predict job performance in some settings (e.g., Barrick & Mount, 1991; Salgado, 1997; Tett, Jackson, & Rothstein, 1991). In particular, conscientiousness has demonstrated the most consistent prediction across situations (Barrick, Mount, & Strauss, 1993).

However, the correlations between conscientiousness and job performance have been modest by psychometric standards. For example, the overall average corrected validity coefficients in meta-analyses are typically in the .09–.20 range (e.g., Barrick & Mount, 1991; Tett et al., 1991). In addition, individual studies have revealed validity coefficients that range from $-.10$ to $-.30$ (Hogan, Hogan, & Murtha, 1992), roughly zero (Crant, 1995), to the high .20s or low .30s (Barrick & Mount, 1993; Hochwarter, Witt, & Kacmar, 2000). To account for these modest correlations, it has been suggested that researchers examine the influence of moderating variables (Barrick & Mount, 1993). It is apparent that simply examining direct associations between conscientiousness and work contribution, as is the strategy in most meta-analyses, may “have underestimated the potential value of personality in predicting job performance” (Day & Bedeian, 1991, pp. 589–590).

In support of the need to develop a more expansive view of personality–work outcomes linkages, Tett and his colleagues (e.g., Tett & Burnett, 2003; Tett & Guterman, 2000) developed trait activation theory, which proposes that personality variables may be either dormant or operational depending on cues provided by the environment. In addition, Wright and Mischel (1987) noted that conditional encoding of personality involves the development of contingency relations (*if...then*)

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات