Total completion time minimization scheduling on two hierarchical uniform machines
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A B S T R A C T
This paper investigates an online hierarchical scheduling problem on two uniform machines to minimize the total completion time of all jobs. Machine $M_1$ with a speed $v_1$ has a lower hierarchy and machine $M_2$ with a speed $v_2$ has a higher hierarchy. Each job has a unit processing time and a hierarchy. The job with a lower hierarchy can only be processed on the machine $M_1$ and the job with a higher hierarchy can be processed on any of the two machines. We consider two variants of the problem: $v_1 = 1 \leq v_2 = s$ and $v_1 = s \geq v_2 = 1$. For both variants, we provide parameter lower bounds and online algorithms with competitive ratio of $\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}$ and $\frac{\sqrt{33} - 3}{2}$ respectively, which are optimal in sense of constant bound.
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1. Introduction

In this paper, we study an online hierarchical scheduling problem on two uniform machines $M_1$ and $M_2$ with speeds of $v_1$ and $v_2$, respectively. Jobs arrive one by one in a sequence of $J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_n$ and each job has to be assigned to a machine before the next job arrives. The job $J_j$ has a processing time $p_j = 1$ and a hierarchy $g_j$ associated with it, and a machine $M_i$ is also associated with a hierarchy $g(M_i)$. The job $J_j$ can be processed on the machine $M_i$ only if $g_j \geq g(M_i)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $g(M_1) = 1$ and $g(M_2) = 2$. Each job $J_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, has a hierarchy $g_j = 1$ or alternatively $g_j = 2$. Namely, the job with $g_j = 1$ can only be processed on the machine $M_1$ and the job with $g_j = 2$ can be processed on any one of two machines. Our goal is to minimize the total completion time of all jobs, i.e., $z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_j$, where $C_j$ is the completion time of job $J_j$. We consider two variants according to the speeds of two uniform machines. The first variant, denoted by $P_1$, considers the case where $v_1 \leq v_2$. W. L. O. G., we can assume that $v_1 = 1$ and $v_2 = s(s \geq 1)$. The second variant, denoted by $P_2$, considers the case where $v_1 = s(s \geq 1)$ and $v_2 = 1$. Using the three-field notation for describing scheduling problem, we denote the two variants of the problem as $Q2(1, s)\{p_j = 1, g_j \mid \sum C_j\}$ and $Q2(s, 1)\{p_j = 1, g_j \mid \sum C_j\}$, respectively.
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For the online scheduling problem, the performance of an online algorithm $A$ is usually measured by its competitive ratio, which can be defined as follows.

$$R_A = \inf \{ R | \frac{C_A(J)}{C^*(J)} \leq R, \forall J \},$$

where $C_A(J)$ (or in short $C_A$) denotes the objective value produced by $A$ and $C^*(J)$ (or in short $C^*$) denotes the optimal objective value in the offline version of the problem for a job instance $J$. In the online scheduling, it is often possible to show that there exists a lower bound to the competitive ratio achievable by any (deterministic) online algorithm. In that case, an online algorithm is called optimal if its competitive ratio matches the lower bound.

Research on the online hierarchical scheduling problem abound. However, most of papers discuss the objective of minimizing the makespan. In Bar-Noy et al. [1] and Crescenzi et al. [3], they consider the non-preemptive version on $m$ identical machines with general hierarchy settings. For the problem on two identical machines, Jiang et al. [8] and Park et al. [10] independently provide an optimal online algorithm. For the general $m$-machines case with two hierarchies, Jiang [7] show that the lower bound of the problem is at least 2 and present an online algorithm with competitive ratio $\frac{12 + 5\sqrt{2}}{6} \approx 2.522$. Hereafter, Zhang et al. [15] improve the result to $7/3$ and present an optimal algorithm for the special case where all jobs have a unit processing time. In Shabtay and Khari [9,11], they also consider a kind of online scheduling problem with two hierarchies and some special cases. Tan and Zhang [14] consider the problem with multiple hierarchies using linear programming method. In addition, Jiang et al. [8] study the preemptive version in which idle time is not allowed and they presented an optimal algorithm with competitive ratio of $3/2$ on two identical machines. In Chassid and Epstein [2], Dósa and Epstein [4], Tan and Zhang [13], Hou and Kang [5,6], the authors study some models on two uniform machines.

Unlike the above online hierarchical scheduling problem dealing with the objective of minimizing makespan, Shabtay and Khari [12] consider the objective of minimizing total completion time for the problem on two machines with unit processing times. They show that the lower bound of this problem is at least 1.1573, and provide an asymptotically optimal online algorithm with competitive ratio of $1.1573 + O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$. Recently, Hu et al. [16] improve the lower bound of 1.1573 to $16/13 \approx 1.2308$ and proposed an optimal online algorithm with competitive ratio of $16/13$. Furthermore, they extend this problem to $m$-machines case where one machine is of hierarchy 1 and the rest $m-1$ machines are of hierarchy 2. They show that the lower bound is at least

$$1 + \frac{2}{m} \max \{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m+4}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m^4+4}} + 3, \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m^4+4}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m^4+4}} + 3 \}.$$
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