

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Addressing Household Indebtedness: Monetary,
Fiscal or Macroprudential Policy?

Sami Alpanda, Sarah Zubairy



PII: S0014-2921(16)30216-1

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eurocorev.2016.11.004>

Reference: EER2925

To appear in: *European Economic Review*

Received date: 29 July 2016

Accepted date: 15 November 2016

Cite this article as: Sami Alpanda and Sarah Zubairy, Addressing Household Indebtedness: Monetary, Fiscal or Macroprudential Policy?, *European Economic Review*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eurocorev.2016.11.004>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain

Addressing Household Indebtedness: Monetary, Fiscal or Macroprudential Policy?

Sami Alpanda* Sarah Zubairy†
University of Central Florida Texas A&M University

November 26, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we build a dynamic stochastic general-equilibrium model with housing and household debt, and compare the effectiveness of monetary policy, housing-related fiscal policy, and macroprudential regulations in reducing household indebtedness. Excessive household debt arises due to exuberance shocks on house price expectations, which drive a wedge between the actual and the underlying fundamental value of houses. The estimated model also features long-term fixed-rate borrowing and lending across two types of households, and differentiates between the flow and the stock of household debt. Our main findings can be summarized as follows: (i) Monetary tightening is able to reduce the stock of real mortgage debt, but leads to an increase in the household debt-to-income ratio. (ii) Among the policy tools we consider, tightening in mortgage interest deduction and regulatory loan-to-value (LTV) are the most effective and least costly in reducing household debt, followed by increasing property taxes and monetary tightening. (iii) Although mortgage interest deduction is a broader tool than regulatory LTV, and therefore potentially more costly in terms of output loss, it is effective in reducing overall mortgage debt, since its direct reach also extends to home equity loans. (iv) Lowering regulatory LTV and mortgage interest deductions from their current levels would be welfare improving, while we find weak support for systematic leaning against household imbalances through monetary policy.

Keywords: Household debt, monetary policy, housing-related fiscal policy, regulatory LTV.

JEL Classification: E52, E62, R38.

*Department of Economics, College of Business Administration, University of Central Florida, 4336 Scorpius Street, Orlando, FL 32816. Phone: (407) 823-1575, e-mail: sami.alpanda@ucf.edu.

†Department of Economics, Texas A&M University, 4228 TAMU, College Station, TX, 77843. Phone: (979) 845-7382, e-mail: szubairy@tamu.edu.

متن کامل مقاله

دریافت فوری ←

ISIArticles

مرجع مقالات تخصصی ایران

- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه تمام متن مقالات انگلیسی
- ✓ امکان دانلود نسخه ترجمه شده مقالات
- ✓ پذیرش سفارش ترجمه تخصصی
- ✓ امکان جستجو در آرشیو جامعی از صدها موضوع و هزاران مقاله
- ✓ امکان دانلود رایگان ۲ صفحه اول هر مقاله
- ✓ امکان پرداخت اینترنتی با کلیه کارت های عضو شتاب
- ✓ دانلود فوری مقاله پس از پرداخت آنلاین
- ✓ پشتیبانی کامل خرید با بهره مندی از سیستم هوشمند رهگیری سفارشات