Examining price and service competition among retailers in a supply chain under potential demand disruption

Syed Mithun Ali\textsuperscript{a}, Md. Hafizur Rahman\textsuperscript{a}, Tasmia Jannat Tumpa\textsuperscript{a}, Abid Ali Moghul Rifat\textsuperscript{a}, Sanjoy Kumar Paul\textsuperscript{b,∗}

\textsuperscript{a} Department of Industrial and Production Engineering, Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology, Dhaka 1000, Bangladesh
\textsuperscript{b} UTS Business School, University of Technology Sydney, Australia

1. Introduction

Firms face ever-increasing competition at the local and international level in sustainably conducting business at a profit (Cardinali and Bellini, 2014; Kuo, 2013; Fornari et al., 2016). Within this dynamic and competitive environment, non-price factors such as after sales service, free gifts are equally if not more important than price factors, irrespective of whether the environment in question exists in a developed or developing countries, firms may struggle in operating business smoothly (Kumar et al., 2017; Murali et al., 2016; Sarkar et al., 2016). Many people have had the real life experience of getting a different quality of service from two retail stores, although they may be adjacent to each other. Also, the same product can be obtained at a different price from different retail stores. Driven by this practical life experience, this research focuses on interactions between retailers related to product price and customer service. Interested readers are directed to read Lu et al. (2011), Li et al. (2012) and Tsay and Agrawal (2004) to gain further insight into service attributes in decision making in supply chains.

Supply chain success largely depends on the consumption of products from downstream supply chain agents, i.e., retailers. Customer loyalty is greatly influenced by retail price and retail services, which ultimately assists firms in building a strong brand image on top of product quality (Yuen and Chan, 2010). As such, fixing the price of products and providing demand-enhancing service, as well as ensuring exemplary after sales service, are considered to be key strategic and tactical decisions (Lu et al. 2011). Moreover, recent supply chain literature emphasizes the inclusion of disruption risk, supply chain risk and uncertainty in strategic decision making (Paul et al., in press, 2016, 2017; Ali and Nakade, 2016). Otherwise, supply chain managers may be prone to decision making under the assumption of smooth business environment (Ali and Nakade, 2014, 2015; Tang, 2007).

A number of articles have examined the issues of price competition (Anderson and Bao, 2010; Yang et al., 2014; Opornsawad et al., 2013; Roy et al., 2015; Wang, 2006; Wang and Sun, 2011; Willart, 2015), or focused on formulating analytical models to deal with price sensitive demand (Sana, 2011a, b, 2012). However, few papers simultaneously consider price and service competition issues. Table 1 gives a recent
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competing retailers under both decentralized and centralized supply chain configurations while considering potential demand disruptions at the retail market.

- Unlike most of supply chain literature, which focuses on building conceptual frameworks (Tang and Nurmaya Musa, 2011), or applies supply chain coordination mechanisms (Chen and Xiao, 2009; Xiao et al., 2005), we conduct a numerical investigation to inspect price and service level decisions of the supply chain members in the context of the market to provide management insights into the problem.

- We examine the effect of probability of disruptions on retail demand markets and investigate the extent and pattern of changing the price and service of a supply chain system with regard to disruptions probability.

The remaining sections of this paper are organized as follows. Section 2 presents materials and methods relevant to this research. Section 3 briefly gives the competing retailers model. In Section 4, we provide the solution methodology for fixing price and service level in centralized and decentralized supply chains. Section 5 applies the proposed method to a case supply chain in Bangladesh, and the results are illustrated therein. Finally, Section 6 concludes the research with theoretical and managerial implications.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Supply chain risk

A supply chain network is comprised of organizations, people, technology, activities, and information. Its function is to add value to raw materials and components, and transforms them into final products for delivery to end users (Hishamuddin, 2013). Thus, the activities performed in a supply chain play a major role in achieving competitive advantage for the stakeholders in the network.

Supply chain risk is classified into operational risk and disruption risk (Tang, 2006; Wakolbinger and Cruz, 2011; Cruz, 2013; Kleindorfer and Saad, 2005). Fig. 1 displays supply chain risk categorization based on the probability of risky events and the impact of such events on business.

Operational risks refer to the risks faced by an organization during normal operations due to the uncertainties of demand, supply, market price, and cost (Heckmann et al., 2015). Table 2 lists some operational risks in supply chains.

Supply chain disruptions can be thought of as catastrophic events (Knemeyer et al., 2009) that can severely degrade supply chain performance (Hoffmann et al., 2013). Supply chain networks are exposed to numerous unpredictable and unforeseen disruptions (Atwater et al., 2014; Blackhurst et al., 2011). Supply chain disruptions can be caused by either natural environment disasters and uncertainties, or by human acts including political instability, quality problems, regional turbulence, and terrorism (Hishamuddin, 2013; Ambulkar et al., 2015; Cao et al., 2013).

Supply chain disruption may reduce the performance of supply chains drastically. For instance, the supply chain disruptions faced by
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