Can’t work or won’t work: Quasi-experimental evidence on work search requirements for single parents

Silvia Avram a, Mike Brewer a,b, Andrea Salvatori a,c,∗

a University of Essex, Colchester UK
b Institute for Fiscal Studies, London, UK
c Organisation of Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), Paris, France

ARTICLE INFO

JEL classification:
H53
I38
J64

Keywords:
Single parents
Active labour market policy
Work search conditionalities
Disability benefits

ABSTRACT

Increasing the labour market participation of single parents, whether to boost incomes or reduce welfare spending, is a major policy objective in a number of countries. This paper presents causal evidence on the impact of work search requirements on single parents’ transitions into work and onto other benefits. We use rich administrative data on all single parent welfare recipients, and apply a difference-in-differences approach that exploits the staggered roll-out of a reform in the UK that gradually decreased the age of the youngest child at which single parents lose the right to an unconditional cash benefit. Consistent with the predictions of a simple search model, the work search requirements have heterogeneous impacts, leading some single parents to move into work, but leading some (especially those with weak previous labour market attachments) to move onto disability benefits (with no search conditionalities) or non-claimant unemployment.
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1. Introduction

Over the past twenty years, several countries have extended to single parents various activation policies which are commonly directed at the unemployed at large (for reviews and discussion of active labour market policies, see OECD, 2007; Bergemann and van den Berg, 2008; Eichhorst and Konle-Seidl, 2008; Immervoll and Scarpetta, 2012; Card et al., 2015; Brown and Koetti, 2015; Eichhorst and Konle-Seidl, 2016). One central element of these policies is the imposition of work search requirements for single parents who claim benefits, with the aim of increasing the flow into employment. Previous work has shown that work search requirements might induce individuals with low level of labour market attachment to give up search entirely and join the ranks of those not in employment nor on benefits (Manning, 2009; Petrongolo, 2009). This casts doubt on the effectiveness of search conditionalities for single parents, a socio-demographic group that tends to have low levels of labour market participation.

In this paper, we present new causal evidence on the impact of the introduction of work search requirements on the probability of welfare-receiving single parents moving off welfare and into work. We exploit the staggered roll-out of a reform recently implemented in the UK, and known as “Lone Parents Obligations” (LPO). In a series of discrete jumps, the reform gradually lowered the age of the youngest child which triggers a move from a regime of unconditional income support to a regime with work search requirements. We use a difference-in-differences setting with rich administrative data on benefit receipt and spells of employment, using single parents with younger children as an unaffected group, and using a long span of pre-reform data on single parents with similarly-aged children. The staggered nature of this roll-out – which effectively means we study not just one but a series of reforms affecting different groups at different times – provides reassurance that our results are not due to a time-varying shock differentially affecting the treatment or comparison groups. The large and rich administrative data means we pay particular attention to heterogeneous treatment effects. We find that work search conditionalities increased the flow of single parents into work, but also caused a large proportion of single mothers to move onto health-related benefits or into non-claimant unemployment. The nature of this response is related to previous labour market experience in ways that are consistent with standard models of job search.

Our research contributes to the literature examining the impact of passive or active labour market policies for single parents, and to the literature estimating the impact of work search requirements for the
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unemployed or those on social assistance. The literature on single parents concludes that broad activation policies that have included work search requirements reduce the benefit count, increase employment and reduce poverty among single parents.\footnote{See Moffitt (2008) for a review of the evidence for the US, but also Folk and McVicar (2013) and Gong and Brunig (2014), who study a reform similar to LPO in Australia, Mogstad and Pronzato (2012) who study a related reform from Norway, and Knodel and van Ours (2016) for 2 reforms in the Netherlands. Dolton and Smith (2011) examine an earlier UK reform (known as “New Deal for Lone Parents”, or NDLP) in the UK that introduced a voluntary programme of work search counselling, and use the same administrative data on benefit receipt as we do, except that their data pre-dates the existence of tax credits, from which we draw our measure of employment. Blundell et al. (2014) briefly considers the impact of reform we study on single parents’ employment rates, but their analysis identifies the impact of LPO from deviations from a linear time trend in employment rates, with no explicit comparison group to net out common labour market shocks, and without considering the precise rules determining when individual single parents were affected.} But there is also evidence that the effects of such reforms are heterogeneous and some single parents are made worse off. In the US, for example, welfare reforms are thought to have led to a substantial increase in the proportion of “disconnected” single mothers who are not in work nor on benefits (Blank, 2007). But the comprehensive nature of the reforms evaluated in this literature makes it difficult to disentangle the effects of the individual provisions: the 1996 US reform, which has been the subject of a large number of studies, simultaneously introduced time limits, work search requirements and sanctions (Moffitt, 2008), as well as giving states considerable discretion in designing the welfare system. An important feature of the UK reform, and therefore an important contribution of our study, is that it allows us to focus on effects of work search requirements (backed up with the threat of sanctions) separately from other changes to the benefit, tax credit or welfare-to-work system. There is also a much smaller literature on the impact of activating recipients of social assistance benefits, which in many European countries have traditionally been “inactive” benefits (unlike unemployment insurance benefits). For example, Brodersen (2015) examines the impact of fortnightly meetings with case workers for social assistance recipients in Denmark, Dahlberg et al. (2009) examines the impact of activating welfare recipients in Stockholm, and Bolvig et al. (2003) estimates the impact of different sorts of activation policies for welfare recipients in Aarhus. Comparing the results of these studies to each other and to our own, though, is difficult, in part because there is considerable heterogeneity not just in what was involved by activation, but also by the composition of those receiving social assistance benefits.

The literature estimating the impact of work search requirements for the unemployed is too large for us to summarise, but two very relevant studies are Manning (2009) and Petrongolo (2009), which both study the introduction of work search requirements for the unemployed in the UK (with the introduction of Jobseeker’s Allowance (JSA) in 1996). Both studies find the JSA reform to have moved people off unemployment benefits, but not into work, with large flows into non-claimant unemployment and benefits for those with disabilities or poor health (we call these “health-related benefits” hereafter). These findings are consistent with a simple search model that predicts that some claimants might find the search requirements too burdensome and give up search entirely. As Manning (2009) shows, this is likely to be the case for individuals with initial low level of search, for whom the marginal cost of the extra search effort might exceed the expected benefit. And there are plausible reasons to think that single parents might be disproportionately found in this group. For example, single parents may have lower expected returns compared to the typical unemployed for given search effort, due to the longer average duration of their jobless spells (which might lower both the probability of an offer being made and the wage offered), or because, for a given job offer rate, they will accept only jobs with flexible arrangements or part-time hours that allow them to manage their childcare duties. If this is the case, the additional work search requirements could induce a significant flow towards benefits with no search conditionals or towards non-claimant unemployment.\footnote{Some of these alternative benefits might bear initial take-up costs that are greater than those for the unconditional income support. For example, health-related benefits might require medical examinations. By introducing search requirements for lone parents, the reform lowers the relative up-front cost of taking up health-related benefits (Reiso 2014).} Hence, both the literature on the impact of comprehensive activation policies on single parents and that on the impact of work search requirements on the unemployed at large suggest that introduction of search conditionals for single parents might not achieve the intended aim of increasing labour market participation for this group.

We contribute to this issue by studying a reform that gradually reduced, from 16 to 7, the age of the youngest child at which a single parent loses her (or his, but we use female pronouns throughout) entitlement to the unconditional income support benefit (a further extension to age 5 took place in a period not covered by our data). The intention was that, once the youngest child had reached this age, single parents that wanted to receive welfare benefits would have to claim the unemployment benefit, although they could also claim health-related benefits if they met the medical conditions. The unemployment benefit, known as Jobseekers Allowance (JSA), can be claimed indefinitely (subject to a means-test on income and financial assets), but claimants are required to look for work actively, report to a welfare office at least fortnightly, and, like most “active” benefits, can be sanctioned for not making sufficient efforts to look for work, or for turning down job offers without good reason.\footnote{There were no other differences between the unconditional income support benefit and the unemployment benefit: both are administered by the same agency and a family’s entitlements to both should be identical.} We show that the introduction of work search conditionals did increase the flow of single parents into work, but also caused a large proportion of single mothers to move onto health-related benefits or into non-claimant unemployment (in the sense that they are not observed either in work or on benefits in our dataset). In fact, the flow towards either of the two states with no work search requirements attached is generally larger than that into work. For example, nine months after the loss of entitlement to the unconditional income support, the reform has increased the probability that a previously welfare-receiving single parent is in work by about 10pp, but has also increased the probability of receiving either health-related benefits or being in non-claimant unemployment by about 18pp. The nature of this response is related to previous labour market experience: those with lower labour market attachment (proxied for by the fraction of time a single parent has spent on welfare benefits before being affected by the reform) are more likely to move into non-claimant unemployment and particularly onto health-related benefits, than those with stronger labour market attachment. Point estimates suggest that lone parents with low labour market attachment were also more likely to move into work, but the differences by previous labour market attachment are not statistically significant. That the impact of work search requirements might vary with the work-readiness of the single parents is consistent with the search model of Manning (2009) and Petrongolo (2009), and our empirical findings echo the one of an increase in the proportion of “detached” mothers found in the US (Blank, 2007), although we are not able to examine the reform’s impact on incomes or poverty. Our findings also, there-
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